M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 40

(2) Date of repair 8-12-38

(3) Initials of the Repairer CJ

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1546 Press Mis.

317-18

Dated 19-7-1860.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

CJ

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department, 19th July 1860.

No. 317. Read the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel W. H. HORSLEY, Chief Engineer, to J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, dated Chepauk, 4th July 1860, No. 2,279:—

1. I have the honor to submit herewith for the information of Government the Returns of fines, suspensions, and dismissals in the Department Public Works during the quarter ended 31st March last.

2. I much regret the late date at which these are furnished, the cause of which may be stated in a few words, viz. The necessity that existed for seeking further explanation from the District Engineers as to the nature of the offences for which the punishments were inflicted, in consequence of Circular No. CCXLV, communicating the review by Government of the Return for the quarter ended 30th September last, not having reached these Officers before their Returns for the March quarter had been despatched. The receipt of their replies at the time when the Administration Report was being compiled was a further cause of delay. Now, however, that Circulars Nos. CCXLV of 23rd March, and XXII of 22nd May, are with the District Engineers, I trust that the Statements which will shortly be due for the quarter ended 30th ultimo, will be received in so complete and perfect a shape early this month, that I shall be able to submit the general Statement to Government within a few weeks after the above date.

3. There are a few items in the Returns herewith submitted which call for remark, and these I shall now notice.

(1.) *Suspensions and Dismissals. Godavery.—Channel Superintendent, V. Purraze.*—The District Engineer states that this man had been three times fined, and repeatedly reprimanded for neglect of duty and great carelessness, without effect, and as Government do not approve of the constant infliction of fines, it was considered advisable to dismiss him.

Bellary. Chellaperumál Pillai.—The District Engineer reported that this Sub-Overseer had been apprehended upon a charge of murder, whereupon he was ordered by this Office to be dismissed under the Rule laid down in Circular Order Book, page 547, whereas he should have been suspended simply, under the Order of Government, 15th March 1859, No. 596. The former course was adopted through inadvertence.

Extract Minutes of Consultation, 9th June 1857, No. 1,899.

Madura. Sub-Overseer Thundiya Pillai—Gumáshta Namsivayan.—The District Engineer has been informed that the suspension of these men was improper, as in paragraph 10 of Extract Minutes of Consultation, 15th March 1859, No. 596, suspension, it it distinctly stated, shall not be inflicted as a punishment.

Coimbatore. Acting Assistant Supervisor Bake.—The District Engineer having exceeded his power in suspending this man, his orders were cancelled by this Office. The case is, however, now being investigated, pending the result of which the Supervisor was suspended by the Chief Engineer in Proceedings, dated 31st May 1860, No. 1,115.

Bangalore. Sub-Overseer Ellappa.—No report appears to have been received in this Office as to the result of the enquiry in this man's case, further than what is to be gathered from his being reduced one grade. Captain Mayne has been called upon to furnish a report forthwith.

(2.) *Fines. Krishna.—Sub-Overseer A. Badrachellam.*—Government will not fail to observe that this man was heavily fined during the quarter preceding the one now under consideration. The District Engineer has, therefore, been called upon to state the particulars of the false entry, and why some more severe punishment, degradation for instance, should not be inflicted this time, considering the serious offence of which he has been guilty.

Chingleput. Gumáshta Nádamuni Nayudu.—The District Engineer has been informed that the sum entered as a fine in this instance should not have appeared as such, as it is one day's pay retrenched for absence without leave, and should have been deducted in the Pay Abstract in terms of Circular No. CX, dated 29th September 1859.

Trichinopoly. Monium Venkatasubbaiyan.—The fine of Rupee 1 is entered in the District Engineer's Statement as having been inflicted in February, and although it is in excess of a

quarter, it is less than a half month's pay, which is the limit of punishment during the three months, and as Captain Moberly states that the offence was "constant," it is fairly presumable that this amount is made up of two or more fines imposed during that month, in which case each would be less than the quarter month's pay. I have, therefore, not deemed it necessary to call for an explanation of the circumstance from the District Engineer.

