

(1) Number of pages 38(2) Date of repair 13-11-38(3) Initials of the Referencer Q

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.
Ar. 31/3/60

G.O. No.

620Press
Mis

Dated

43-45
23. 8 - 18 60Not to be folded or roughly handled

①

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
for the month of March 1860.*

Read the following letters:—

No. 43. From Lieutenant-Colonel J. H. BELL, Chief Engineer, to J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, dated 24th December 1859, No. 8,701:—

I have the honor, with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation No. 596, 15th March last, to submit the accompanying Return Dismissals, Suspensions and Fines imposed on public servants in the Department

Two Statements.
Public Works, for the Quarter ending 30th September last.

2. The reasons assigned by Local Officers for Suspensions and Dismissals appear satisfactory, and do not call for any explanation from me; but I would remark that in Minutes of Consultation, No. 596, 15th March 1859, paragraph 10, the pay and allowances of a man suspended cease, and no provision is made for paying him one-fourth of his salary for subsistence agreeably to the Notification published in Extract Minutes of Consultation, No. 2,384, 24th December 1857. I, therefore, beg to be informed if this last-quoted Order continues in force, or if it is cancelled by paragraph 6 of the Order first referred to above. I would recommend the Notification being embodied in the Rules of Punishments in Department Public Works, with an additional clause to the effect, that whenever a man is *honorably acquitted* of a charge of dishonesty, during the investigation of which he had been suspended (of which there was an instance in Canara in the past Quarter), that he should not forfeit his personal salary.

3. Greater discrimination has been shown in the past Quarter in exercising the power of Fining, but there have been some irregularities; whether from misapprehension of the Orders, or a want of attention to them, I cannot say.

4. In the Districts as per margin, one or more individuals have been fined more heavily than is allowed, at one time, although in some cases the excess is small; otherwise the fines generally have been judicious, and, as the sums levied in excess will be ordered to be refunded, it is not requisite to comment further on them, or I would specify two or three cases in which the punishment was too severe.

Codavery.	Trichinopoly.
Cuddapah.	Madura.
Gauah.	Executive Engineer,
Kurnool.	Bangalore.
North Arcot.	Civil Architect.

No. 44. From Lieutenant-Colonel J. H. BELL, Chief Engineer, to the Secretary to Government, dated 19th January 1860, No. 9,301.

Referring to the Return of Fines accompanying my letter, dated 24th December 1859, No. 8,701, I have the honor to forward herewith a letter received from the Executive Engineer of Bangalore, requesting that the Fine imposed on Rangaswami Mudaliar, Draftsman and Estimate Maker, for absence without leave, entered in his Return of Fines for the Quarter ending 30th September last, may be cancelled, as at the date of the Return in question he was not aware of the Orders prescribing that the deduction from the salaries of Government servants absenting themselves from duty without leave, should not be entered in the Quarterly Return of Fines, which is to include only stoppages made for misconduct, carelessness, disobedience of orders, or neglect of duty.

2. Under the above explanation, I beg that the Government will be pleased to cancel the entry in question in the Return of Fines for the Quarter ending 30th September 1859.

No. 45. ORDER THEREON, 23rd March 1860, No. 620.

The Returns of Fines and of Suspensions and Dismissals submitted with the above letter need but few remarks; the Government concur generally in those of the Chief Engineer. That Officer properly purposes to refund to the parties the Fines levied in excess of the limits prescribed in the Order of Government dated 15th March 1859, No. 596.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
for the month of March 1860.*

2. Sub-Overseer Muttuswami under the Garrison Engineer, is stated to have been fined the sum of Rupees 3-2-0 "for furnishing a false Report of work executed by the Contractors at the Body Guard Lines." If the offence is correctly described, the punishment is extremely light; the Chief Engineer will be requested to make enquiries on the subject, and pass such orders as he may think fit.

3. It is observed that several of the District Engineers impose generally the maximum Fine allowed by the Rules; they should be reminded that the object of the limit is that it should be the highest, and not the ordinary or usual amount.

4. In the Return of Dismissals it is noticed that one Sabapati Pillai, Acting Head Writer in Trichinopoly, was punished with dismissal for simple neglect of duty. The punishment seems too severe for the offence, and the Chief Engineer will call for an explanation, and dispose of the case as he may consider just and proper.

5. The Chief Engineer will also be informed that the mere general terms "neglect of duty," or "disobedience of orders," are not sufficient explanation of the grounds of punishment. The nature of the act or omission should be stated in more detail.

6. In cases wherein a servant is suspended during the investigation of a charge against him, the nature of the charge, and the ultimate result of the enquiry, should always be shown in the column of Remarks.

