

(1) Number of pages 16

(2) Date of repair 12-11-57

(3) Initials of the Referencer 47

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.
Can 10/6/57

G.O. No.	<u>1415</u>	Press Mis.
Dated	<u>15-76</u> <u>10-6-1857</u>	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

911
19/9/38

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
from 1st to 10th June 1859.*

Read again letter from the Chief Engineer, dated 12th and recorded in Diary to Consultation 29th April 1859, No. 9493.

No. 175. Read also the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel J. H. BELL, Chief Engineer; to J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, dated 18th May 1859, No. 599.

I have the honor, with reference to the order of Government as per margin, to state that I have given my best consideration to the subject of the proposed Church at Calicut and its site.

2. The construction of the Church was recommended and sanctioned to provide accommodation for 120 persons. But these are now divided into two bodies of apparently 80 Soldiers and 40 of the Civil class, 3 miles apart. It cannot be expected that the Government should erect a Church for a congregation of only 40, without any present prospect of an increase; and with regard to the proposition for building the Church near the Barracks, as it would evidently be a Soldiers' Church, it appears to be negatived by the Resolution of March 16th 1858, No. 473, referring to a letter from the Government of India of July 22nd 1848 No. 566.

3. The Venerable the Archdeacon objects to the proposed "Central" site, and I perfectly agree with him both for the reason which he has given, and also because I think it very undesirable to march Soldiers even $1\frac{1}{2}$ mile to Church, though such a distance is made light of by the Trustees.

4. Upon the whole my opinion is that the construction of the Church should be postponed, but if that is not approved by Government I recommend that the Church may be built at Calicut, and that the usual provision may be made for Divine service in the Barracks.

No. 176. ORDER THEREON, 10th June 1859, No. 1415.

The Government concur with the Chief Engineer that the erection of the Church at Calicut should be postponed for the present.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

N^o 599.
From

Central Office of Pub Works
Fort St George 18 May 1859
3

To The Chief Engineer
The Secretary to Government P. W. O.

Sir,

"Ecclesiastical"
28 April 1859
N^o 977

Enclosure - 1.

1. Enclosure - 2.

2. Enclosure - 3.

3. Enclosure - 4.

4. Enclosure - 5.

5. Enclosure - 6.

6. Enclosure - 7.

7. Enclosure - 8.

8. Enclosure - 9.

9. Enclosure - 10.

10. Enclosure - 11.

11. Enclosure - 12.

12. Enclosure - 13.

13. Enclosure - 14.

14. Enclosure - 15.

15. Enclosure - 16.

16. Enclosure - 17.

17. Enclosure - 18.

18. Enclosure - 19.

19. Enclosure - 20.

20. Enclosure - 21.

21. Enclosure - 22.

22. Enclosure - 23.

23. Enclosure - 24.

24. Enclosure - 25.

25. Enclosure - 26.

26. Enclosure - 27.

27. Enclosure - 28.

28. Enclosure - 29.

29. Enclosure - 30.

I have the honor, with reference to the Order of Government as per margin, to state that I have given my best consideration to the subject of the proposed Church at Salient and its site -

2. The construction of the Church was recommended and sanctioned to provide accommodation for 120 persons - But there are now divided into two bodies of apparently 80 soldiers and 40 of the Bomb Corps, 3 miles apart. It cannot be expected that the Government should erect a Church for a congregation of only 40, without any present prospect of an increase, and with regard to the proposition for building the Church near the barracks, as it would evidently be a Soldiers' Church, it appears to be negatived by the Resolution of March 16th 1858, referring to a letter from the Government of India of July 23rd 1848 N^o 566 -

3. The Venerable the Archdeacon objects to the proposed "Central" site, and I perfectly agree with him both for the reason which he has given, and also because I think it very undesirable to march Soldiers even 1 1/2 mile to Church, though such

Chief Engineer -

such a distance is made light of by the Trustees.

4
Upon the whole my opinion is
that the construction of the Church should be
postponed, but if that is not approved by Govern-
ment I recommend that the Church may be
built at Calicut, and that the usual provision
may be made for divine service in the Banacks.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Fort Saint George }
10th May 1859 }

H. B.
S. C. S. S. S. S.

Your most obed^t Servant

H. B. S. S. S.

Chief Engineer

To
The Secretary to Government
Public Works Department

Compd

10 " The Chief Engineer

Central Office of P. M. 7
Fort St. George 7 May 1859

N^o 224

From
The Supy Engr. Lt. Circle
To
The Quarter Master General
of the Army

Sir,
With reference to Note 2, of the Minute
by the Right Honorable the President, Lord Clarendon,
dated 3^d December last, communicated with Extract
from the Minutes of Consultation N^o 308, dated 11th
March 1859, I have the honor, by desire of the Chief
Engineer, to forward for the consideration of His Ex-
cellency the Commander in Chief, copies of the

N^o 728 29 March 59 Correspondence noted in the margin, from the Collector
N^o 729 " " of Malabar, relative to the site proposed for the
N^o 83 18 April 59 erection of the Barracks at Calicut, and to request
from the 2^d Engr. that you will favor him with the opinion of His
N^o — 20 April 59 Excellency on the subject.
from Collector
N^o 926 25 April 59
from — 2. A Sketch of the locality will be
called for, if required.

