M.R.O. 1—77,006—3-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 22

(2) Date of repair 31-10-38

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

Tank 6/9/38

G.O. No. 2207 Press / Mis.

69.70.

Dated 16-9 1938

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1E

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department, from 10th to 16th September 1859.

No. 69. Read the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel J. H. BELL, Chief Engineer; to. J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, dated 25th August 1859, No. 4221.

I have the honor to submit for the consideration and orders of Government, the accompanying plan and estimate, amounting to Rupees 500, prepared by the District Engineer, Chingleput, for the construction of groynes for the protection of Mr. J. B. Norton's property near Coromandel.

8 Letters.
1 Plan.
1 Estimate.

2. The circumstances which have led to the preparation of the estimate are as follows. Towards the close of the year 1857, Captain F. J. Moberly, the then Superintendent of the Eastern coast canal, excavated* (at the place where it was represented an outlet had been made in 1827, by Lieutenant-Colonel D'Havilland) with the approval of the canal committee, a cut through the beach at the Pulicat lake, to the south of Mr. Norton's island, for the escape of the surplus waters. No injury was, however, anticipated to Mr. Norton's property by this measure, but for fear that the action of the water against it during the prevalence of high southerly winds, should cause Mr. Norton to anticipate any damage being effected, it was proposed to construct a piled groyne in front of the island. This arrangement having been considered judicious, was approved of by the late Chief Engineer†.

* Letter from the Superintendant E. C. canal, No. 147, dated 27th August 1857.
Letter No. 231 dated 19th October 1857.

† Extract Proceedings No. 4748, dated 27th October 1857.

3. Nothing further appears to have been done upon this matter, at least so far as the Central office is concerned, until the receipt in April last, of a letter‡ from Mr. J. B. Norton, wherein that gentleman having represented, that in consequence of the opening of the bar at the site just mentioned, his property had sustained considerable damage, the attention of the District Engineer, Chingleput, was directed to the subject, and an early report was called for, as to the best measures to be adopted for the security of the property in question. In reply to this requisition, Captain A. S. Moberly submitted§ a letter, dated 23rd July 1858, addressed to him by the late Superintendent of the Eastern coast canal, after delivering over charge, wherein it was intimated that the opening of the cut had not then had the slightest injurious effect on Mr. Norton's property. The District Engineer also reported, that from an inspection he had made of the property on the 5th ultimo, he found that the southern portion of the island, on which the house stood was being washed away, not from any action of the watar caused by the current or the tide, but from the high south and south east winds, and that the protective measures approved of in Extract Proceedings No. 4748, dated 27th October 1857, had to all appearance been carried out.

‡ Dated 29th April 1859.

§ Letter dated 11th July 1859.

4. This report not having been considered satisfactory, the points requiring notice, and which had been omitted, being whether the bar had been opened by the officers of Government nearer to the premises of Mr. Norton, than it would be forced open by the land floods or the action of the sea; and whether if such measures had been adopted, a greater action on the island had taken place. The District Engineer was instructed¶ to order Mr. Kennedy to inspect and report on the necessary measures to remedy the evil complained of.

¶ In letter No. 2806 dated 16th July 1859.

5. In reply Captain Moberly answered‖ the first point above mentioned, in the negative, and observed that the injury alluded to, was caused by the action of the waves when the wind was from the south or south east. He also expressed his opinion that the works necessary for the protection of Mr. Norton's property should be carried out at the expense of that gentleman.

‖ Letter No. 432 dated 18th July 1859.

6. It did not, however, appear to me clear, that because a bar formerly existed to the south of Mr. Norton's property, and because it was liable to be opened by the land floods, the Government officers had a right to cut the beach at that point without becoming amenable to Mr.

Norton for any damage done to his property by the measure, inasmuch as the question could not be determined by the mere assertion of any one, and might be very differently disposed of judicially, although the case may have been as represented by Captain F. J. Moberly in his letter already quoted. Neither did it appear clear to me, that the new bar had not produced the injury complained of by Mr. Norton, as that gentleman affirmed, and his statement had not been denied by any one, that the eflux by the new opening had deepened the water, washing the south west of his island; for if such was the case, the waves of the lake, even when the bar was closed, as it appeared to be then, must have acted more effectually on the land, than they could do in shallow—water entertaining such views, I desired* that a report and estimate of the works indispensably required to secure the land from further injury, should be prepared by Mr. Kennedy, and submitted with the views of the District Engineer.