7. The Chief Engineer will be informed in reply to the enquiry in the second paragraph of his letter, that the Order of 15th March 1859 superseded the previous Order of the 24th December 1857. No part of their salary or allowance should be paid to servants under suspension; the wording of paragraph 10 (clause third) of the Order of March 15th is so clear that any misunderstanding on this point is surprising. The pay of a member of the Department under suspension shall be kept in deposit pending a final decision on his case.

8. The attention of the Chief Engineer will be called to the Orders of the 13th February 1856, No. 221, paragraph 7, and 26th July 1859, No. 1,801, paragraph 7, which prescribe the Order in which the Districts should be ranged in every Statement, and he will be requested to cause it to be punctually observed.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

8701

Central Office of P.W.D.
Fort Saint George
24th December 1859.

From
The Chief Engineer

To
The Secretary
to Government P.W.D.

Public

Sir,

Enclosure 2.
2 Statements

I have the honor, with reference to
Extract Minutes of Consultation No. 596 15th March
last, to submit the accompanying Returns of Dismissals
suspensions and fines imposed on public servants
in the P.W.D. for the Quarter ending 30th
September last. —

2. The reasons assigned by Local Officers
for suspensions and dismissals appear satisfactory,
and do not call for any explanation from me; but
I would remark that in Minutes of Consultation No. 596
15th March 1859 para 10 the Pay and allowances
of a man suspended ceased and no provision is
made for paying him one fourth of his salary
for subsistence agreeably to the stipulation
published

been fined more heavily than is allowed at one time, although in some cases the excess is small; otherwise the fines generally have been judicious, and, as the sum levied in excess will be ordered to be refunded, it is not requisite to comment further on them; or I would specify two or three cases in which the punishment was too severe. —

- Poavery
- Cudapah
- Canara
- Kurnool
- North Arcot
- Tichindopoly
- Madras
- Executive Engineer Bangalore
- Civil Architect

Chief Office of 18th
Fort Saint George
24th December 1857

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
J. H. Kent Folwell
Chief Engineer

published in Extract Minutes of Consultation No. 2384 24th December 1857. Therefore beg to be informed if this last quoted order continues in force or if it is cancelled by para 6 of the Order first referred to above. I would recommend the notification being embodied in the rules of Punishments in D.P.W. with an additional clause to the effect that whenever a man is honourably acquitted of a charge of dishonesty during the investigation of which he has been suspended (of which there was an instance in Canara in the past Quarter) that he should not forfeit his personal salary. —

3 Greater discrimination has been shown in the past Quarter in exercising the power of fining, but there have been some irregularities; whether from misapprehension of the Orders, or a want of attention to them, I cannot say. —

4 In the Districts as per margin one or more individuals have been

Office of the
Chief Engineer
Public Works Department

19th January 1860

No 9301
The Chief Engineer
The Secretary to Government
Public Works Department

Referring to the Return of
Dues accompanying my letter dated 2nd
December 1859. No 8901, I have the honor to
forward herewith a letter received from the
Executive Engineer of Bangalore requesting that
the fine imposed on Rangasami Modaliar,
Draftsman and Estimate Maker for absence
without leave, entered in his Return of Dues
for the Quarter ending 30th September last,
may be cancelled, as at the date of the
Return in question he was not aware of the
orders prescribing that the deduction from the
salaries of Government servants absenting them-
selves from duty without leave should not be
entered

Sub.

97-15 March 1859 No 135
23. June 1859 - 115-116
4th Dec 1859 - 123
124

97-15 March 1859
23. June 1859 - 115-116
4th Dec 1859 - 123
124

Chief Engineer
Public Works Department
Bangalore
10-2-

Chief Engineer
Public Works Department
Bangalore
10-2-

Chief Engineer
Public Works Department
Bangalore
10-2-

Chief Engineer
Public Works Department
Bangalore
10-2-

Chief Engineer
Public Works Department
Bangalore
10-2-

entered in the Quarterly Return of fines which
is to include only stoppages made for misconduct,
carelessness, disobedience of orders or neglect of duty.

2. Under the above explanation, I
beg that the Government will be pleased to
cancel the entry in question in the Return of
Fines for the Quarter ending 30th September 1859.

Shahkhan
19th January
1860

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedt^l Servant,

A. B. Leath,
Chief Engineer

A. B. Leath
Chief Engineer

The Secretary to Government
Public Works Department

PA

①

Madison

Commenced in
Sept 1854. 15
paid 100 dollars
month.

Mr. H. Hargis
1854. 100

Miss Denny
1854

Stone Reacher
10

Madison
Superior

Stone
Dismal

Working and remaining absent from his office
not less than 10 days in 1854 and continued
subordination and disrespectful conduct. His
Superior Officer
Executive Engineer Jackastalla

Superior

Stone
Dismal

Superior
Executive Engineer Hargis

Stone
Dismal

Superior

Madison
Superior

Stone

The Return received from the District
Engineers of Oregon, Washington, Kansas
Missouri, Nebraska, Colorado, Idaho, Utah, Nevada
and Minnesota and will be directed and
action Engineer are blank.