4. In the last place, it is proper that I should mention that in no fewer than ten instances, the utmost punishment by fine has been inflicted, but none of the District Engineers have overstepped the mark. The members of the Department who have been thus heavily punished will be watched, and should their names appear in the ensuing lists, the District Engineers will be called upon to say, why more serious steps should not be taken with them.

5. With these remarks, I submit the accompanying long overdue Returns without waiting any longer for the Krishna District Engineer's remarks regarding Sub-Overseer Badrachellam, which have not yet been received, as these can be communicated to Government subsequently, should it appear to me necessary to do so. I do not think that there are any other points connected with these Returns calling for observation, and I beg again to express my regret that they are so many weeks behind time.

No. 318. ORDER THEREON, 19th July 1860, No. 1,546.

1. With the foregoing letter the Chief Engineer forwards the Returns of fines, suspensions, &c., in the Public Works Department for the first quarter of 1860. The Government trust that, under the circumstances explained by Colonel Horsley, the long delay that has taken place in the submission of these Returns will not occur again.

2. The Governor in Council approves the remarks made by the Chief Engineer, and directs that they be made known to the Officers to whose reports they relate. The special attention of all District Engineers should be drawn to the Rules for suspension,* of which several infringements are noticed in these Returns. In addition to those noticed by Colonel Horsley, the Government observe that the case of T. Palmer, Sub-Overseer in Tanjore, suspended for "misconduct and habits of intoxication," is in violation of the Rule that "suspension shall not be inflicted as a punishment." Colonel Horsley will require the District Engineers to pay strict attention to the Rules.

* 15th March 1859, No. 596.

Paragraph 10.

3. The cases of Chellaperumál in Bellary and of Ellappa in Bangalore should be further enquired into by the Chief Engineer. Unless the acquittal of the former on the heavy charge brought against him was full and complete, he should not be replaced in the Department; and if the charge of stealing wood laid against Ellappa is established, as would appear from his having been degraded, he is unfit to remain in the service at all.

4. One† individual, it is observed, figures in both the "fine" and "degradation" lists. This man's conduct should be watched.

† Nandináyagam Pillai, Sub-Overseer, Madura.

5. Namsiváyan, a Gumáshta in Madura, whose punishment the Chief Engineer notices as being against the Rules, was suspended from 12th July 1859. His name does not however appear in the list of suspended servants for the last quarter of 1859. This should be explained.

6. With reference to the remarks recorded by Colonel Horsley in paragraph 2 of his letter, the Government have to observe that this is not the first time that delay in the transmission of Returns, &c., from the Central Office has been accounted for on similar grounds, viz., the receipt at first of imperfect information from the District Engineers which necessitates subsequent calls for explanation and in many cases great delay in furnishing such explanations. The Chief Engineer will again point out to the District Engineers concerned the increase of work which this careless preparation of documents thus brings on themselves and their Office Establishments, as well as on the Central Office, and will enjoin more care.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Dy. Secretary to Government.

2

No 2279 Central Office P.D.
Chepauk 24th July 1860

From.
The Chief Engineer

To
The Secretary to Government
P.D.

General Establishment

Sir

I have the honor to submit herewith for the information of Government the Returns of Fines, Suspensions, and Dismissals in the Department Public Works during the Quarter ended 31st March last.

Enclosures two & Statements.

