

(1) Number of pages 12(2) Date of repair 5-11-38(3) Initials of the Referencer 27

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.
con 1073/60

G.O. No. <u>496</u>	Press <u>Mis.</u>
<u>98.100.</u> Dated <u>7-3-18</u> <u>60</u>	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
for the month of March 1860.

No. 99.

Read the following.

No. 10355.
List of Bills submitted for the sanction of Government, which exceed their estimates by more than 2 per cent.

Audit Nos.	Date of Bills.	Description of Work.	Amount of Estimate.	Rs.	A. P.	Rs.	A. P.	Rs.	A. P.	Excess.	Per centage of excess.	The year to which the expenditure appertains.	Remarks.
3035	1859 May 9	For repairing Thandampalli Chikka-rāja anicut channel.....	Rs.	127	3	0							First explanation of the District Engineer. The excess over the sanctioned expenditure is due to the rate paid for earth-work being double that allowed in the estimate, viz. 1 anna per cubic yard instead of 6 pice. Second explanation. The estimate was prepared by the Collector's Department in 1854, the work was executed by Sub-Overseer Mōhasee Mudali. It was actually required, and has been honestly executed. The Sub-Overseer has been warned never to exceed an estimate on his own responsibility except in case of extreme emergency, and that he is not responsible for the excess. First explanation of the District Engineer. The excess is due to the cost of extra establishment employed during a great part of last year owing to the great size of the taluq, viz. 1,400 square miles and the number of small works on hand. Second explanation. The estimate was prepared by the Collector's Department in 1856-57, the work was executed by Sub-Overseer Williams, and the excess is due to the cost of extra establishment which was not allowed for in the sanctioned estimate; also, the Sub-Overseer has been warned never to exceed an estimate on his own responsibility except in case of extreme emergency, and also that he is not responsible for the excess.
227		Do. do. do.		461	0	0							
		Deduct amount of bill for 1857-58, passed by the Chief Engineer on the 7th October 1858.....	500	588	3	0	88	0	17½	0		1858-59	
		Amount requiring sanction.....		461	0	0							
3036	Do.	For repairing Admankōta tank.....	338	368	1	0	30	1	0	8½		Do.	First explanation. The excess of expenditure is due to work necessarily performed in excess of the sanctioned estimate; and 2nd, to the employment of a Mesiri on a high salary almost exclusively on this work during its progress. Second explanation. The estimate was prepared by the District Engineer, the work was executed by Sub-Overseer Williams, and the work done in excess was actually required and honestly executed; also, that he is not responsible for the excess.
3037	Do.	For repairing Kadagatu tank.....	257	416	1	0	159	1	0	61½		Do.	

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
for the month of March 1860.

Audit No.	Date of Bills.	Description of Work.	Amount of Estimate.	Amount of Bill.	Excess.	Per centage of excess.	The year to which the expenditure appertains.	Remarks.
	1859		Rs.	Rs.	A. P. Rs. A. P.			
3038	May 9	For repairing the Samudram tank.....	224	310 4 6	86 4 6	38½	1858-59	First explanation. The excess of expenditure is due to bad weather during which the work was carried on. The estimate was to close a breach while a large kalingal was being lengthened and its completion was much delayed by a series of freshes. Second explanation. The estimate was prepared by Assistant Overseer Barringer and the work was executed by him. The work done in excess was actually required and honestly executed. The Assistant Overseer has been warned never to exceed an estimate on his own responsibility except in case of extreme emergency, and that he is not responsible for the excess.
3039	Do.	For repairing the bund of the Būm-ampatti tank and constructing two sluices.....	250	479 10 6	229 10 6	91½	Do.	First explanation. The excess is due to the insufficiency of the rates allowed in the sanctioned estimate which was framed in 1855, and to work necessarily done in excess of the quantities given in the estimate. Second explanation. The estimate was prepared by Captain Outchierly in 1855, the work was executed by Assistant Overseer Barringer. The excess is due to increased rates, the work was very urgently required and completed on the District Engineer's responsibility. A revised estimate of Rupees 470 was made by Mr. Barringer for the work shortly after its commencement, the District Engineer stating that he fully intended to submit it for sanction, but had forgotten to do so, and that he is now unable to find the revised estimate, and further that the Assistant Overseer is not responsible for the excess.
3040	June 21	For repairing the Adankōta and Pākōd road.....	373	445 9 0	72 9 0	19½	Do.	First explanation. The excess of expenditure is due to the slow progress of the work by which the cost of extra employment was incurred from the sanctioned allowance of 3 per cent to upwards of 10 per cent, and to the insufficiency of the rate allowed for graveling. Second explanation. The estimate was prepared by Sub-Overseer Williams and the work was executed by him, and the same was urgently required and honestly executed, and he is not responsible for the excess.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
for the month of March 1860.