W. H. H. H.
Chief Engineer

Madison
Superior
Dismal
1854

X. H. H.

Superior

Superior

Superior

Superior

Superior

Madison
Superior
Dismal
1854

Madison
Superior
Dismal
1854

6

W. Bellamy

Suspensions

None

Dismissal

Mr. Gereira reported himself sick as often as he liked. The Doctor requested him, saying, "Get up and see me ordered to attend the office." He said he failed to do so. He has since left W. Bellamy along with

since dismissed by the Engineer

Mr. Gereira 35

Sept 2nd 1859
1st March 1859

Engrs

Suspensions

W. Bellamy 1st
Sept 3rd 1859 40

On inspection of having enlarged accounts for the purpose of the Government

Honorable Secy
Sept 1st 1859

Dismissal

None

Long Defunct

Suspensions

None

Dismissal

W. Bellamy 1st
Sept 1st 1858 35

W. Bellamy 1st
Sept 1st 1858 35

Dismissed on
Sept 1st 1859

Engrs

Suspensions

W. Bellamy 1st
Sept 1st 1859 80

Reduced to 1st Class 1st Jan 1859
for, constant neglect of duty and general
neglect of his accounts

Dismissed by
Sept 1st 1859

Dismissal

None

Prohibition

Suspensions

None

Dismissal

Engrs

W. Bellamy 1st
Sept 1st 1859 40

W. Bellamy 1st
Sept 1st 1859 40

Dismissed by
Sept 1st 1859

Suspensions

None

Dismissal

W. Bellamy 1st
Sept 1st 1859 5

Dismissed by
Sept 1st 1859

Return to Public Accountants and Clerks from Employment in the Department of the Interior during the year ending 31st December 1855.

Name of the
Accountant

Office

Initials
of the
Accountant

1

2

3

4

5

6

General Division
Superintendence

73. General
General
3rd January 1855

Subsided 20 days pending an enquiry into
a charge of having extorted 2000 dollars from
a squatter

General

Stone
Division

Superintendence

Stone
Division

74. General
General
3rd January 1855

75. General
General
3rd January 1855

General
Superintendence

General
Superintendence

76. General
General
3rd January 1855

Subsided during an investigation into a
charge of extortion

General

77. General
General
3rd January 1855

Overhaul disbursement. Great parcels sent
and more than suitable irregularities in
the accounts of expenditure

General

Superintendence

78. General
General
3rd January 1855

Assessing without leave since the 1st July, in
regency in keeping accounts and reported
acts of insubordination and disobedience

General

Superintendence

79. General
General
3rd January 1855

Constructing the work and accounts of a large
tunnel over the Colingula of the Tungas
further work placed under the charge of a
road survey and thereby increased the
cost of the tunnel being decided to be
increased

General

Superintendence

Enclosure² in a letter
from the Chief Engineer
to the Secretary of Govern-
ment: Public Works Depart.
dated 24 December 1859
N^o 8701

M 337 6/59.

Return of notices on
disinfectants for the quarter
ending 30th September
1859

W. J. B. 10/59

W. J. B. 11

Revenue Engineer Bangalore

Amangasuram & Mooda Spanglitanam & Belinakantha 50 " " ~~8~~ 5 4
 Ogallapath _____ Sub Engineer _____ 30 " " 2 " "

For accounting five days without a Madad
 For accounting a Cart load of chips sold
 from the yard to be filled down the road
 quantity and for stating that a Cart
 hunter who was absent was not firing
 voluntes really not knowing where
 the man was fired on two occasions.

Kooda Dillay _____ Gommatlu _____ 17 8 " 1 "

For continually attending the office late.

Mahomed Ally _____ Saocar _____ 5 4 " 5 7

For absenting weday without leave

Civil Architect

Amoorvegnur Sub Engineer 15 " ~~2~~ " " For disobedience of orders.

Mootasuram _____ Sub Engineer _____ 25 " " 3 2 " "

For furnishing a false report of work done
 effected by the contractor at the Bodely
 ground near

Uindanagalloo _____ Chief Spanglitanam _____ 60 " " 4 " 8 " For late attendance.

Central Office Public Works

B. Ayagahopant _____ Kolkis _____ 12 " " 1 " " For neglect of duty.

The Motions received from the District Engineers of Ganjam, Vizagapatnam
 & Nellore and Malakam are blank.