2. I much regret the late date at which these are furnished, the cause of which may be stated in a few words, viz. the necessity that existed for seeking further explanation from the District Engineers as to the nature of the offences for which the punishments were inflicted, in consequence of Circular No. CCXLV; communicating the review by Government of the Return for the quarter ended 30th September last,

&c/-

not having reached these Officers before their returns for the March quarter had been despatched - The receipt of their replies at the time when the Administration Report was being compiled was a further cause of delay - Now, however, that Circulars Nos CCXXV: of 23rd March, and XXII of 22nd May are with the District Engineers, I trust that the Statements which will shortly be due for the quarter ended 30th ultimo will be received in so complete and perfect a shape early this month, that I shall be able to submit the Generals Statements to Government within a few weeks after the above date -

3 There are a few Items in the Returns herewith submitted which call for remark, and these I shall now notice -

1 Suspensions and Dismissals -

Godavery - Channel Superintendent T. Pinniger -

The District Engineer states that this man had been three times fined, and repeatedly reprimanded for neglect of duty and great carelessness, without effect, and as Government do not approve of the constant infliction of fines, it was considered advisable to dismiss him -

Bellary Chellaperumal Pillay

The District Engineer reported that this Sub Overseer had been apprehended upon a charge of murder, whereupon he was ordered by this Office to be dismissed under the rules laid down in P. C. Book page 517, whereas he should have been suspended simply, under the order of Government 15th March 1859 No 596 - The former course was adopted through inadvertence -

& Mins of Con 9th June 1857 - No 1899

Madura

Madura - Sub Overseer Moondiah

Pillay - Gumashta Nandeeswyen

The District Engineer has been informed that the Suspension of these men was improper, as in Para 10 of Extract Minutes of Consultation 15th March 1859 No. 596, suspension, it is distinctly stated, shall not be inflicted as a punishment.

Coimbatore. Acting Assistant Supervisor (Mr Re)

The District Engineer having exceeded his powers in suspending this man, his orders were cancelled by this Office. The case is, however, now being investigated, pending the result of which the Supervisor was suspended by the Chief Engineer in proceedings dated 31st May 1860, No. 1115.

Bangalore - Sub Overseer Elliyah - No

No report appears to have been received in this Office as to the result of the enquiry in this man's case, further than what is to be gathered from his being reduced 1 Grade - Captain Haigne has been called upon to furnish a report forthwith.

2. Pines.

Madura Sub Overseer - A Budrachellum - Government will not fail to observe that this man was heavily fined during the quarter preceding the one now under consideration. The District Engineer has therefore been called upon to state the particulars of the false entry, and why some more severe punishment, degradation for instance, should not be inflicted this time, considering the serious offence of which he has been guilty.

Chinglepet Gumashta Nadamoney Naidoo -

The

The District Engineer has been informed that the sum entered as a fine in this instance should not have appeared as such, as it is one days' pay retrenched for absence without leave, and should have been deducted in the Pay Abstract in terms of Circular No. ex, dated 29th September 1859.

Pitchinoohy Monieu Veeraiyan by cu.

The fine of Rupee 1 is entered in the District Engineer's Statement as having been inflicted in February, and although it is in excess of a quarter, it is less than a half month's pay, which is the limit of punishment during the 3 months, and as Captain Moberly states that the offence was "constant", it is fairly presumable that this amount is made up of two or more fines imposed during that month, in which case each would be less than the ½ month's pay. I have therefore not deemed it necessary to call for an explanation of the circumstances from the District Engineer.

In the last place, it is proper that I should mention that in no fewer than 10 instances, the utmost punishment by fine has been inflicted, but none of the District Engineers have overstepped the mark. The members of the Department who have been thus heavily punished will be watched, and should their names appear in the ensuing lists, the District Engineers will be called upon to say, why more serious steps should not be taken with them.

Nick

5

With these remarks I submit the accompanying long over-due Returns without waiting any longer for the Rivina Dist. Sub Engineer's remarks regarding Sub Overseer Backrachellam, which have not yet been received - as these can be communicated to Government subsequently, should it appear to me necessary to do so - I do not think that there are any other points connected with these Returns calling for observation, and I beg again to express my regret that they are so many weeks behind time.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt Servt
Wm Moorsley Lieut R.E.

Cherrapunji
4th July 1860

To
The Chief Engineer
The Secretary to Government
D.P.W.

