M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 615	Press Mis.
296.297	
Dated 16-3-	18 69.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 296. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 28th February 1861, No. 1,103:—

Read again letters from Mr. Knox, the late Collector of Kistna District, dated 15th and 16th June 1860, Nos. 121 and 124.

(In Cons. 19th June 1860, Nos. 2,948 and 2,970.)

Read also letter from the present Acting Collector, dated 10th October 1860, No. 224, furnishing certain further information called for in the Board's Proceedings of 24th September 1860, on the subject of Mr. Knox's letters above recorded.

(In Cons. 15th October 1860, No. 5,239.)

1. Resolved that the foregoing letters be submitted for the information and Orders of Government.

2. It was recommended by Mr. Knox that Kistna Ráu the Sub-division Manager of his District should be allowed to draw his former pay, viz., Rupees 120, and Sundra Ráu the Cash-keeper Rupees 135, the salaries of both the appointments having been reduced to Rupees 100 in Mr. Pelly's revised scale of establishments. The pay of the Cash-keeper before the introduction of the new scheme was Rupees 175 which rate he had been drawing for the last eight years and the Board were led to enquire under what circumstances this increased pay was allowed.

Board's Proceedings, 24th September 1860, *No.* 4,355.

3. Mr. Thornhill explains that on the formation of the General Treasury in 1800, thirty-five Rupees was added to the 100 previously drawn by the Cash-keeper and a further addition of Rs. forty was made in 1835 under the orders quoted in the margin, thus raising it to Rupees 175, at which sum it remained until the abolition of the General Treasury in April last.

From Collector 10*th, in Cons.* 15*th October* 1860, *No.* 5,239.
From Collector to Board, dated 15*th, in Cons.* 28*th September* 1835, *paragraph* 6.
From Board to Government, 22*nd October* 1835.
From Government to Board, 10*th, in Cons.* 16*th November* 1835.
From Board to Collector, 16*th November* 1835.

4. Mr. Knox recommended that Sundra Ráu be allowed to draw a portion of his former pay, viz., Rupees 135 until he may be otherwise provided for. Mr. Thornhill supports this recommendation, adding that Sundra Ráu is well qualified for the post of Tahsíldár, having served as head Revenue Munshí in Kurnool. The Board do not see sufficient grounds for recommending this increase. The extra pay was allowed for the additional duties which devolved upon the Cash-keeper in the General Treasury, but these ceased on the abolition of that Treasury; if Sundra Ráu is qualified for the post of Tahsíldár and others have not stronger claims, the Board will not object to his being appointed to that office when the new Táluq arrangements are introduced. In this case one of the Tahsíldárs of the lowest standing might be appointed Cash-keeper, but the just claims of others must not be disregarded in order to serve Sundra Ráu.

5. The case of Kistna Ráu is different. His claim is based on the promise held out by the Board in paragraph 10 of their Circular, dated 10th May 1859, the draft of which was approved by Government. The Board recommend therefore that his request may be granted, but with reference to the Order of Government, dated 27th October 1860, No. 1,977, they propose that the higher rate of pay, viz., Rupees 120, may be continued to him only till the new Táluq arrangements are introduced, when, as the Collector says, he will then be provided with a situation as Tahsíldár.

In Cons. 30*th October* 1860.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, *Secretary.*

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department,
16th March 1861.

No. 297. ORDER THEREON, 16th March 1861, No. 615.

1. The Government concur in the views expressed by the Board in the case of Sundra Ráu.

2. With regard to Kistna Ráu, it is not quite clear what the claim is, or on what grounds it is supported. The Board's Proceeding gives little information on the subject but it appears from Mr. Knox's letter of the 15th June 1860 (paragraph 5), that Kistna Ráu went to the Sub-Collector's Kacheri as Manager or Sarishtadár when the change from the old to the new system took place, viz., when the Sarishtadárs were made Deputy Collectors and the constitution of the Collectors and Sub-Collector's offices was changed. If this is the case, the guarantee against reduction of salary, contained in the Board's Circular of May 10th 1859 (paragraph 10) does not apply to him; as it was given only to persons who held the post of Naib Sarishtadár or Sub-Collector Sarishtadár at the time of the change.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

IN CON., 19TH JUNE 1860, No. 2,948.

From W. KNOX, Esq., Collector of KISTNA DISTRICT,
dated Dindi, 15th June 1860, No. 121.