Central Office (Mil.
 Fort St. George)
 24th December 1859

H. M. Bell
 Civil Engineer

and

~~10~~ " 0+7

For not Encouraging Circulars of 1858 for 11
clays and for neglected neglect in
working in Portland letters -

for obtaining insurance

~~30~~ 5

2

7
=

2

7

17

7.7

611

✓

13/

126 2

30

117

10

10

1

9

4

3

25

100

1

30

9

5
4
3
2
1

25

—

Pa

三

15

15.

9

100

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
84

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and titles, including "The Hon. Mr. Justice" and "The Hon. Mr. Justice".

North Creek

Demaphaki _____ Saen _____
 Kothundaramen _____ Do _____
 Kistina Pod _____ Titer und Comaetio _____

Chinleput

of Tolson my knowledge _____
Everest _____

Le jaguambacabhozi
Deconland y Colmatonaka

Leptocarpus *obtusifolius* (Lamour.)

Chengdu 1949

Franklin Drexel

December

250

Hotel Heffner—

Sanford

Genre _____

of the Governor _____
Do _____

Demian

Alb Queen—

214 Muching

1859 Sept.

No.

Enclosure 1 in a letter from
the Chief Engineer to the
Secretary to Government -
D.P.W. Dated 24 Decembe
1859. No 8701

DD 3375/59

Return of fines im-
posed on Public Servants of
the D.P.W. during the quar-
ter ending 30th September
1859-

J.P.D.

W-620.

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 23rd March/59

Read the following
letter from the Chief Eng^r

Submitting Returns
of fines and dismissals of
Public Servants in the D.P.W.
for the Quarter ending 30th Sep
1859. (Here enter 24 Dec/59 No 8701)

Requests that an alter-
ation in the return of fines
for the Quarter ending 30 Sep
1859, may be made. (Here enter 19 Jan/60 No 9301)

The Returns of
fines and suspensions
and dismissals submit-
ted with the above letter
need but few remarks;
the Govt concur generally
in those of the Chief Eng^r.
That officers properly
purposes to refund to the
parties the fines levied
in excess of the limits pre-
scribed in the order of Govt
dated 15 March/59 No 596
Sub.

L

W
H
H

2. Sub Overseer Co
Muttasavmi under
the Garrison Regt is stated
to have been fined the sum
of Rupees 3-2-0 "for fur-
-nishing a false report
of work executed by the
contractors at the Body
Guard Lines." If the offence
is correctly described, the
punishment is extremely
light; the Chief Magt. will
be requested to make in-
-quiries on the subject, &
pass such orders as he may
think fit.

3. It is observed that
several of the D. Engineers
impose generally ^{the} maximum
fine allowed by the rules;
they should be reminded
that the object of the limit
is that it should be the
highest, and not the ordinary
or usual amount.

4. In the return
of

(16)
of dismissals it is noticed
that one Sabapathy Pillay
A. Head Writer in Trichy
-nopoly was punished with
dismissal for simple neg-
-lect of duty. The punishment
seems too severe for the offence,
and the Chief Magt. will call
for an explanation, and dispose
of the case as he may consider
just and proper.

5. The Chief Magt. will
be informed that the mere
general terms "neglect of duty
or disobedience of orders" are
not sufficient explanation
of the grounds of punish-
-ment. The nature of the
act or omission should be
stated in more detail.

6. In cases where a
servant is suspended du-
-ring the investigation of
a charge against him, the
nature of the charge, and the
ultimate result of the in-
-quiry should always be
shown

Shewn in the column of
Remarks.

7. The Chief Engrs
will be informed in reply
to the inquiry in the second
para of his letter, that the
order of March 15th 54 su-
perseded the previous order
of the 24th Decr 54. No part
of their salary or allowance
should be paid to ser-
vants under suspen-
sion; the wording of
para 10 (Clause third)
of the order of March
15th is so clear that any
misunderstanding on
this point is surprising.

The pay of a member
of the Department in-
der suspension shall
be kept in deposit
pending a final deci-
sion on his case.

8. The attention
of the Chief Engineer
will

will be called to the order
of the 13 Feb 55 No 221 para 4
of 25 July 1859 No 1501 para 4 which
prescribe the order in
which the Districts should
be ranged in every statement
and he will be requested
to cause it to be punctu-
ally observed.

For the Chief Engineer
with an Encl. P. 24

Public Works 1860 Dep't.
Pro: of Govt. 31st March

No 620.

Pro: of the Madras Govt.
Dy 23rd March 1860.

From the Ch. Engr. Dy 25th Apr/60

C. No 1041
Return for quarter ending
31st Decr 1859

From D. S. 22nd May 50 Cms
1286 in Pro: 8th June
N. 1198

Passing remarks on the
return of Dismissals, dis-
-pensions and fines impos-
-ed on Public Servants in the
Department Public Works for
the quarter ending 30th Sept^r
last.

Noted at 115.