No 11 Statistics

1860. 7

Enclosing in a letter from the Chief Engineer to the Secretary to Government Dated 4th July 1860 No 2279

M 1667/60

Return of fines imposed on Public Servants in the Department of Public Works during the Quarter ending 31st March 1860.

10th Stray Bo No 61 462

Jo M. 1860 Dept
Ret. of Govt Fines Encl
Inorn. No. 1667.

The Chief Engineer

To

The Secretary to Govt
D.P.W.

Dated 4th
Received 5th July 1860

No 2279

Encl. 2

Transmitting Returns of Fines, Suspensions and Dismissals for the 1st Quarter of 1860

No 317.

Cr 5th July 60

No 1546. 19th July

Central Office of P.W.

P. Canacasaby Pillay	Clerk	20	"	"	8	For neglect of instructions when writing out for signature General No. CCXVI
P. B. Thompson	Do	30	"	"	4	For omitting to ink a pencil endorsement upon General No. 18937.
Rayalgopaul	Do	12	"	"	2	

The Returns from Ganjam Cuddapah Coimbatore Malabar the Civil Architect, Garrison Engineer, Bangalore, and Nellington are blank.

Central Office P.W.
Chepauk.
4th July 1860.

W. Bromley Lt. Colonel
Chief Engineer

Sundara Mudali	Sub Overseer 2nd Class 3rd Grade	25	..	..	3	..	For slow progress and very bad work in the construction of the Rest House at Tirupalin
Mokana Mudali	—do— 2nd Class 3rd Grade	25	..	..	3	..	For unnecessary delay in despatching his Monthly accounts for December.
M Cyprie	—do— —do— —do—	25	..	..	3	..	For not furnishing the Statement of outstanding balances tho' orders were repeatedly given by the Officer in charge of the Mawa Range.
A Chedambara Mudali	Head Acct. P.W.D. Office	50	..	..	2	..	For having allowed to exist certain omissions in the Local fund accounts.
V Somasoondara Moodelly	Head Writer —do	40	..	..	8	..	For having quoted a wrong number and date in a letter to the Central Office.
D Chinnasami Nayadu	Despatch —do— —do—	30	..	..	1	..	For carelessness in copying drafts in the Letter Book.
	North Canara						
Ramasawmy	— Sascar —	6	..	..	12	..	These men taken on trial, have proved utterly inefficient on the line of March. Their selection was left to the Head Writer but he and the rest of the Office Establishment have found them of no assistance constantly absenting themselves as they have during the Office hours.
Venkatachellam	— " —	6	..	..	12	..	
Soobamanniah	— " —	6	..	..	12	..	
Venkatasawmy	— " —	6	..	..	12	..	
N. Davappa	— Jemadar —	15	..	..	1	..	Very late at Office 2 days running in spite of remonstrance on the 1st occasion and without a sufficient cause.
Andrew	— Sascar —	6	..	..	4	..	Absenting himself without leave for a whole day.
Dawan	Head Sascar	7	..	..	4	..	Encouraging and abetted gambling in the street while he should have been at his proper duties.
Migast	— Sascar —	6	..	..	3	..	From over drinking at night was found unable to do his duties the next day.
	South Canara.						
Anthony	— Sascar —	7	..	..	4	..	In disobedience to the particular order given to him he was found to have carelessly delayed to bring the letters from the Post Office.

Trichinopoly.

