M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-32.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 602	**Press** ~~**Mis.**~~
270 .271	
Dated 14 — 3 — 18 61 .	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 14th March 1861.

No. 270. Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 7th March 1861, No. 1,288 :—

Read again letter from the Collector of Ganjam, dated 22nd January 1861, No. 42.

(In Cons. 30th January 1861, No. 593.)

Read also letter from the same Officer, dated Chetterpúr, 12th February 1861, No. 53.

With reference to the Proceedings of the Board, dated 1st instant, No. 564, I have the honor to state that I have every reason to believe that the mode of assessment by Panchâyat will be acceptable to the residents of the Estates in question and other places. I am in fact constantly requested by Petitioners to allow them to be so assessed. I strongly recommend that the restriction imposed on this mode of assessment by the Madras Government, should be withdrawn at least as regards this District.

The Board recommend that the assessment of the Income Tax be made by Panchâyat, as proposed by Mr. Forbes, in Vizianagram and Pratapagherri. The Board do not understand what restriction Mr. Forbes refers to as having been imposed by the Madras Government, none having, as far as the Board know, been so imposed beyond what the Act requires.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM, *Secretary.*

No. 271. ORDER THEREON 14th March 1861, No. 602.

1. Under Section 101 of Act XXXII of 1860, the Governor in Council is pleased to order that the assessment, under Schedules I and II of the aforesaid Act, in the Zamíndári Estates of Vizianagram and Pratapagherri in the Ganjam District shall be made by a Panchâyat consisting of not less than three persons to be appointed by the Collector.

2. The instructions in paragraph 2 of the Order of 1st December 1860, No. 2,292, will be followed in this case.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,

Secretary to Government.

No. 564.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 1st February 1861.

following letter from G. S. FORBES, Esq., Collector of Ganjam, to W. HUDLESTON, , Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Fort Saint George, dated Chetterpore, January 1861, No. 42 :—

reference to Part XI, Section 101 of Act XXXII of 1860, and the Proceedings of the ted 18th December last, No. 5,937, I have the honor to request that you will be good obtain the sanction of Government to the appointment of a Punchayet of three persons ersons liable to Income tax in the Zemindary Estates of Vizianagrum and Pratapaghery.

Board request the Collector to state whether the residents of these Estates have any desire to be assessed by Punchayet, or whether he has reason to believe that such assessment will be acceptable to them. He will observe that by Section 104 every ble to the Tax may object to be assessed by a Punchayet, so that little would be gained ng to this method of assessment, unless it is generally desired by the people.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary.

orbes, Esq., Collector of Ganjam.

right.

No. 1,288.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF REVENUE, dated 7th March 1861.

Read again letter from the Collector of Ganjam, dated 22d January 1861, No. 42.

(In Cons. 30th January 1861, No. 593.)

Read also letter from the same Officer, dated Chetterpore, 12th February 1861, No. 53:—

With reference to the Proceedings of the Board, dated 1st instant, No. 564, I have the honor to state that I have every reason to believe that the mode of assessment by Punchayet will be acceptable to the residents of the Estates in question and other places. I am in fact constantly requested by Petitioners to allow them to be so assessed. I strongly recommend that the restriction imposed on this mode of assessment by the Madras Government, should be withdrawn at least as regards this District.

The Board recommend that the assessment of the Income tax be made by Punchayet, as proposed by Mr. Forbes, in Vizianagarum and Pratapagherry. The Board do not understand what restriction Mr. Forbes refers to as having been imposed by the Madras Government, none having, as far as the Board know, been so imposed beyond what the Act requires.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

Secretary.

To J. D. Bourdillon, Esq., Secretary to Government, R. D.

Copy to G. S. Forbes, Esq., Collector of Ganjam.

Fxd. J. Wright.

Rec'd 1861 Department
Proof Govt for March
No 643

Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

Date 27th
Desp'd 9 } March 1861
Rec'd 11th

Encl 1-

No 1288.

Submitting letters from the Collector of Ganjam & recommending that the Assessment of the Income tax be made by Punchayet, as proposed by Mr. Forbes in Vizianagaram and Pralapagherry.—

Special Consn

We have order
No 602. 11th March

No 270 & 271.

6 12th March 1861

To
The Bd of Revs

No 602

Under Section 101 of Act XXXII of 1860, the Governor in Council is pleased to order that the assessment under Schedule I and II of the aforesaid Act in the Zemindari estates of Vizianagram and Pralapagherri in the Ganjam Distt shall be made by a Punchayat consisting of not less than three persons to be appointed by the Collector.

2 The instructions in para 2 of the Order of 1st Dec 1860 No 2292 will be followed in this case

Fort St George
11th March 1861

Dispt 15