92

M.R.O. 1—27,000—8-8-37.

(1) Number of pages 6

(2) Date of repair 15 5 42

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 92	Press / Mis.
181-182	
Dated 31-1-	18 62,

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department, 31st January 1862.

No. 181. Read the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel J. OUCHTERLONY, Acting Deputy Chief Engineer Northern Circle, to Lieutenant-Colonel W. H. HORSLEY, Chief Engineer and Secretary to Government, D. P. W., dated Chepauk, 16th January 1862, No. 9,435:—

SIR,

1. With reference to Proceedings of Government Public Works Department, No. 1,965, under date 25th October 1861, calling for further explanation of a discrepancy referred to in a letter from the Deputy Quarter Master General of the Army, dated 2nd October 1861, No. 82-222, between the amount of expense incurred in erecting a Pandal at Samulcottah by the Officer Commanding the 28th Regiment, M. N. I., and that at which its probable cost was estimated by the District Engineer's Department, I have the honor to submit a statement of the particulars of the estimate therein referred to.

1 Letter from the District Engineer Godavery, No. 1,071, dated 21st December 1861.
1 Estimate.
1 Plan.

2. When compared with the account particulars of the Officer Commanding 28th, M. N. I., it will be at once apparent that the structure contemplated by the Assistant District Engineer was of a description far superior to that of the work erected by the Regimental Lascars; rendering it more durable and better fitted to resist the force of storms.

3. Although not absolutely so stated by the District Engineer, it is clear from Lieutenant Magnay's estimate that he intended to have framed and trenailed his wood work together; while the omission of a charge for "Carpenters" in the Regimental bill shows that the roofing erected by the Lascars has only been tied together with Cocoa fibre cords.

4. Under these circumstances and looking to the fact that a temporary cause (the closing of the local canal) enhanced the cost of materials at the time the estimate was prepared by Lieutenant Magnay, I trust Government will be pleased to exonerate this Department from the apparently uncalled for reflection made upon it, especially in the P. S. of the letter of the Officer Commanding Northern Division, No. 307, 16th September 1861.

In Proceedings Military Department, No. 3,642, dated 8th October 1861.

No. 182. ORDER THEREON, 31st January 1862, No. 92.

Communicated to the Military Department, with reference to the Orders in that Department, No. 3,642, dated 8th October 1861. The Government observe that the structure estimated for by the Engineer Department was of a far superior character to that executed by the Officer Commanding the 28th Regiment, M. N. I., which of itself accounts for the great difference between the expenditure incurred by the latter and the amount at which the Pandal was estimated by Lieutenant Magnay.

(Signed) W. H. HORSLEY, Lieut.-Colonel,
Chief Engr. and Secy. to Govt., D.P.W.

Central Office of Public Works
Chepauk 16th January 1862.

No. 94335

From,

Lieutenant Colonel J. Ouchterlony
Acting Deputy Chief Engineer M. C.

To,

Lieutenant Colonel W. H. Horsley.
Chief Engineer and Secretary to Government P. W.
Fort Saint George.

"Military"

Sir,

With reference to Proceedings of Government Military Department No. 1765 under date 25th October 1861 calling for further explanation of a discrepancy referred to in a letter from the Deputy Quarter Master General of the Army dated 2nd October 1861, No. 82/222 between the amount of expense incurred in erecting a Pandal at Samulcottah by the Officer Commanding the 28th Regiment M. N. I. and that at which its probable cost was estimated by the District Engineer's Department, I have the honor to submit a statement of the particulars of the

the Estimate therein referred to.

2. When compared with the account particulars of the Officer Commanding 28th M. N. I. it will be at once apparent that the structure contemplated by the Assistant District Engineer was of a description far superior to that of the work erected by the Regimental Lascars; rendering it more durable and better fitted to resist the force of storms.

3. Although not absolutely so stated by the District Engineer it is clear from Lieutenant Magnay's Estimate that he intended to have framed, and trenailed his wood work together; while the omission of a charge for "carpenters" in the Regimental Bill shews that the roofing erected by the Lascars has only been tied together with Cocoa fibre cords.

4. Under these circumstances and looking to the fact that a temporary cause (the closing of the local canal) enhanced the cost of materials at the time the Estimate was prepared by

by Lieutenant Magnay, I trust Government will be pleased to exonerate this Department from the, apparently uncalled for, reflection made upon it, especially in the P.S. of the letter of the Officer Commanding Northern Division No. 307, 16th September 1861.

In Pro: Mily Dept. No. 3642 dated 8th October 1861

Central Office of P.W. the pank 6th January 1862

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant

Quartermaster Lieut. Colo.
Actg Depty Chief Engr. N. C.

To,
Lieutenant Colonel W. H. Horsley
Chief Engineer & Secretary to Government P.W.
Fort Saint George.

24th Sept. 61 Nos 246-247
25th Sept. " " 305-306.

Nº 1814182.

C22 Lucy 8.28th

Pub: Works 1862 Depart.
Proc: of Govt. for January
Nº 108.

From,
Lieut. Colº J. Puchterlony
Aº Dº Chief Engr N.C.

To,
Lieut. Colº W. H. Horsley
Chief Engr & Secy to Govt. Dº Pº
Fort St. George.

Dated 16th
Recd 18th } January 1862

Nº 94.25
Enc 3.

Submits further explanation on the Estimate prepared by the D. P. W. for the erection of the Pandal at Samalcotta.

Nº 92 - 31st January -

With an order

Nº 92.

Communicated to the Military Department with reference to the Orders in that Department Nº 3642 dated 8th October 1861. The Govt observe that the structure estimated for by the Engineer Dept was of a far superior character to that executed by the Officer Commanding the 28th Regiment N.I. which of itself accounts for the great difference between the expenditure incurred by the latter and the amount at which the pandal was estimated by Lieut. Magrigy

6 Copies
Xd 87.
12 Copies

Fort St. George 31st Jany 1862

To the Military Dept with 3 Encls
Dy Chief Engr N. C.