Muttiswamy Pillay	Sub Overseer 2nd Class 3rd Grade	25	.. ..	3	2 ..	For negligence in the performance of duty
Condul Naick	Ministry of Conservancy Establishment 1st Range	14	.. ..	1	12 ..	Absent without leave
Narasa Iyen	Monieam do 1st Range	2	10 ..	..	2 ..	For letting Cattle graze on the Padagam
Soorayen	Peon do do	1	12 ..	..	2 ..	For neglecting their duty, reported by Tahsildar.
Nullaw	do do do	1	12 ..	..	2 ..	
Mootooswami	do do do	1	12 ..	..	2 ..	
Narayanen	do do do	1	12 ..	..	2 ..	
Venkata Soobyen	Monieam do do	2	10 ..	1	.. ..	For constant neglect of duty in letting Cattle Graze on the Padagam
Sitchmana Nana. =Yanien	do do do	2	10 ..	..	4 ..	
Veerasseen	Peon do do	2	8 ..	..	4 ..	
Manoolhay	Abbundy of do 2nd do	3	.. ..	..	10 10	Absent without leave
Annavey	do do do	2	8 ..	..	10 10	
Pitchey	do do do	3	.. ..	..	7 7	
Sadien	do do do	3	.. ..	..	1 6	
Nullan	Sawcar in the Range Office	6	.. ..	..	10 ..	Constant absence without leave
Venkatarama Iyengar	Writer in 2nd do do	20	.. ..	2	8 ..	For coming late to Office constantly

1/

Narayanaswami Naydu	2nd Writer in 2nd Engr do	30	.. ..	1	.. ..	For repeated delay in his work.
Kistanaswami Pillay	2nd Tomashla ——— do	14	.. ..	..	8 ..	Detaining a letter 3 days.
Ringasawmy Naydu	Moochee ——— do.	10	.. ..	1	4 ..	Not bringing instruments repeated instance

Madura.

Nilakandasagaram Pillay	Sub Overseer 2nd Class 2nd Grade	30	.. ..	3	.. ..	For insubordination.
Chundrasagaram do	do 2nd Class 1st Grade	35	.. ..	3	.. ..	For inattention to duties having been strictly ordered by 2nd Asst Dist Engr to hasten on Bridge on Road No 1 and the Store shed & neglecting to obey these orders.

Tinnevelly.

Suppani ———	Sawcar in the Office attached to the 1st Division	7	.. ..	..	8 ..	The Sawcar had been directed to accompany a Member of the Dept in charge of Government Money, but disobeyed the order

Salem.

Mr H Chesseux	Asst Overseer ———	80	.. ..	7	11 10	For absence without leave and disobedience to Dist Engrs repeated orders.
Kuppana Pillay	Sub Over 1st Class 1st Grade.	40	.. ..	5	.. ..	For not attending to the Dist Engrs instructions to burn bricks for the use of the Suramangalam Road.

Kumbakonam

Munti Nayadoo	Sub Ovr 2nd Class. 3rd Grade	25	..	..	3	.. ..	Fined, for great carelessness in preparing a portion of the Kristnamsetty pully Road, - for Keeping his accounts back & for having no Station Book, when building some Toppal huts.

Chinglepute

Nadamoney Naidoo	Gomasta in the Poonamallee Range Office.	15	..	..	..	7 9	For absenting himself one day without leave

North Arcot

Ramaswami Moodly	Sub Overseer 2nd Class 1st Grade	35	..	..	..	1 ..	Neglect of order in delaying the commencement of a portion of Road Work.

South Arcot

Narrainen	Vascar	6	..	..	..	1 ..	Absent from Camp without leave
Permaul	Do	6	..	..	..	1 ..	

Tanjore.

Ramiya	Gumashta	15	..	..	1	14 ..	For constant neglect of duty in delaying the preparation of account and for extreme inaccuracy and carelessness in preparing them -
Shadagopa Moodly	Sub Overseer 2nd Class 2nd Grade	30	..	..	3	.. .	For neglect and disobedience of orders in not examining a work and preparing Estimates for the same when repeatedly ordered to do so.
Kuba Rao	Gumashta	15	..	..	1	.. ..	For not examining an account and attaching his signature to the same in token of his having examined it
Jeyamuta Arja	Monigar Mummiar Head	5 8	..	..	1	..	For neglecting to send in certain accounts for 15 days, tho called for three times
Narrainaswami	Sock Monigar	14	..	..	1	.. ..	For not visiting the upper Sock for 3 weeks.
Parrimanen	Vascar	6	..	..	1	.. ..	For not taking the Tents forward.
Govindu	do	6	..	..	1		do do.

