461

M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 6.

(2) Date of repair 9.5.38

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

Dec 17.6.59

G.O. No. 1461	Press / Mis.
43-44 Dated 14-6-	1859.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department, from 11th to 17th June 1859.

No. 43. Read the following letter from P. GRANT, Esq., Collector of Malabar; to J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, dated Calicut, 31st May 1859, No. 43.

With reference to the Proceedings of the Madras Government, dated 21st Instant, No. 1234, I have the honor to state that the delay of nearly 7½ months which would appear by the Statement submitted by the Civil Auditor to have occurred in replying to the audit of Mahramut charges of this District for Fusly 1265, cannot fairly be imputed to my office.

2. The audit dated the 1*st* was received on the 16th March 1858, and the replies thereto were furnished on the 21st May following.

3. In his letter dated 27th August 1858, the Civil Auditor requested me to expedite the transmission of my replies to the audit in question, and I answered by return of post stating

31st August 1858.

that they had been despatched on the date above quoted, viz., the 21st May 1858. Upon this the Civil Auditor stated that the "document if received has been mislaid in his office," and requested me to furnish

In letter dated 29th September 1858.

him with a duplicate thereof, which I accordingly did on the 5th October 1858.

4. The re-audit was received on the 28th January, and replies thereto furnished on the 28th February last.

No. 44. ORDER THEREON, 14th June 1859, No. 1461.

It would appear from this letter that the delay in replying to an audit, imputed in the Civil Auditor's letter of 25th April 1859 No. 213 to the Collector of Malabar, was really due to oversight in his own office.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

13/79.

(3)

Malabar Collector's Office,
Calicut, 31st May 1859.

From
P. Grant, Esquire,
Collector of Malabar.

To
J. D. Bourdillon, Esquire,
Secretary to Government,
Fort St George.

Sir,

With reference to the Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 21st Instant No 1234, I have the honor to state that the delay of nearly 7½ months which would appear by the Statement submitted by the Civil Auditor to have occurred in replying to the Audit of Mahramut Charges of this District for Fusly 1265, cannot fairly be imputed to my Office.

2. The audit dated the 1st was received on the 16th March 1858, and the replies thereto were furnished on the 21st May following.

3. In his letter dated 27th August 1858, the Civil Auditor requested me to expedite the transmission of my replies

replies to the Audit in question, and I answered by return of Post stating that they had been dispatched on the date above quoted viz^t, the 21^st May 1858. Upon this the Civil Auditor stated that the "Document if received has been mislaid in his Office," and requested me to furnish him with a Duplicate thereof, which I accordingly did on the 5^th October 1858

31^st August 1858

In letter dated 29^th September 1858

4. The Readit was received on the 28^th January, and replies thereto furnished on the 28^th February last.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obed^t Servant,

Collector

Co. Cork

P.P. Cons. 27th May 59 No. 67-68

P.W. 1859. Department
Pro: of Govt. 1st June.
No. 1468.
From Collector of Malabar
To Secretary to Govt
Revenue Dept.

Dated 31st May 1859
Rec'd
No. 143.

No. 1461 dated 14th June 1859
States, with reference to
Proceedings of Government
dated 21st Instant, that
the delay in replying to
the Audit of the Mahramut
Charges for Fusly 1265, as
reported by the Civil Audtr
cannot be fairly attributed
to this Office.
With an order

No. 143 & 144.

From Fort St. George
11th June 1859

1 Copy to the Collr of Malabar Dated 15th
1 —— to Civil Audtr

No. 1461
would
It appears from this
letter that the delay in replying
to an audit, imputed in the
Civil Auditor's letter of 25 April
1859 No. 263 to the Collector of
Malabar, was really due to ~~me~~
~~receipt~~ ~~hence~~ in his own office.