M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-8-37.

(1) Number of pages

(2) Date of repair

(3) Initials of the Referencer

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

## Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1578 Press / Mis.

Dated 19-8-1861.

***Not to be folded or roughly handled***

I-

## *Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department, 19th August* 1861.

No. 304. Read the following Proceedings of the Madras Government in the Revenue Department, dated 29th July 1861, No. 1,507:—

Referring for consideration the question as to what establishment will be required if the Tank of Gootloor in the Dharmavaram Táluq of the District of Bellary is restored. } (Vide Revenue Proceedings, 29th July 1861, Nos. 405-406.)

---

No. 305. ORDER THEREON, 19th August 1861, No. 1,578.

Referred to the Chief Engineer for report, with reference to the restoration of the tank alluded to in paragraph 3.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
*Deputy Secretary to Government.*

## *PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.*

ad the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 29th May 1861, No. 2,719 :—

ad the following letter from A. HATHAWAY, Esq., Collector of Bellary, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Amarapúram, 18th February 1861, No. 25.

SIR,

1. With reference to the Despatch from Her Majesty's Secretary of State, dated h September 1859, No. 10, communicated to me by the Board of Revenue in their Proceedings of th May 1860, No. 2,409, I have the honor to report that there are no heirs to the late incumbent ristama) of the Inám alluded to in paragraph 83, nor was the Inám disposed of by her previous her death.

2. With reference to the Nirganti Inám, alluded to in paragraph 87, I have the honor to te that it was sequestrated when the tank, to which it appertains and which is situated in ootloor, in the Táluq of Dharmavaram of this District breached, as the services of the Nirganti re then considered unnecessary.

3. It now appears that the District Engineer has forwarded to the Chief Engineer an timate, amounting to Rupees 37,550, for restoring the above tank ; and further, the Ryots of ootloor have agreed to convert into "irrigated," and cultivate 1,586 acres of "unirrigated" land, der the aforesaid tank (yielding a revenue of Rupees 7,138 for a single crop, and Rupees 3,569 r the second crop ; making a total of Rupees 10,707), if the same be restored.

4. I am, therefore, of opinion that the Nirganti Inám above alluded to may be restored to heirs of the late incubent (who are willing to serve), when their services are needed.

5. I am required to report on the case adverted to in paragraph 89 of the Home Despatch, alluded to in paragraph 1 of this letter. In reply, I beg to state that the village of Malikarjamahal,* in the Táluq of Alúr, was granted by Mohabat Jung, the Nawáb of Adoni, in 1787, to Hafiz Mohadin and others (whose names are not known), as a Sarwa Inám for their subsistence, and for offering prayers for the prosperity of Government.

* Total Ayakat.

| Acres. | G. | A. | RS. | A. | P. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1,417 | 15 | 0 | 3,617 | 12 | 6 |

which enjoyed by the village servants and other Inámdárs, Acres 521-30-13, Rupees 963-13-7.
joyed by the Kayam Jódi Inámdárs, Acres 895-22-3, Rupees 1,653-14-11.
o Kayam Jódi payable thereon to the Government is Rupees 122-7-0.

6. The above Inám was enjoyed as a Sarwa Inám, till the acquisition of the country by e British Government, when Sir Thomas Munro fixed on it a quit-rent of Rupees 122-7-0.

7. After the death of Hafiz Mohadin, the Inám held by him was divided and enjoyed by s sons, as mentioned below :—

| | RS. | A. | P. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Share enjoyed by Abutara, by a Nika wife ... ... ... ... ... | 662 | 11 | 3 |
| The quit-rent thereon ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... | 48 | 15 | 0 |
| Share enjoyed by Sayad Kassim, by a married wife... ... ... | 991 | 3 | 8 |
| The quit-rent thereon ... ... ... ... ... ... ... ... | 73 | 8 | 0 |

8. The former shareholder having died on the 22nd October 1853, the late Collector, r. Pelly, reported to the Board of Revenue in his letter, No. 60 of 5th June 1856, that his two rviving sons and Mahomed Sahib, the son of his deceased son, Golam Mohadin Sahib, claimed s share of the Inam ; and recommended that has they appeared to be an undivided family, and d no other means of subsistence, and further, as the Inám had been in the enjoyment of the ove family for a period of 67 years, the share held by the deceased should be continued in the ne of Sayad Kadri, the son of the deceased and the head of the family, subject to the payent of quit-rent. This recommendation was supported by the Board of Revenue. But the vernment, in Extract Minutes Consultation, No. 1,040 of 29th September 1856, communicated this office by the Board of Revenue, under date the 11th October 1856, No. 3,317, directed at the share in question be resumed, and that, in lieu thereof, an annual pension of Rupees 300 paid to Sayad Kadri during his life-time. This allowance is now enjoyed by him.

