

Suply Engr's suggestions,
be ordered.

2. The work is to
be carried out from
Canal & ~~from~~ Ferry funds,
and the Rev. Dept are
prepared to make the
 requisite allotment.

WWS
8.11.87

for
2/11/87

124007C of 10-11-87

Dec 11-11.87

12405 May 23-5-87
- 12567r - 18-5-86
- 78 - 15-4-86
- 17982 - 9-11-85
- 10672 - 17-8-85

Car 12/9/11
12/9/11

12/9/11

V/ No 4007 of 10 November P.W.D.
1887

Memo.

From the S. S. I Circle No 1789 L.D. of 20.10.87

L.D. of 18.4.87, No 375 Rev.
- of 23.5.87 No 1405 W, Prop.

Referred, with
copies of the papers marginally
noted, to the Local and
Municipal Dept. for
favourable information
whether the construction
of the bridge can be
undertaken by the
Godavari District Board
Engineers.

2. If so, it is suggested
that the preparation
of revised plans and
estimate, based on the

guards -

The Supr. Engr.
also requests that
Mr carrying out
of this work ^{shall} be
P.W.D. may be re-
considered as the
work will be
within a convenient
distance of the
posn of the Local
Fund Engineer but
that Mr riders may
be made up in
the blacksmiths
workshops and
detail drawings
being furnished
by Mr Local Fund
Engineer.

encl
2/11/87

would be checked
^{or corrected}
if necessary.

Under these cir-
cumstances the
Supt. Engr. in his
letter above quoted
requests that the
P.R.D. may not be
made to carry
out the design
as sanctioned, but
strongly recommends
that a properly pre-
pared design and
Estimate should
be obtained from
an English bridge
building firm,
and further recom-
mends that the
width of the
roadway should
not be less than
15' between wheel

so faulty or insufficiently
explained in the
drawings that he
had to communicate
with the Local Fund
Engr. on this subject
vide letter No 668 of 6/6/84,
in which it is clearly
pointed out the
apparent irregularities
in the design and
inconsistencies in
the Estimate. - The
Local Fund Engineer
in reply states that the
plans ^{which} accompanied
the Estimate were
a sketch intended
to give only a general
idea of the structure
and that in the
event of its being
sanctioned it was
his intention
to send it to a
bridge building
firm where the

Public Works Department.

Current No. 8845
Letter No. 1789 G.D.
Dated the 20th October 1887
From the Supy: Engineer I Crichton

NOTE OF REMARKS.

The accompanying
estimate amounting
to Rs 30,850 for con-
structing an iron
girder bridge over
the Matthalpalem
Salt Creek was sub-
mitted in G.O. No 14054
of 23rd Nov 1887
and the Supy: Eng^r
was called upon
to report what
sum would be
required during
the current financial
year for expenditure
on the work.

The Supy: Eng^r in
reply points out
the design was rather