M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 6

(2) Date of repair 2-9-10-38

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works & Labour DEPARTMENT. 29/2/60

G.O. No. 418 Press Mis.

Dated 27-2-1960.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department, for the month of February 1860.

No. 79. Read the following letter from Colonel J. H. BELL, Chief Engineer; to the Secretary to Government, dated 11th February 1860, No. 10224.

With reference to paragraph 3 of the orders of Government of the 18th January 1860, No. 124, I have the honor to state that the sum of Rupees 538 for establishment, provided for in my estimate and objected to by Government, is intended for the superintendence of the iron work alone, to be executed on contract by Mr. Faulkner, as reported in my letter of the 29th December 1859, No. 8750.

Enclosure 1 original letter from Civil Architect.

2. On a reference being made to the Civil Architect, that officer observes that Mr. Faulkner was given to understand that the execution of the work would be entrusted to him, and Captain Hitchins is not aware of any other person competent to undertake work of that kind. Moreover, the subordinates in the Civil Architect's department have had no experience in the construction of iron bridges, and he is, therefore, against employing any of them on the work in question. With regard to the charge being excessive, Captain Hitchins states that the rates allowed in Mr. Faulkner's estimate are what the work will actually cost; and that unless Mr. Faulkner is specially remunerated for his labor in superintending the work, he cannot undertake it on contract.

No. 84, dated 28th January 1860.

3. Under these circumstances, I consider that the sum of Rupees 538 will be indispensable for carrying on the work with any degree of efficiency, and I have, therefore, to request that Government will sanction its inclusion in the estimate.

No. 80. ORDER THEREON, 27th February 1860, No. 418.

Under the explanation now furnished, the Government will not object to allow the item of Rupees (538) five hundred and thirty-eight for establishment entered in the estimate* for iron girders for the centre arch of Saint Mary's bridge at Madras. But as it is not practicable to include the sum in the provision made for the work in the budget of 1860-61, that document having now been closed, the Government sanction it as a supplemental expenditure of the next year.

* Rupees 4,750.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Central Office of I.P.W.
Sripakkam
11th February 1860

No. 1022

From

The Chief Engineer

To

The Secretary
to Government I.P.W.D.

Public

Sir,

With reference to para 3 of the Orders
of Government of the 18th January 1860, No. 124,
I have the honor to state that the sum of 1 Rupees 538
for establishment provided for in my Estimate and
objected to by Government is intended for the
superintendence of the Iron work alone, to be
executed on contract by Messrs Faulkner, as reported
in my letter of the 29th December 1859.-

Encls: 1 arief letter from Civil Architect

No. 8750

2. On a reference being made to the
Civil

Civil Architect. that Officer observes that Mr. Faulkner was given to understand that the execution of the work would be entrusted to him, and Captain Fitchins is not aware of any other person competent to undertake work of that kind. Moreover the Subordinates in the Civil Architects Department have had no experience in the construction of Iron Bridges, and he is therefore against employing any of them on the work in question.

With regard to the charge being excessive, Captain Fitchins states that the rates allowed in Mr. Faulkners Estimate are what the work will actually cost, and that unless Mr. Faulkner is specially remunerated for his labor in superintending the work, he cannot undertake it on contract. —

No 84 dated 28th Jany 1860

3. Under these circumstances I consider that the sum of Rupees 538 will be indispensable for carrying on the work with any degree of efficiency, and I have therefore to request that Government will sanction its inclusion in the Estimate. —

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Central Office of P.W.
Secretariat
1st February 1860.

Lieut Col
Secy to Govt: Public Works

Colonel
Chief Engineer

Ld Olney

PW-20. Estimate for 1860 No. 76 773

14-0-16 ✓

No 79 & 80.

17 July R 24

Pub. W. 1860 Department
Rec.d of Govt 29 February
1388.

From
The Chief Engineer

To
The Secretary
to Government of India

Dated 11th
Received 11th February 1860

No. 224
Encl. in Return
Pub. 18 27 Feby

With reference to the
orders of Government given in Secretary's
1860 No. 124 of 4 claims for extra allowance
sum of Rupees 388 for the additional
work proposed for in the Estimate for
Rupees 4750 for altering the centre arch
of St Mary's Bridge.

Dy No. 418.

Under the explanation now
furnished, the Government will not
object to allow the item of Rs. 388 five
hundred and thirty eight for establish-
ment entered in the estimate for erec-
ting 2 cast iron girders for the centre arch of St Mary's
Wardha bridge at Madras. But it is not
considered practicable to include the same in
the provision made for the working
charges of the Budget of 1860 61 that document
having now been closed the Govern-
ment sanction it as a supplemental
expenditure of the next year.

Fort St George
27th July 1860
By Order
Chief Engineer