M.R.O. 14—10,000—21-4-22.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1103 Press ~~Mis.~~

257-252

Dated 28. 5. 1861.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 28th May 1861.

No. 251. Read the following letter from W. WATERFIELD, Esq., Officiating Civil Auditor, to J. D. SIM, Esq., Secretary to Government, Revenue Department, Fort Saint George, dated 6th May 1861, No. 302 :—

SIR,

I have the honor to inquire whether the Deputy Collector appointed to Kurnool on special duty by G. O. No. 910, of the 2nd June, or the one posted to the Kistna District, as promised in G. O. No. 99 of the 15th January, is to be considered additional to the sanctioned allotment of forty-eight mentioned in the latter Order; otherwise there seems at present to be one Deputy employed in excess. With reference to the Proceedings of the Government, No. 945, of 26th ultimo, Revenue Department, I have the honor to draw your attention to Order No. 172 of 28th March.

No. 252. ORDER THEREON, 28th May 1861, No. 1,103.

1. Resolved that the Civil Auditor be informed that neither of the two appointments (for Kurnool and Kistna) alluded to by him constitute an addition to the fixed number of Deputy Collectors, viz., forty-eight. Raghunada Ráu is on special duty and is paid by another* department, and Mr. Marjoribanks receives† a portion of the pay forfeited by Mr. Jellicoe for whom he is acting.

* 23rd April 1861, No. 922.
† 22nd February 1861, No. 427.

2. Mr. Stuart, Deputy Collector of Malabar, is apparently extra to the fixed number, but he is doing duty in another department, and his pay as Deputy Collector remains undrawn.‡

‡ Vide Order of Government, dated 5th October 1860, No. 1,804.

3. Paragraph 2 of the Order of Government in the Financial Department, dated 28th March 1861, No. 172, is not applicable to the person lately appointed to act for Sadasiva Pillai at Tanjore. This is a special case and no extra charge is entailed by the arrangement.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

Fixed Dep.

Civil Audit Office,
Fort Saint George,
6th May 1861.

No. 302

From,

W. Waterfield, Esq.re
Officiating Civil Auditor.

To,

J. D. Sim Esq.re
Secretary to Government

Sir,

I have the honor to enquire whether the Deputy Collector appointed to Karnul on special duty by G.O. No. 910 of the 2nd June, or the one posted to the Kistna District as promised in G.O. No. 99 of the 18th January is to be considered additional to the sanctioned allotment of 48 mentioned in the latter order. Otherwise there seems at present to be one Deputy employed in excess. With reference to the Proceedings of the Government No. 945 of 26th Ultimo R. D. I have the honor to draw your attention to Order No. 172 of 28th March.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

W. Waterfield
Officg Civil Auditor

Ex Fld.

P. D.

No 1103.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Under date the 28th May 1861.

Read the following

Letter from the Civil Auditor

Enquiries whether the
Deputy Collector appointed
to Kurnool or the one posted
to Kistna District to be
considered as addition.

Received the 6th May 1861 (Nos. 1102 & 1103)

Civil Auditor to Government

that he is of opinion that the
Deputy Collector appointed to
Kurnool cannot be considered as
having been appointed to the
Kistna District, but that
the Deputy Collector posted to
Kistna District is entitled to
the allowance granted by
Government Order No. 1103
dated 28th May 1861.

Read the following reminder
from the Civil Auditor
dated 23rd April 1861 No 922.

Passed the orders of the
Board of Revenue
dated 6th May 1861
No 1103

Rev. Dept. 6 June 1860 No 17
" 15 Jan 61 " 195 & 197
" 6 April " 87 & 88 148-149
" 20 April " 965 446.

Rece 1861 Dept
Prov Govt for May
From No 1109

To

The Secretary
~~to the Board of Revenue~~
to Govt

Dated 6th May 1861
Received 8th

No 302

No

No 1103 - 28th May

Enquires whether
the Dept Collector appt
to Kurnool or the one
posted to Kistna District
to be considered as addn.

No 251.

C - 10 Mar 1863

The Civil Auditor has
referred to para 2 of the order of the
of 23rd April 1861 No 922 - That
clearly says that the D Collector
is to be entered in the 4 D Collectors
Rev: Dept.

Para 2 of the order of the
the Financial Dept of 28th March 1861
172 is not applicable to the Sudder
duly appointed to act
enquiry. This is a distinct case of
Mr ent will be necessary.
Civil Auditor

for whom
by Mr. Jellicoe ~~the is ass~~
~~leave~~ he is acting.

2. Mr. Stuart Deputy
apparently
Collector of Malabar is extra
to the fixed number but he is
doing duty in another Deptt.
and his pay as Deputy Collr.
~~is left unspent.~~ × remains undrawn.

× Vide order of Govt. D/5-

3. Para 2 of the order of
Govt. in the Financial Deptt.
dated 28th March 1861 No. 142
is not applicable to the case:
person
~~incumbent~~ lately appointed to
act for Sadasiva Pillay at
Tanjore. This is a special
charge in ex-
case & no extra ~~cost will be~~
entailed by the arrangement.
~~necessary~~.

I. D. S

3 Copies
to
The Civil Auditor Circ. 28
13 — Bd of Rev.

Revenue 1861 Deptt
Pro: of Govt. for May

No. 1103.

Pro: of the Madras Govt.
Dd 28th May 1861.

Inform the Civil Auditor that neither of the two appointments of Deputy Collectors for Kurnool and Cuddapah constitutes an addition to the fixed number of Deputy Collectors.

No. 251 & 252.

Pay Collr Raghunadaswamy
Manjoiah &c.