I have the honor to report, for the information of the Board, that I introduced the new establishment according to Mr. Pelly's Moyinjabita in the Huzur on the 25th ultimo. On this occasion again, 8 clerks and 2 peons in my office, and 3 clerks and 12 peons in the Sub-Collector's office, have been thrown out; and a list of these men showing the length of their services, pay, &c., is herewith submitted. To these are added the clerks and peons on the General Treasury establishment which was broken up on the 25th April, the day on which the Orders of Government were received. I regret I shall have to add to these, the servants on the establishment of Deputy Collector Venkatarao, who is to go to Godavery district as Mr. Reid has arrived.

Department.	Former establishment.		Present establishment.		Increase.		Decrease.		Muchis not included.
	Clerks.	Revenue Peons.	Clerks.	Revenue Peons.	Clerks.	Peons.	Clerks.	Peons.	
Collector's	*55	†39	49	19‡	...	...	6	1	
				‡19	...	...	...	12	
Sub-Collector's	10	21	7	9	...	...	3	...	
Head Assistant Collector's.	4	5	4	5	...	...	...	...	
General Treasury	§5	6	...	.....	...	...	5	6	
Total...	74	71	60	52	...	...	14	19	

* Including shroffs 3.
† Including a Dafterband and 2 peons of the Deputy Collector in charge of the Treasury.
‡ Attenders and Gollahs, 19.
§ Including 2 shroffs.

2. As it is only five months since the two districts were amalgamated, and the men on the establishment of the Kistna District Collector's and Sub-Collector's kacheries, were picked out from the Huzur servants of the two districts, I beg the Government will be good enough to show the same leniency towards the men now discharged as that shown towards the Guntoor and Masulipatam kacheri servants by granting gratuities, &c., and by permitting those who have not passed the Uncovenanted Service examination to re-enter the service without doing so. This appears fair for the same reason that the break in service is not to be counted as a bar to pension.

3. Out of the 14 Musaddis thrown out as shown in the margin of paragraph 1 of this letter, only the Acting Manager and the General Treasury Head Shroff are recommended for pension. The former is an old man aged 53 years and the length of his service is 37 years as shown in the accompanying Register of application for his pension. His extreme deafness and total want of knowledge of the English language, incapacitate him for future good service and for the post of Sheristadar on the new establishment; a Sheristadar is now necessarily required to be possessed of a fair knowledge of the English language; and as the sub-division Sheristadar is also ignorant of it, I have appointed the Deputy Manager, Dinavahi Purushottamia, to be the Sheristadar. He was educated in the Mission school at Masulipatam for 7½ years; was at the top of the seminary during the last three years of his studies, and bears a high character from the Reverend Mr. Noble. He was entertained on the public service in March 1853, and has since held the appointments of assistant Revenue Javabnevis, Head Magistrate's Peshkar and Tahsildar of Ellore. From that Taluq I called him up for the post of Deputy Manager. Copies of the testimonials given him by my predecessors, &c., are enclosed.

Vide letter to Board 12th August 1859, No. 147.

4. I should have been glad to have got the services of a man well up in Ryotwari and made inquiries in several quarters but found that the best men of any standing had got employment or were expecting it, so that only young men of no great experience were available.

5. I beg leave to submit a petition from the Sub-Sheristadar, a very active, energetic officer. When Y. Senkar Rao left my office to be Mr. Ballard's Manager, I selected Kristna Rao and was very well pleased with his conduct. When the old Sheristadars were made Deputy Collectors, he went to the Sub-division and Mr. Chase and Mr. Melville both speak very highly of him. I propose in the new

arrangements of Taluqs to make him a first class Tahsildar, and in the meantime trust Government will be pleased to grant his request; his Tahsildar's pay, when he came to me, was Rupees 120 per mensem.

6. I also venture to intercede in behalf of the Cash-keeper P. Sunder Rao, a valuable public servant who has always borne a high character and served the Government with integrity for a period of 18 years. I have already once brought his case to the favorable consideration of Mr. Pelly in my Paragraph 14. letter dated 21st November 1859, No. 210, and now beg the Board will have the goodness to recommend his drawing a portion of his former salary, viz., 135 Rupees per mensem; he has received 175 Rupees for the last 8 years during his incumbency. He came to this district from Kurnool in expectation of keeping his old salary as long as he held office. He would make a good Tahsildar, I think, but there are so many other men of longer Revenue experience to be provided for among the Tahsildars now holding office, that I see no chance of promoting him; if I did, I would not trouble Government with this recommendation.