Return of Fines imposed on Public Servants in the Department of Public Works during the Quarter ending 31st March 1860.

Names of Servants	Employment	Monthly Pay.	Fines imposed	Reasons for imposing Fines.
Vizagapatam				
V Viradiah	Sascar 1st Executive Range	6	1	For neglecting to take a measuring Rod and Rod to Godavery Bunds when ordered to do so.
M Singaria	Do	6	8	On two occasions, first for neglecting to take a letter to the Medical Officer when ordered to do so without delay. and second for refusing to obey orders relative to the removal of Cash Chest.
Godavery				
N Venkanah	Writer under Mr G R Short Assistant Overseer.	15	1	For erasing in the Original accounts.
G Sutiah	Almoor Lock Sascar.	4	1	For disobedience of orders
G Sitahmiah	Chintapilly Lock Superr	8	2	On two occasions viz (1) For want of respect to his Superior Officer (2) For neglect of duty. being absent from his post.
P Suriah	Madapad Do	8	2	For great neglect of duty for being absent from his post.
Mihamilly Saib	Channel Superintendent	12	1	For not sending the Sunka grass as ordered.
V Nursimhum	Do	12	1 8	For delaying to obey the orders with regard to his transfer from Dendaloor to Bunnadole
Hussain Saib	Do	12	1 8	For closing up the Chubole escape without permission.
Kistna				
A Bhadrachalem	Sub Overseer 1st Class 1st Grade	60	7 8	For making a false entry in his accounts. ?
Nellore				
A Sundurra	Anaikatt Superintendent	45	5	For careless ness and inattention on the Anaikatt works viz, in neglecting to procure certain baskets which were required, and which were found to be easily procurable by other persons.
R Setaramiya	3d Accountant in the Dist Engr Office	35	2	For repeated careless ness in the preparation of the papers entrusted to him.
Bellary				
Balasundaram Moodr	Sub Overseer 2d Class 1st Grade	35	3	For repeated disobedience, and neglecting to furnish accounts.
Sadhagiri Row	Do 2d Class. 3d Grade	25	3	

The Returns from Ganjam, Kistna, Nellore, Cuddapah, Chingleput, North Arcot, South Arcot, Tinnevelly, South Canara, Malabar, Civil Architect Garrison Engineer, and Wellington are blank.—

Central Office of P.W.
Chepauk
4th July 1860

W. F. Boxley Lieut.-Colonel
Chief Engineer

Degradation

Vindinajeow Pillay	Sub Overseer 2d Class 2d Grade	30	..	For repeated negligence and inattention to duties	16	.. ..	To 2d Class 3d Grade from 15th February 1860.

Coimbatore.

Suspension.

Mr J. Baker.	Acting Sub Supervisor 9th November 58	92	8	For insubordination			Cancelled per Chief Engr Proceedings 4th April 1860 No 12088.-

Salem

Suspension

none

Dismissal.

Soundra Mudali	Sub Overseer 2d Class 3d Grade 2d January 1858	25		(1) for unnecessary delay in the Completion of the Road Cooly for Troops at Tiruppatur (2) for disobedience of orders with regard to the repair of Trunk Road No V near Tiruppatur and (3) for general unfitness for the appointment of Sub Overseer	10	.. ..	Confirmed by Chief Engr in Proceedings 21st March 1860 No 11546.-

North Canara.

Suspensions.

none

Dismissal

Mr J. Mc. Rozario	Sub Overseer 2d Class 2d Grade 1st January 1855	30		Incompetence and entire neglect of duty particularly in the repairs to Moordaishwar and Beetkul Bungalows & in not joining his work for 15 days after being reported fit to do so by the Zillah Surgeon...			Confirmed by Chief Engineer in Proceedings 3d February /60 No 9919.-

Bangalore.