* Letter No. 2030 dated 25th July 1859.

7. Agreeably with these instructions, the District Engineer submits† the report called for, from which it will be observed, that the bar was not closed at the time the foregoing letter was written to Captain Moberly, and that it still remains open, with every probability of continuing so for some time to come, it having on the last occassion remained open for 10 years, when Mr. Norton's bungalow had not been built, the effect of the water on the island being the same then as it is now. The opening of the bar to the north of Mr. Norton's island, would appear to have been effected by the Coromandel Enamdar in 1853, for the purpose of drawing anchorage dues for dhonies, the present bar to the south being considered the usual and legitimate one, inasmuch as Lieutenant Morgan is stated to have opened the bar at the same place, after Mr. Norton's house had been built, and that it remained so for 2 years.

† Letter No. 587, dated 11th August 1859.

8. With regard to the water on the south of the island, Mr. Kennedy is of opinion, that its depth will now decrease, although in the absence of soundings of former years, it would be impossible to state so with perfect certainty. At the latter end of last month the depth would appear to have been from the soundings given in the accompanying sketch from 5′-3″ to 8′-6.″ The security of the bungalow would, therefore, seem to be very precarious, as the building is not more than 15 or 20 yards from the edge of the water. To protect the island from any further injury, Mr. Kennedy suggests that 4 short groynes of old masonry obtainable from the Pulicat fort, should be run out, connected with an intermediate wall of the material, and for this purpose, the estimate now submitted, amounting to Rupees 500 has been prepared.

9. I think it likely that the work proposed will answer the purpose intended; but as it would be impossible for me to decide, whether or no Mr. Norton is entitled to the protection of his land, the question being a legal one, I submit the matter for the orders of Government. In doing so, however, I would beg to observe, that repairs having been already executed at the expense of Government in November 1857, as stated by the District Engineer, Chingleput, in paragraph 3 of his letter No. 587, dated 11th instant, it may be concluded that the protective measures now proposed should be carried out at their expense also. But there is a still more important question connected with this subject, which I think deserves serious consideration, before the present estimate is disposed of, should the sum of Rupees 500 above mentioned be sanctioned, is Mr. Norton entitled to further assistance at the hands of Government, that is, if the works now proposed are found not to afford sufficient protection to his property? I have thought proper to request attention to this point, because, if the groynes now under contemplation be run out at the expense of the State, and should not answer the object in view, the interference of Government may be again sought, and a sum much larger than the present estimate may have to be subsequently expended, and repairs constantly executed. To prevent this, I would suggest in the event of the estimate submitted with this letter being sanctioned, that it may be intimated to Mr. J. B. Norton, that the Government are not to be considered responsible for any further works that may hereafter be required for the security of his property near Coromandel. The Government legal adviser may, however, be of opinion that Mr. Norton is not entitled to the protection of his land under the circumstances above represented; in which case of course, the groynes now estimated for will have to be executed at Mr. Norton's own expense.

No. 70. ORDER THEREON, 14th September 1859, No. 2207.

Referred to the Advocate General together with the enclosures, for his opinion whether under the circumstances of the case, Mr. Norton is entitled to assistance from Government for the protection of his property referred to.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

Memo on D.W. No 2264 from the Chief Engr. submitting an Estimate of Rs 500 for constructing Groynes for the protection of Mr. S. B. Norton's property on an island near Coromandel.-

Canal. This is the first occasion on which the above matter has come before Govt, which formed the subject of some correspondence between the Central Office Mr. Norton and the local Engineer Officers. A precis of this correspondence is given in paras 2 to 8 of the Chief Engr's letter, the main points in which are as follow.

a. From Supt E.C. Canal Letters dated 27 Augt and 19 Octr 1857 Nos 147 & 231.

2. In 1857 Captn Francis Maberly then Supt. of the East Coast Canals made a cut through the beach to the south of Mr. Norton's island at the place where an outlet had been made in 1827. Its object was to provide for the escape of surplus water. No injury was anticipated to Mr. Norton's property, but to prevent any possible damage, the proposal to construct a pile groyne in front of the island was approved by the late Chief Engr.

b. Govt No. 27 Octr 1857 No 4748.