9. The other shareholder, Sayad Kassim, having died on the 11th June 1855, Mr. Pelly, in his letter, No. 129 of 18th December 1856, recommended to the Board of Revenue that his Inám be resumed, and that, in lieu thereof, an allowance of Rupees 300 per annum (25 Rupees per mensem) be granted to the widow of the deceased for life, for the subsistence of herself and her mother-in-law. The Government, in Extract Minutes Consultation, No. 831 of 18th August 1857 (communicated to this Office by the Board of Revenue, under date the 3rd September 1857, No. 2,914) accordingly sanctioned this measure, and an allowance of Rupees 300 per annum is now enjoyed by Chansi Bíbí, the widow of the deceased.

---

In Cons. 7th March 1860.

1. Submitted for the information of Government, with reference to their Orders dated 6th March 1860, No. 356, on the Despatch from the Secretary of State, dated 9th September 1859, No. 10.

2. As respects the Nirganti Inám referred to in paragraph 87 of the Despatch, the Board concur in the recommendation of the Collector that it be restored to the heirs of the late incumbent when they are re-employed; but as this is uncertain, perhaps the preferable course will be to refer it, and the Inám mentioned in paragraph 89, to the Inám Commissioner for disposal under the existing rules.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, *Secretary.*

---

ORDER THEREON, 29th July 1861, No. 1,507.

1. These Proceedings and their enclosure relate to three cases of lapsed Ináms, reported to the Honorable Court in 1857, and on which orders were received from the Secretary of State at the end of 1859.

2. In the first case, relating to certain Bhartvritti Ináms in Anantapur and another village, no further orders are required. It appears from paragraph 1 of Mr. Hathaway's letter of 18th February last, No. 25, that neither of the contingencies alluded to by the Secretary of State occurred, and therefore the escheat is confirmed.

3. The second Inám under reference was one styled "Nirganti," connected with the tank of Gootloor in the Dharmavaram Táluq. The Board concur in the Collector's recommendation to restore it to the heirs of the late incumbent, when they are re-employed in consequence of the restoration of the tank, but at the same time would prefer to let the Inám Commissioner dispose of it under the existing rules. The Government, however, do not see any reason for giving back the Inám at half assessment in anticipation of the tank being repaired, especially as the obligation for service will cease with the enfranchisement. If the tank is restored (and this point will be referred for consideration in the Public Works Department) a proper establishment will be required. The original resumption of the Inám was, under the circumstances, the Governor in Council considers, just and proper.

4. The Board also recommend that the third Inám under consideration should be referred to the Inám Commissioner for disposal. It is situated in the village of Mallikarjumahal in the Alúr Táluq, the whole of which is Inám, and forms the subject of the last five paragraphs of Mr. Hathaway's letter of February 18th. The share actually alluded to in the Despatch from the Home Government is that described in paragraph 8 of the Collector's report: it belonged to Abutara, on whose death in 1853 it was resumed, and an allowance of Rupees 300 per annum granted to his son under orders of the Government of India.

5. The Secretary of State has directed that this decision should be re-considered, in accordance with the principles laid down in the Despatch of 1st September 1858 from the late Honorable Court.

6. Under those instructions the Government resolve to restore the Inám to the heirs of Abutara with mesne proceeds, less the pension received, calculated from date of lapse, and leave it to be dealt with by the Inám Commissioner according to existing rules.

7. Paragraph 9 of Mr. Hathaway's letter shows, however, that another and a larger share of the same village, valued annually at nearly Rupees 1,000, was resumed on the death of the holder Sayad Kassim in 1855, on grounds precisely similar to those which led to the lapse of Abutara's share. In this case also a life pension was granted in lieu. It is obvious that these two cases cannot be treated differently. If the Inám is restored in the one, it must be also in the other; and the Governor in Council therefore directs that the course directed in paragraph 6 *supra* be adopted regarding this share also.

8. The Board will submit a statement showing the sums thus to be refunded.

9. The resolutions of the Government in the several cases alluded to in these Proceedings will be brought to the notice of the Secretary of State.

(True Extract.)

*Deputy Secretary to Government.*

To the Board of Revenue.
" Inám Commissioner.
" Accountant General.
" Civil Paymaster.
" Public Works Dept. w.r.t. to para. 3.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

29th Sept 1806 No 3
24-25

P W 1861 Depart
Pro: of Govt for August
No 1874

Proceedings of the
Madras Govt in the
Revenue Depart

Dated 29th July
Recvd 16th augt } 1861.

No 1507.

Referring for consideration
the question as to what
Establishment will be
required if the Tank
is Granted in the Dharmavaram
Palaey of the District of
Bellary is restored.

With an Order
No 1578 - 19 Augt

No 1578.

Referred to the Chief
Engineer for report with reference
to the restoration of the tank
alluded to in para 3.

Fort St George
19 augt 1861.

Copy to the Chief Engineer
with proc PWD No 1507.

Dispt 19

304-305.