Suspension & Degradation

Ellaspah	Sub Overseer 11th March 1859	25		Pending enquiry regarding accusations of stealing wood from the Store yard.	1	.. 20.	Suspended for 15 days viced Ed Award 6 S.A. 2d Cl 3d Gr. under Go Rs. G. 15th March /59 pang.

Central Office of A.W.

Suspended.

Mr J. Brookalls	Clerk.	100	..	For Committal to Jail & and taking Insolvent act			vide letter to Government dated 21st June /60 No 1864.

Tanjore

Suspension

J. Palmer	Sub Overseer 1st Cl 1st Grade 16th February 1858	60	"	Misconduct and habits of Intoxication	2	"	"	By the Dist. Engr. on 21st July/60

Dismissals.

W. R. Knowles	Sub. Overseer 1st Cl 1st Grade 21st October 1856	60	"	Gross misconduct in his duties, and irregularity in keeping his Accounts, as detailed in District Engineers letter No. 294 dated 3d February 1860 transmitted to Government upon 11th June with letter No. 1472.	3	4	"	By Chief Engrs Proceedings 11th February/60 No. 10220.
Ghoolam Alinja Moodali	Sub Overseer 2d Cl 3d Grade 1st January 1858	25	"	Misconduct in business and untrustworthiness particularly in connection with the advances to Merrassidars in Mr. Sub Engineer Maitland's range which he was called upon to adjust—for failing to obtain receipts for materials &c. advanced to Merrassidars & for deliberate falsehood, regarding the disposal of certain receipts.	2	3	"	By Chief Engrs Proceedings 17th March/60 No. 11433.

Trichinopoly

Suspensions

none

Dismissals.

Mr. McCullagh	Asst. Overseer	80	"	Dismissed by the Chief Engineer on account of disrespectful and insubordinate Conduct	2	9	"	Proceedings dated 11th March 1860 No. 11310. — Confirmed in Proceedings Govt. dated 16th June/60 No. 1271. —

Degradations

Venkatachella Pillai	Sub. Overseer 2d Cl 1st Grade	35	"	On account of false measurement of work	7	2	"	Reduced from 2d Cl 1st Grade to 2d Class 2d Grade. —
Vardarajoodoo Nayudu	Sub. Overseer 2d Cl 2d Grade	30	"	Do. Do. Do.	3	2	"	Reduced 2d Cl 2d Grade to 2d Cl 3d Grade

Madura

Suspensions

Thoondia Pillay	Sub Overseer 2d Cl 2d Grade 1st May 1857	30	"	For disobeying orders and acting Contrary to instructions	13	5	"	From 1st January to 15th February 1860. —
Ramaswayum Pillay	Gomastah 1st Circle Office 17th Nov/56.	14	"	For Continued and repeated absence without leave	3	5	"	From 12th July 1859 to 15th February 1860. —

Return of Public Servants suspended or dismissed from Employment in the Department of Public Works during the Quarter ending 31st March 1860.-

Name of the Servant.	Office & date of Appointment	Monthly Pay.	Offence	Whole period of Employment			
			Vizagapatam				
			Suspensions				
			none.				
			Dismissals.				
C. Narainamurty	Asst. 3rd Range	28	For seducing Overseer Carters Sister in-Law & for being implicated in the frauds carried on in the Overseers Office for which the Magistrate inflicted punishment upon him.-				
			Godavery.				
			Suspension & dismissals				
P. Sambiah	Sub Overseer 2nd 2nd 1st Inquiry	30	Making false Muster Rolls.	12	10	22	Suspended pending trial before the Magistrate on charge of embezzling Public money entrusted to him
			Dismissals				
V. Purraz	Channel Superintendent 3rd January 1860.	12	Neglecting to report to his superior instances in which Ryots had breached the Channel Banks	7	1	7	Dismissed by Chief Engineer having been found guilty of embezzlement by the Sessions Judge.-
			Bellary.				
			Suspensions				
			none				
			Dismissals.				
P. Chella parumal Pillay	Sub Overseer 2nd 2nd Grade 5th February 1858	30	Having been apprehended by the Police on a Charge of murder of which he has since been acquitted.	4	11		Ordered to be restored to his position in the Department.- Proceedings of Chief Engr 1st June 1860 No 1153.-
			Kurnool.				
			Suspension				
			& Dismissals.				
Chellamiya	Sub Overseer 2nd 3rd Class	25	Irregularities in his accounts, General incapability and disrespect to his Superior Officer.	2	10	28	Suspended while a charge of embezzlement was being investigated before the Deputy Magistrate of which he was acquitted.- Dismissed by Dist Engr for irregularities in A/cs from 23rd March, but Ordered to be allowed 3 Months probation. Chief Engrs Proceedings 22nd March 60 No 754.-

