M.R.O. 1—77,000—9-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 6

(2) Date of repair 15-5-42

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 91 Press ~~Mis.~~

1772/50

Dated 31-1-1942.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department, 31st January 1862.

No. 179. Read the following Official Memorandum from Lieutenant-Colonel J. OUCHTERLONY, Acting Deputy Chief Engineer Northern Circle, to Lieutenant-Colonel W. H. HORSLEY, Chief Engineer and Secretary to Government, Department Public Works, dated Chepauk, 16th January 1862, No. 9,437 :—

(Here enter No. 856 of 31st December 1861, from the District Engineer, Kurnool.)

1. The foregoing letter is submitted for the consideration of Government. It relates to the case of an Apprentice (Ganesham Iyer), to whom the District Engineer granted leave of absence under the erroneous impression that he might be considered as an Office servant. Captain Beatty was advised of this error, and was enjoined to obtain the stipulated security from the Apprentice's relatives.

2. This he represents cannot be accomplished, and as the individual in question was, it appears, engaged for the public service, seven months previous to the circulation of the Order (No. 24 of 22nd June 1861) directing that security should be obtained from Apprentices, Government are reqested to sanction the exemption of Ganesham Iyer from its operation.

No. 180. ORDER THEREON, 31st January 1862, No. 91.

As Apprentice Ganesham was entertained in the Department Public Works prior to the promulgation of the Chief Engineer's Circular No. XXIV, dated 22nd June 1861, the Government accede to the recommendation of the Acting Deputy Chief Engineer Northern Circle.

(Signed) W. H. HORSLEY, Lieut.-Colonel,
Chief Engr. and Secy. to Govt., D. P. W.

Central Office of Public Works
Chepauk 16th January 1862.

No. 9437

Official Memorandum.

(Here Enter No. 856 of 31st December 1861
from the District Engineer Kurnool.)

The foregoing letter is submitted for the consideration of Government. It relates to the case of an apprentice (Ganesham Iyer) to whom the District Engineer granted leave of absence under the erroneous impression that he might be considered as an Office servant. Captain Beatty was advised of this error, and was enjoined to obtain the stipulated security from the Apprentices' relatives.

2. This he represents cannot be accomplished, and as the individual in question was, it appears, engaged for the Public Service 7 months previous to the circulation of the order (No. 24 of 22nd June 1861) directing that security

should

should be obtained from Apprentices, Government are requested to sanction the exemption of Ganesham Iyer from its operation.

Central Office of I.W.
Chepauk
16th January 1862.

J. Questeduny Lieut.t Col.y
Ag.t Dy. Chief Engr.s N. C.

To

Lieutenant Colonel W. H. Horsley
Chief Engineer and Secretary to Government D.O.F.
Fort Saint George.

X/1107

Public Works 1862 Departt
Pro: of Govt for January
No 106.

From,
Lieut Colo J. Cuchterlony
Ag Dy Chief Engr N. C.

To,
Lieut Colo W. H. Harding
Chief Engr Wkgs to Govt D. F.
Fort St George.

Dated 18th } January 1862
Recd 18.. }
No 9437
Encl.

Submits letter from the District Engineer Kurnool and recommends that Apprentice Ganeshan Iyer may be exempted from furnishing security.

No 91 - 31st January.

Written Order.

No 91

As Apprentice Ganeshan was entertained in the Department Public Works prior to the promulgation of the Chief Engineer's Circular No XXIV d/22d June 1861, the Government accede to the recommendation of the Ag Deputy Chief Engineer Northern Circle.

13 Copies.

To
The Acting Deputy Chief Engr N. C.
Disptd

Fort St George
31st January 1862