I have the honor of
S^r/ W. J. Dickinson Major
Supy Engr. Lt. Circle

Quarter

10 " The Chief Engineer

Cons: 29 April 59. 1959
" 19 March 58. 38-39
" 20 July " 1858-63
" 13 March 59 " 33-34

Cons: 29 April 59. 1959
" 19 March 58. 38-39
" 20 July " 1858-63
" 13 March 59 " 33-34
The Chief Engineer
of the Madras District
1859

Cons: 29 April 59. 1959
" 19 March 58. 38-39
" 20 July " 1858-63
" 13 March 59 " 33-34
The Chief Engineer
of the Madras District
1859

Cons: 29 April 59. 1959
" 19 March 58. 38-39
" 20 July " 1858-63
" 13 March 59 " 33-34
The Chief Engineer
of the Madras District
1859

1859

Cons: 29 April 59. 1959
" 19 March 58. 38-39
" 20 July " 1858-63
" 13 March 59 " 33-34
The Chief Engineer
of the Madras District
1859

Cons: 29 April 59. 1959
" 19 March 58. 38-39
" 20 July " 1858-63
" 13 March 59 " 33-34
The Chief Engineer
of the Madras District
1859

No: 1109 Quaker Master Genl's Office

To

The Chief Engineer
P W Department

Sir,

In reply to letter and correspondence received from your Office, regarding the selection of a site at Calicut for Banacks for the European Detachment, I am directed by the Commander in Chief to say, that he continues to think the late Mr Conolly's house infinitely the most eligible position for the Detachment of European Soldiers stationed at Calicut, and His Excellency's reasons are given at length in his Memoirs of the 11th March 1858. Sir P. Grant is fortified in his view by the opinion of Mr Robinson, who accompanied him over the whole of the ground in the neighbourhood, and whose thorough acquaintance with the locality could not, His Excellency presumes, be questioned.

There is an ample supply of water within 150 yards of Mr Conolly's house.

His Excellency desires me to add that, if he is not mistaken, as to the position of the hill, or rising ground, on which the collector proposes to place the Banacks, it is situated at least one mile and a half from the Quail Station, as well as from the town of Calicut, and thus Troops

on

on that ground would not be in a position to afford protection to either.

Fort St George
11th May 1859

I have the honor to
Be
Quaker Master Genl

True Copies/

W. P. ... Major
Super Engineer ...

Group III

10 " The Chief Engineer

Office of the Surgeon General
- since regarding the site of the
Barracks and Church at
Culiacan

10001283/59
W. M. Q.

Recd 16th } May 1859
P. M. Q.

1859 Department
Engineer in a letter from the
Chief Engineer
to the Department
W. M. Q.

For Orders

Public Works Department No 1283.

From the Chief Engineer of 18th May 1859.

Expresses his opinion for reasons set forth
that the construction of the Church at Culiacan should be
postponed, but if that is not approved recommends
the Church may be built at that station the usual
provision being made for performing Divine service
in the Barracks.

I concur with the Chief Engineer that
the ~~work~~ work should be
postponed so far as the
Government is concerned

For the reasons I have set forth.
already given. I am for the
Civil Station the best position for the Church
31 May 59
W. M. Q.
I think the Church is the best position for the Church
at present for until some suitable
place can be found for the Church

N. 1145

Proceedings of the Madras Government
Under date the 10th June 1859

Read again letter
from the Chief Engineer
dated 17th and recorded in
Diary to Consultation 29th
April 1859 N. 9493.

Read also the following
letter from the Chief Engineer

(Here enter 18th May/59 N. 5799)

The Government co-
cure with the Chief
Engineer that the erection
of the church at Calicut
should be postponed ~~as~~
~~for the present~~
~~far as the Government~~
~~is concerned.~~


List

for

To the Mly Dept^l Dated 11th
5th June 1859

The Ecclesiastical Dept^l Dated 11th

10th The Chief Engineer



Public Works 1859 & 1860
Cavalier Junior.

24th 15.

2/20: of the Christian Year
2/10: & June 1859.

Concerning with
the Chief Engineer that
the sections of the
church at Calicut should
be preserved for the
present.

2/175 & 176.