—

Enc. 1.

Abstract.—Statement showing the number of servants thrown out consequent on the introduction of the new establishments according to the scale of Mr. Pelly.

—

Enc. 2.

Abstract.—Register of an application for a superannuation pension from the establishment of the Collector of the Kistna District, prepared under the rules passed by the Government under date the 15th May 1851.

—

Enc. 3.

Abstract.—Copies of testimonials of D. Purushottamia.

—

Enc. 4.

Abstract.—Original petition from the Sub-division Manager, dated 10th May 1860.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

No. 4,355.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 24th September 1860.

Read letter from the Collector of Kistna District, dated 15th June 1860, No. 121, reporting on the introduction of the new scale of Establishment in the Huzur.

(In Cons., 19th June 1860, No. 2,948.)

Read also letter from the same Officer, dated 16th June 1860, No. 124, in continuation of the above, forwarding a Register of application for pension of the General Treasury Shroff,

(In Cons., 20th June 1860, No. 2,970.)

1. From the former of the letters above recorded, it is seen that on the introduction of Mr. Pelly's scale of Establishment 6 Clerks and 1 Peon in the Collector's Office, 3 Clerks and 12 Peons in the Sub-Collector's Office, besides 5 Clerks and 6 Peons attached to the General Treasury, or altogether 14 Clerks and 19 Peons were thrown out of employ, as was also the Establishment attached to the Deputy Collector Vencata Row who went to Godavery District on Mr. Reid's arrival.

2. Of the 14 Clerks thrown out as shown above, only two, the Acting Manager and the General Treasury Head Shroff, are recommended for pension. The Board observe that these applications should have been submitted by Mr. Knox direct to Government, and Mr. Thornhill, who is in charge of the District, is requested to do so now. The remaining Clerks with the exception of the Persian Moonshee have been provided in the new establishment with situations having salaries nearly equal to their former pay.

3. With regard to Mr. Knox's recommendation in paragraph 5 that his sub-division Manager Y. Senkar Row be permitted to draw his former pay of Rupees 120 till he is otherwise provided for, the Board request to be informed whether he can be allowed to do so under the Orders contained in paragraph 10 of their Circular, dated 10th May 1859. An early opportunity should, however, be taken by the Collector to provide for him elsewhere to obviate the necessity of his continuing to draw more than the authorised allowance.

4. In the last paragraph of his letter Mr. Knox strongly recommends that his Cash-keeper Soondara Row, who has been drawing Rupees 175 for the last 8 years, should be allowed to draw a portion of his former pay, viz., Rupees 135. This is a special case and requires the orders of Government; and before submitting it for their sanction, the Board wish to be informed under what circumstances Soondara Row has been receiving Rupees 175 monthly. It is presumed that Rupees 100 was drawn by him as Cash-keeper in the District Treasury, and 75 Rupees for performing the duties of the General Treasury. If this is the case the Collector should explain under what authority this was done. He will also state whether Soondara Row cannot be provided with a situation as Tahsildar, and whether he possesses the necessary qualifications.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary.

To G. Thornhill, Esq., Acting Collector of Kistna District.

Exd. J. Wright.

1860 Dispatch

Enclosure 3 in Proceedings of the Board of Revenue dated 28th February 1861 No. 1163.

Board's Proceedings of the 28th February 1861.

To the Collector of the Kistna District dated 24th December 1860 No. 4355 recommending Pension to the Shroff of the Ganjam Treasury.

In Con., 20th June 1860, No. 2,970.

From W. KNOK, Esq., Collector of KISTNA DISTRICT,
dated Dindi, 16th June 1860, No. 124.

No. 121. In continuation of my letter of the 15th instant, I have the honor to forward herewith the Register of application for Pension of the General Treasury Shroff Vada Ramaswami. All the other particulars connected with the case are detailed in the Register.

Enc. 1.

Abstract.—Register of an application for a superannuation pension from the Establishment of the Collector of the Kistna District, prepared under the rules passed by the Government, under date the 15th May 1851.

Exd. J. Wright.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

1861 Despatch

Enclosure 2nd Proceedings of the Board of Revenue to the Secretary to Govt. R.D. dated 28th February 1861 - No 1103

Despatch

Letter from the Collector of the Kistna District to the Board of Revenue, dated 16th June 1860 No 124 forwarding Petition to the Hon'ble Court of Directors

Copy forwarded to the Accountant General

Copy forwarded to the Treasury Officer

In Con. 15th October 1860, No. 5,239.

