

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1228

Press
Mis.

204-205

Dated 21 - 6 - 1861.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 14th May 1861, No. 2431:—

Read the following letter from E. G. R. FANE, Esq., Collector of Vizagapatam, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue, Chepauk, dated 22nd April 1861, No. 333.

SIR,

1. Referring to the Order of Government, dated 16th March 1861, No. 623, forwarded by you under date the 21st idem, I have the honor to inform you that the land referred to is true "Mirási land," being that of the Mirásdárs of Gopálpuram Agraharam in Palkonda, rented by Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co.

2. Mr. Young, the Agent of Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co., as previously shown in the statement which accompanied my letter, dated January 10th last, wanted the above "Mirási land" for building purposes, the Mirásdárs, therefore, gave it up to him for an equivalent in Jeroyati land, and so matters have remained up to the present time; now, however, Mr. Young wishes to have the exchange publicly recognized, i. e., the Jeroyati land to be considered Mirási land, and the Mirási Jeroyati, and a permanent Patta granted to him, his heirs or assigns for the land occupied by the buildings, subject to the payment of Rupees 22-2-8 the Sist of the Mirási land. With the exception of the Jeroyati given in exchange to the Mirásdárs, they have received no other compensation.

1. Submitted to Government, with reference to their Order, dated 16th March 1861, No. 623, Revenue Department, and the Board's Proceedings, dated the 4th of the same month, No. 1,227.

In Cons. 16th March 1861, No. 1,703.

2. The land in question forms part of an Agraharam, and is Inám. The proposal is that it shall be charged with assessment to its present occupant Mr. Young, and that an equivalent extent and value of land should be made over to the Inámzárs elsewhere free of assessment.

(A true Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON, Secretary.

ORDER THEREON, 21st June 1861, No. 1,228.

1. With their Proceedings of 4th March 1861, No. 1,227, the Board of Revenue forwarded a statement submitted by the Collector of Vizagapatam relating to lands proposed to be given in lieu of certain Ináms.

2. In the first case a small piece of dry Inám land assessed at 5 Annas, having been taken into the public road leading from the village of Wommaveram, it is proposed by the Collector to grant in exchange to the Inámzárs a piece of Jeroyati* land of the same extent, but assessed at a slightly higher rate, viz.,

Annas 7, Pice 1, in the village of Veswanadapuram. The Board rather than perpetuate such a petty Inám would pay twenty times the assessment or Rupees 6-4-0 in lieu of all claims. The Government agree with the Board, but will leave it to the Inámzárs to choose whether he will have the land or the money.

3. The second case relates to 4½ Acres of dry land in the Gopálpuram Agraharam in Palkonda, made over to Mr. Young, Messrs. Arbuthnot & Co.'s Agent in Vizagapatam, for building purposes, in 1842, and in exchange for which the proprietors accepted Acres 2, C. 2 of dry and wet Jeroyati land bearing an equal assessment, viz., Rupees 22-2-8, which has ever since been paid by the owner of the buildings. It is proposed now to complete the arrangement by confirming the last mentioned Acres 2, C. 2, to the Inámzárs free of assessment, and by the issue of a permanent grant to Mr. Young for the original 4½ Acres, charged with payment of the annual Sist of Rupees 22-2-8. To this measure the Government see no objection, and accordingly sanction its execution. The delay in bringing the matter to notice is very reprehensible.

4. The Board do not, as requested in the Order of 16th March, No. 623, explain how the term "Mirási land" came to be used in this correspondence. The word is peculiar to the southern Districts, and there is no land in Vizagapatam which can properly be called "Mirási." The Government believe that Mr. Fane used it to express his idea of private proprietorship, but though the land referred to appears to be Inám, it is certainly not "Mirási," and this loose manner of employing words is both injurious and inconvenient.

(True Extract.)

Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.

Ed. S. T. Augustin.

Revenue Department

N^o 1228

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 27th June 1861.

Read the following
Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

thing a letter from the
of Vizagapatam reporting
land belonging to
of Vizagapatam, agm.
condah, ruled by
and B.

(Here enter the May 1861 N^o 2131)

Got certain but no
mirasi rights exist
in Vizag - of Vizag
Revenue collected by
M.M.

With their Proceedings
of 4 March 1861 N^o 1227, the Board
of Revenue forwarded a state-
ment submitted by the collector
of Vizagapatam relating to lands
proposed to be given in lieu of
certain Ináms.
In the first case a
small piece of dry waste land
situated at Samas, having been
taken into the public road lead-
ing from the village of Homma-
nam, it is proposed by the
collector to grant in exchange

to the Inamdar a piece of Jerojati land of the same extent, but assessed at a slightly higher rate, viz: Annas 7 P. 1. in the village of Vedwara-dapuram. The Board rather than perpetuate such a petty Inam would pay 20 times the assessment or Rs. 6-4-0. in lieu of all claims. The Government agree with the Board but will leave it to the Inamdar to choose whether he will have the land or the money.

3. The second case relates to 4½ acres of dry land in the Gopalpuram Agraharam in Palcondah, made over to Mr. Young, Messrs. Arbutnot and Co. agent in Vizagapatam for building purposes, in 1842, and in exchange for which the proprietors accepted 2 acres of dry and wet Jerojati land bearing an equal assessment viz: Rs. 22-2-8, which has ever since been paid by the owner

+ i.e. Govt land.

of the buildings. It is proposed now to complete the arrangement by confirming the last mentioned Acre 2. C. 2, to the Inamdar free of assessment, and by the issue of a permanent grant to Mr. Young for the original 4½ acres, charged with payment of the annual sum of 22-2-8. To this measure the Government see no objection, and accordingly sanction its execution. The delay in bringing the matter to notice is ^{very} reprehensible.

Para 4. The Board donoh, as requested by Mr. Young in the order of 16 March No. 623, explain how the term "herasi land" came to be used in this correspondence. The word is peculiar to the Southern District, and there is no land in Vizagapatam which can be called "herasi". The Govt believe that Mr. Fane used it to express his idea of private proprietorship, but tho' the land referred to appears to be Inam, it is certainly not "herasi"; and this loose manner of employing words is both injurious and inconvenient. The Board will be good enough to point out his mistake to Mr. Fane, whose attention might with advantage be called to the order directing the use of English words in official correspondence.

Beltho
omit-as
re use
the term
omitted.

11 Copies to Mr. Board of Revenue. Diff. 21st.

(1)
Regarding certain Mirasi
land rented by Messrs
Arbuthnot & Co

Revenue 1801 & 1802
No: of pounds for Dinner.

Ms. A. 9. 2. 28.

2
 No. of the Charles Fort
 St 21st June 1861.

7 Nov 24th June 1871
 C² 1536. in Nov 1837

According to the previous
recommendation of the Board of
Revenue to give to the two
specimens of *Gobian lemniscatus*
Gobian lemniscatus in the Florida
Geological Survey District in
certain lands free of the
incent in land of the
lands now secured by
the Mining who offer to pay
the expense of the lands as
given in exchange.