M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 6

(2) Date of repair 16. 5. 42

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 120	Press / Mis.
34-35	
Dated 4 — 2 — 1862.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

5-42

16-

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department, 4th February 1862.

No. 34. Read the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel J. OUCHTERLONY, Acting Deputy Chief Engineer, Northern Circle, to Lieutenant-Colonel W. H. HORSLEY, Chief Engineer and Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Chepauk, 20th January 1862, No. 9,582 :—

SIR,

1. With reference to paragraph 5 of the Orders of Government No. 923, dated 11th May 1861, I have the honor to submit the accompanying correspondence, from which it will be seen that out of a sum of Rupees 4,340-14-5 remaining unadjusted in the accounts of the Godavery District on account of the late Quarry and Permanent funds, a sum of Rupees 4,225-10-7 has since been adjusted, leaving a sum of Rupees 115-3-10 still due to the District by Mr. H. B. Smith, 1st Assistant District Engineer in Malabar. This Officer however disputes the validity of the claim, which he states was settled four years ago, but he is unable to produce vouchers or receipts in support of the due disbursement of the sum in question.

1 Letter from District Engineer Godavery, No. 374, dated 6th July 1861, with one enclosure.
1 Do. from do No. 811, dated 7th October 1861, with 2 Encs.
1 Do. from do. No. 1,031, dated 24th December 1861.
1 Do. from District Engineer Malabar, No. 113, dated 12th August 1861, with two enclosures.
1 Do. from do. No. 211, dated 13th Nov. 1861, with 1 Enc.

2. Mr. Overseer Stephenson also disputed a claim of Rupees 43-15-10, but as the District Engineer was not satisfied with his objections, he agreed to pay it under a protest to the Chief Engineer, which protest Major Stoddard was requested to submit when reporting upon the final adjustment of these transactions ; but no such document was received with that Officer's letter of the 24th December 1861, No. 1,081.

3. Besides the claim of Rupees 115-3-10, another sum of Rupees 161-4-1 is shown to be due by Mr. Smith to the *Upper* Godavery District. It will be observed that Mr. Smith produces copies of his private accounts attested by the Joint Magistrate of Cannanore in support of the payment in full to the Godavery Treasury, but this would not appear to be a satisfactory voucher in the absence of corresponding receipts by the District Engineer.

4. Under the above circumstances I have to request the orders of Government for the adjustment of the sums shown to be due by Mr. Smith. These sums are balances due to the District by Captain, then Lieutenant, Goddard on account of advances made to him, when Major General, then Brevet Major, F. C. Cotton was in charge of the Godavery Division, viz., between December 1853 and December 1854, for purposes connected with the first exploration of the Upper Godavery, but, as Mr. Smith had certain transactions with Lieutenant Goddard at the time, he (Mr. Smith) undertook to become responsible for these advances, and even made payments in part liquidation thereof.

No. 35. ORDER THEREON, 4th February 1862, No. 120.

1. As it is clear that Mr. Smith undertook Captain Goddard's responsibility in connection with the advances made to the latter Officer from the late Quarry and Permanent funds of the Godavery District and from the funds advanced on account of the Upper Godavery works, and as, moreover, Mr. Smith is said to have made payments in part liquidation thereof, the Government consider that he is fairly responsible for the adjustment of the balance outstanding, viz., Rupees 276-7-11, the payment of which he is unable to prove by receipts from the District Engineer or other Officer. Mr. Smith should therefore be called upon to pay up the amount.

2. The no demand certificate granted to Mr. Smith by the late Chief Engineer, Colonel Faber, has reference only to that Officer's connection with the Kurnool District.

3. The Government approve of the course adopted for the recovery of the amount (Rupees 43-15-10) outstanding against Mr. Overseer Stephenson.

(Signed) W. H. HORSLEY, Lieut.-Colonel,
Chief Engr. and Secy. to Govt. D.P.W.

Central Office of S.P.
Cheepauk
No. 9582 20th January 1862.