From G. THORNHILL, Esq., Acting Collector of the KISTNA DISTRICT, dated Masulipatam, 10th October 1860, No. 224.

With reference to the Board's Proceedings, dated 24th ultimo, No. 4,355, I have the honor to state that the applications for pension alluded to in paragraph 2 have already been submitted to Government.

2. I am aware of no obstacle to Kistna Rao (not Shenker Rao as stated by the Board), the sub-division manager, being allowed to draw his former pay under the Board's Circular of 10th May 1859, paragraph 10.

3. It appears to have been supposed by Mr. Knox, that that Circular had been cancelled by Mr. Pelly's subsequent rules; if that is not the case. I request the Board's permission to pass the higher rate of pay* to Kistna Rao from the date of the reduction, until the re-distribution of the taluqs will allow of his appointment as a Tahsildar, a post for which Mr. Knox recommended him.

* Rupees 120.

Letter from Accountant General, 15th December 1806.

4. With respect to paragraph 4, I have to state that on the General Treasury beingfirst made over to the Collector in 1800, 35 Rupees was added to the 100 previously drawn by the Cash-keeper. In 1835, a further addition of 40* Rupees was made to the Cash-keeper's salary raising it to 175, at which sum it remained until the abolition of the General Treasury in April last.

* Letter to Board, 15th September 1835, paragraph 6.

From Board to Government, 22nd October 1835.
From Government to Board, 10th November 1835.
From Board to Collector, 16th November 1835.

5. I would therefore beg leave to support Mr. Knox's recommendation, that Sunder Rao be allowed to draw pay at the rate of 135 Rupees from the date of the abolition of the General Treasury, until he may be otherwise provided for.

6. Sunder Rao is well qualified for the post of Tahsildar having served as head Revenue Munshee in Kurnool, and I may add that his name was mentioned to me by Mr. Knox as a fitting person for one of the new taluqs on the re-arrangement of the district. I see no reason therefore why he should not be so provided for whenever that re-arrangement may take place.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

Revenue Dept

No. 615

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the 16th March 1861 No. 615.

Read the following

Pro. of the Board of Rev.

Submitting with the Board's observations and recommendations correspondence with the Collector of the Nistna District on the subject of the salaries drawn by Peristna Ray the Sub Division Manager and Sundra Ray the Cash Keeper.

(Were entered 28 Feby/61 No. 110.

& Generally.

The Government concur in the views expressed by the Board in the case of Sundra Rau.

2 With regard to Peristna Rau, it is not quite clear what the claim is or on what grounds it is supported. The Board's Proceedings gives ~~a~~ a little information

Enclosure No. 4 in the Proceedings of the Board of Revenue to the Secretary to Government Rev. Dept. dated 28th February 1861 No. 1103.

Dept. 615/61.

Letter from the Collector of the Nistna District to the Secretary to the Board of Revenue dated 10th October 1860 No. 224. regarding Peristna Rau's salary.

information on the subject but it appears from Mr Knox's letter of the 15 June 58 (Para 3) that Sirdars Pass count to the Sub Collectors ~ cutcherry as Sarishtadar when the change from the old to the new system took place, viz when the Sarishtadars were made Deputy Collectors and the constitution of the Collrs and sub Collector's offices was changed. If this is the case, the guarantee against reduction of salary, contained in the Board's Circular of May 10/57 (910) does not apply to him, as it was given only to persons who held the Post of Head Sarishtadar or Sub Coll's Sarishtadar at the time of the change.

manager or

Is it not meant as Manager

the name of Christian having been attached at the time of the change

Kacheri

13 Copies. Board of Revenue with 5 Encs
3 " Civil Auditor " Ltr No 18

Re. — 19 — 20.

10. 11:35

11. 197-198

17-8-60

11. 447-448

27-10-60.

Regarding the salaries drawn by the Sub Division manager and Cash Keeper of the Krishna District

No 2964 297.

Revenue 1861 Department.
No: 1 Govt Fort St. Geo.

No 615.

From H. Willoughby Govt
To the Board of Revenue 1861.

Concurring with the
views expressed by the Bd.
of Revenue respecting the
salaries of Krishna Row
and Sundra Row the Sub
division Manager and the
Cash Keeper in the Office
of the Krishna District.