1860 Depl

Enclosure in pr letter from the Chief Engr to the Secretary to Government P.W.D. dated 1st July 1860 No. 2279.

D.D. 1667/60

Return of Public Servants suspended or dismissed from employment in the Department of Public Works during the Quarter ending 31st March 1860.

P. W. D.

No. 1546.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 19th July 1860.

Read the following letter from the Chief Engineer.

Transmitting Returns of fines, suspensions and dismissals for the Quarter ending 4th July 1860 No. 2279 / the 1st Quarter of 1860.

1. With the foregoing letter the Chief Engineer forwards the returns of fines, suspensions &c. in the Public Works Department for the first quarter of 1860. The Government trust that, under the circumstances explained by Colr Horsley, the long delay that has taken place in the submission of these Returns will not occur again.

2. The Governor in Council

Council approves the remarks made by the Chief Engineer, and directs that they be made known to the officers to whose reports they relate. The special attention of all District Engineers should be drawn to the Rules for suspension†, of which several infringements are noticed in these Returns. In addition to those noticed by Colt. Horsley, the Government observe that the case of T. Palmer, Sub overseer in Tanjore, suspended for "misconduct and habits of intoxication," is [illegible] in violation of the rule that "suspension" shall not be inflicted" as a punishment." Colt. Horsley will require the District Engineers to pay strict

† 15th March 1859, No. 596.

Para 10.

strict attention to the Rules.

3. The cases of Chellapermaul in Bellary and of Ellappa in Bangalore should be further enquired into by the Chief Engineer. Unless the acquittal of the former on the heavy charge brought against him ~~should be~~ was full and complete, he should not be replaced in the department; and if the charge of stealing wood laid against Ellappa is established, as would appear from his having been degraded, he is unfit to remain in the service at all.

Chellapermaul

4. One† individual, it is observed, figures in both the "fine" and "degradation" ~~lists~~. This man's conduct should

† Nandimayagam Pillai Sub Overseer Madura.

should be watched.

5. Ramsivayan a Gomasta in Madura whose punishment the Chief Engineer notices as being against the rules, was suspended from 12th July 1859. His name does not however appear in the list of suspended servants for the last quarter of 1859. This should be explained.

6. With reference to the remarks recorded by Colo Horsley in para 2 of his letter, the Government have to observe that this is not the first time that delay in the transmission of returns &c from the Central office has been accounted for on similar grounds viz the receipt at first of imperfect information from the District Engineers which necessitates subsequent calls for explanation & and in many cases great delay in furnishing such explanations. The Chief Engineer will again & point out to the District Engineers concerned the increase of work which this careless preparation of Documents thus brings on themselves and their office Establishments as well as on the Central office, and will enjoin more care.

10 Copies to the Chief Engineer P.P.

Return of Fines

Public Works 1860 Dep.t
Pro: of Govt for July

No. 1546.

Pro: of the Madras Govt.
Dt 19th July 1860.

Reviewing the Returns of fines, suspensions &c. in the C. D. P. W. for the 1st Quarter of 1860-

No 317 & 318.