From
Lieut. Colonel E. Onchterlony
Actg. Deputy Chief Engineer Nilgiris

Public

To
Lieut. Colonel R.H. Horsley
Chief Engineer and
Secretary to Government P.W.D.
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

With reference to para 5 of the Orders of Government No. 923, dated 11th May 1861, I have the honor to submit the accompanying correspondence, from which it will be seen that out of a sum of Rupees 4,340: 12-5 remaining unadjusted in the Accounts of the Cadavery District on account of the late Quarry and Permanent Funds, a sum of Rupees 4,225-10-7 has since been adjusted, leaving a sum of Rupees 115-3-10 still due to the District by Mr. A.B. Smith, late Assistant District Engineer in Malabar. The Officer however disputes the validity of the claim which

he

the states was settled four years ago, but he is unable to produce vouchers or receipts in support of the due disbursement of the sum in question.

2 Mr Overseer Stephenson also disputed a claim of Rupees 43-15-10, but as the District Engineer was not satisfied with his objections, he agreed to pay it under a protest to the Chief Engineer which protest Major Goddard was requested to submit when reporting upon the final adjustment of these transactions; but no such document was received with that Officer's letter of the 21st December 1861

3 Besides the claim of Rupees 115-3-10 another sum of Rupees 161-4-1 is shewn to be due by Mr Smith to the Upper Godavery District: It will be observed that Mr Smith produces copies of his private accounts attested by the Joint Magistrate of Cunnamore in support of the payment in full to the Godavery Treasury, but this would not appear to be a satisfactory voucher in the absence of corresponding receipts by the District-Engineer.

115-3
161-4
276-7

4 Under the above circumstances I have to request the orders of Government for the adjustment of the sums shewn to be due by Mr Smith. These sums are balances due to the District by Captain then Lieutenant Goddard on account of advances made to him when Major General then Brevet-Major, Sir A. Cotton was in charge of the Godavery Division viz. between December 1853 and December 1854, for purposes connected with the first exploration of the Upper Godavery, but as Mr Smith had certain transactions with Lieutenant Goddard at the time, he (Mr Smith) undertook to become responsible for these advances, and even made payments in part liquidation thereof.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servt

Rajahmundry
20th January 1862

Lieut. Col.
Chief Engr & Secy

To
Lieut. Colonel R. A. Moseley
Chief Engineer and Secy to Govt P.W.D.
Fort St George

xd

11th May 1861 No 75-76

amount of Rupees 43-15-4
outstanding against
Mr Overseer Stephenson

Rd 3/11

Ld 4/11/62

No 87
Fort St Geo:
4th Febry 1862

13 Copies

To The Dy Chief Engr E & M
Supdt
No 04485
C 23 Jany R 28th

1862 Depart
Proms of Govt for February
No 161

Trans to Colld Onchterlony
& 2 Chief Engr &c

Do
Trans to Colld H.M. Yorke
Chief Engineer and
Secy to Govt P.W.D.
Fort St George

Dated 20th
Rec'd 27th January 1862

No 9582

11 Enclos Rd 11/5/62

Submits correspondence on the subject of the sum remaining unadjusted in the accounts of the Godavery District on account of the late Anarry and Permanent Funds and requests orders of Govt on the subject

With an Order -
No 120 - 4th Feb

No 120.

As it is clear that Mr Smith undertook to assume Captain Goddard's responsibility in connection with the advances made to the latter Officer from the late Anarry and Permanent Funds of the Godavery District and from the funds advanced on account of the Upper Godavery Works, and as moreover Mr Smith is said to have made payments in part liquidation thereof, the Government consider that he is fairly responsible for the adjustment of the balance outstanding Viz: Rupees 276-7-11 the payment of which he is unable to prove by receipts from the District Engineer or other Officer - Mr Smith should therefore be called upon to pay up the amount.

The no demand Certificate granted to Mr Smith by the late Chief Engineer, Colonel Faber, has reference only to that Officer's connection with the Kurnool District.

The Government approve of the course adopted for the recovery of the amount