M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.C. No. 242	Press ~~Mis.~~
160 161	
Dated 8 - 2 - 1862.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1-92

Department.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 8th February 1862.

No. 160. Read the following letter from H. NEWILL, Esq., Director of Revenue Settlement, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated Madras, 4th January 1862, No. $\frac{5}{1}$:—

SIR,

1. I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Proceedings of Government, dated 30th November 1861, No. 2,243, suggesting that I should proceed to Tinnevelly as early as possible, and, in consultation with the Collector, arrange the plan of operations for the Survey and Settlement of that District; and requesting the submission of a brief report of my intended proceedings, with an Estimate of the annual outlay and of the total cost of surveying and settling it.

2. In order that it may not be thought that unnecessary delay is occurring in meeting the wishes of Government, I beg to state for their information that the Salem party will not be ready to proceed to Tinnevelly till next March, and also to observe that it is undesirable to take up that District too much in advance of the Survey party which will not have completed the Survey of the Salem District for another year. The starting of the demarcation in March will give the Settlement party eight or nine months in advance of the Survey party, which is ample for supplying the latter with work.

3. In the meanwhile I have calls upon me in the Kistna and Kurnool Districts, which can be conveniently attended to before proceeding to the extreme south.

4. I presume it is the intention of Government that in conformity with the views expressed in paragraph 4 of Proceedings, dated 7th December 1860, No. 2,334, the demarcation alone should precede the survey, and that the classification should be undertaken at a later period. This will necessarily delay, for a considerable time, the preparation of proposals for the Settlement which are dependent on the details of the work of the classification branch.

No. 161. ORDER THEREON, 8th February 1862, No. 242.

The Government see no reason why the brief report and estimates required in G. O., dated 30th November last, No. 2,243, should be delayed, as Mr. Newill seems to contemplate, until the demarcation, survey and classification are completed. What they require is merely a sketch of the plan of operations with estimates of their cost, and this to be of any use should precede instead of follow the operations themselves.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,

Deputy Secretary to Government.

Revenue Settlement Office,
Madras,
4th January 1862.

No 5
1

From,
H. Nevill Esqre,
Director of Revenue Settlement,

To,
J. D. Sim Esqre,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Fort St George

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Proceedings of Government, dated 30th November 1861 No 2243, suggesting that I should proceed to Tinnevelly as early as possible, and, in consultation with the Collector assange the plan of operations for the Survey and Settlement of that District, and requesting the submission of a brief report of my intended proceedings, with an estimate of the annual outlay and of the total cost of surveying and settling it.

2. In case that it may not be thought that unnecessary delay is occurring in meeting the wishes of Government, I beg to state for their information, that the Salem party will not be ready to proceed to Tinnevelly

till

till next March, and also to observe that it is undesirable to take up that District too much in advance of the Survey Party which will not have completed the Survey of the Salem District for another year. The Marking of the demarcation in March will give the Settlement Party 8 or 9 months in advance of the Survey Party, which is ample for supplying the latter with work.

3. In the meanwhile I have calls upon me in the Attiyna and Thurnool Districts, which can be conveniently attended to before proceeding to the extreme South.

4. I presume it is the intention of Government that in conformity with the views expressed in para 4 of Proceedings dated 7th December 1860, No 2334, the demarcation alone should precede the Survey, that the classification should be undertaken at a later period. This will necessarily delay, for a considerable time, the preparation of proposals for the Settlement which are dependent

on the details of the work of the classi=
=fication branch.

I have the Honor to be,
Sir
Your Most obedient servant

A. Cleveland
Director of Revenue Settlement

To,
J. D. Sim Esqre,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Fort St. George

Jersey 9th Decr 60 No 97-98
" 30th March 61 " 311

Copy in a letter to England
d/ 26th July 1862 No 47.

No 160-161.

Revenue 1862 Department
Pro: of Govt for February 62
No 19

From
The Director of
Revenue Settlement

To The Secretary
to Government,
Revenue Department
Fort St. George

Dated 4th
Recd 6th } January 1862

No 5

Explaining with reference to the Proceedings of Government dated 30th November 1861 No 2243 the reason of delaying to visit the Tinnevelly district and observing that it is presumed that it is intended that when consolidating operations there the demarcation alone should precede the Survey & that the classification be undertaken at a later period —

Rd 12th - 8 Feb 62
With an Order

Copy in a letter to England d/ 8th Feby

of their coast, and this to be of any use should precede instead of follow the operations themselves —

Fort St. George
8th Feby
1862

No 242-47

The Government see no reason why brief report and es- timates required in G O of 30 Nov last No 2243 should be delayed, as the Board seems to contemplate that the demarcation survey and classifica- tion are completed. What they requ- is merely a sket of the plan of p- rations with estim-