Date	Description of Work.	Amount of Estimate.	Amount of Bill.	Excess.	Per centage of excess.	The year to which the expenditure appertains.	Remarks.
3041	May 9	For repairing the Salem and Wamalar road.....	930	1,137 14 0	207 14 0	22¼	Do.
		2,872	3,234 11 0	873 8 0			
	Total amount of estimates.....	2,872					
	Total amount of bills.....		3,745 11 0				
	Deduct amount sanctioned by the Chief Engineer.....		461 0 0				
	Amount requiring the sanction of Government.....		3,284 11 0				

N. R.—The first explanations given above were considered unsatisfactory, and the District Engineer of Salem was called upon to furnish in each case the names of the parties who prepared the estimate and executed the work, and whether the executive parties should not be made responsible for paying higher rates, and performing additional work without permission. In reply that Officer offers further explanation which is also given in each case, below those just alluded to and which appear sufficient.

Central Office of P. W.,
Sépakkam,
16th February 1860.

(Signed) J. H. BELL, Colonel,
Chief Engineer.

ORDER THEREON, 7th March 1860, No. 496.

The Bills are sanctioned.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

Let. of Wells submitted for the sanction

Chambers per Cent.

Date	Description of work	Amount	Drift
1857			
2035	May 7 th For repairs & sundries	Handed in	
	Chickadee	Chickadee	
	Chamuel	129 3 "	
		461 "	
227		500 "	588 3 "
			461 "

Subscribed amount of April for 1857
 1858, paid by the Chief Engineer
 on the 4th October 1858

Amount requiring sanction 1273

Carried Over 577 " " 124 3 " 88 "

No. Date of Bill	Description of work	Amount claimed of Estimate	Percent of year to age of which the expense bears	Remarks
	Spring towards 1912	212 13	665 10	
1897 Aug 27	Fire being the burned lumbered Oak	930	22 14	1858 20
1900	Wagon			
		2842	342 11	843 8

First Examination of the receipt of 200 lumbermen - 2000 sanctioned estimate was due to the want of services and good management on the part of the District Officer - no charge was made as was reduced to a lower grade for minor contingencies.

Second Examination - The District was believed in the District Engineer's report was warranted and the District Officer's estimate was sanctioned for 2000 and no charge for work was made. It was returned to a lower grade for a similar estimate of minor contingencies and subsequently resigned in 1891.

Total Amount of Estimates 2842
 Total amount of Bills
 Deduct amount sanctioned by the Chief Engineer 212 13

Amount remaining the sanction of Government 2729 11

Of F.B. The first explanations given above were considered unsatisfactory, and the District Engineer of Salem was called upon to furnish in each case the names of the parties who prepared the Estimate and executed the work, and whether the Executive parties should now be made responsible for raising higher rates, and performing additional work with-out permission. In reply that Officer offered further explanations which is also given in each case, below those just alluded to and which appear sufficient.

Contract Officer of P.W.
 C. P. P. P.
 16 February 1893

J. M. Bell
 Chief Engineer

For Secretary to Government
 P. P. P.

Mr. 4th / 59 No 2519
 - 25th / 53 - 2403

10th / 53
 Do: of 200th / 53 - 1035

Do: of 200th / 53 - 1035
 Do: of 200th / 53 - 1035
 Do: of 200th / 53 - 1035

Do: of 200th / 53 - 1035
 Do: of 200th / 53 - 1035

Do: of 200th / 53 - 1035

Do: of 200th / 53 - 1035
 Do: of 200th / 53 - 1035

10th / 53

201 July 1829

1849

the Bills are small

Wth 4th

1849

1849

1849

1849