In

3. In April 1857 Mr. Norton complained that his property had sustained considerable damage from the opening of the bar, but the Dist. Engr. of Principal Station Arthur McNeely after inspecting the property, reported that the injury, viz the washing away of a portion of the southern portion of the ground was owing not to the current or tide, but to the high south and south east winds, and that to all appearance the protective measures approved in Feb. 1857 had been carried out.

c Letter dated 29 April 1857.

Member of the Commission.

d Letter dated 11 July 1857 No. 367.

4. To investigate enquiries the Chief Engr. Capt. Kirkcaldy reported that the bar had not been opened nearer to the premises than it would be naturally forced open by land floods, and repeated his former opinion that the injury was owing to the high south and south east winds. He was of opinion that the necessary protective measures should be carried out at Mr. Norton's expence.

e Ex. Dt. Engr. 16 July 1857 No 2806.

f Letter dated 18 do No 1432.

5. The Chief Engr. however was not clear on the following points

g To Dt. Engr. 23 July 1857 No 3060

Whether

1st. Whether the Govt. had a right to make a cut because one existed before and was liable to be reopened by land floods, without becoming amenable for any damage to Mr. Norton's property.

2nd Whether the bar had not produced the injury complained of. He also noticed that no one had denied Mr. Norton's position that the effect of the new opening had deepened the water washing the south west of his island, and being of opinion that if such was the case, the waves of the Lake even when the bar was closed would wash more effectually on the land than they could do in shallow water, he desired that Mr. Kennedy should be instructed to prepare a report and estimate of the works necessary to secure the land from further injury.

7th

h Letter from Dt. Engr. dated 11 Augt 1857.

6. In pursuance of this call Mr Kennedy reports that the bar was not closed and is likely to continue open for some time, that on the last occasion when it was open for ten years before the Bungalow had been

built

built, the effect of the water on the island was the same as now. The bar to the north is stated to have been opened by the Coromandel Guardian previous to 1853 for the purpose of drawing anchorage dues for vessels but the present bar to the south is considered the usual and legitimate one. -

Although uncertain as to the actual* depth of the water to the south of the island, Mr Kennedy thinks that there is a tendency to decrease. He is of opinion that Mr Norton's property is not secure being only 15 or 20 yards from the water's edge, and as a protective measure proposes to construct four short masonry groynes connected with an intermediate wall at a cost of £500 which is considered by the Chief Engr likely to answer the purpose intended.

8. Colonel Bell however submits two questions for the consideration of Govt

1st Whether or no Mr Norton is entitled to the protection of his land

2nd Whether if the present work is

* Stated to have been from 5'3" to 8'6" at the latter end of July 1859.

* Note. This refers to the pile groyne approved in Chf Engr's Report of 27th Oct. 1857.

is not sufficient, Mr Norton is entitled to further assistance. As to the first point be observed that repairs having been executed in November 1857, it may be concluded that the present measure should also be carried out at Govt expense; and in respect to the second be suggested in the event of the present Estimate being sanctioned, that Mr Norton be told that Govt are not responsible for any further work. Col Bell however remarks in conclusion that if in the opinion of the Govt legal advisers, Mr Norton is not entitled to the protection of his land, the proposed groynes will in that case have to be executed at his own expense.

A sketch of the locality will be found among the papers.

[illegible] - a glance at the map seems sufficient. The [illegible] is evidently travelling towards it; & unless it should alter shortly, which is not to be anticipated, the

Recd 1st September 1859.

9E

is held to be
mentioned as having
no bearing, it might be
the last comment by the
Sch concern.

Sept 2.

The question should I think be
referred to the Advocate General

6 Sept 05

is laid is likely to be
carried into the area of
appears my doubt
whether the Portland groins
would save it, this however
is an Engrs question.

As to the liability
of Govt I seems no
question, if does not seem
clear - the Govt to the
island seems certainly the
natural limit of the clearing,
& if much then it evidently
works unreasonably. But to a
certain limit not limine.
Had the Dist. Sch. left it alone
this would probably have been the
limit; but on the other hand
the Govt might have maintained
claimed for some time longer.
The island is growing
on which place a limit as
Probably the works of the building
is not sound: & if the Govt

13E

Central Office of E.K.

Fort Saint George

25 August 1859.

No. 4221

From

The Chief Engineer

To

The Secretary to Government

Public Works Department

Sir,

Public

I have the honor to submit for the consideration and orders of Government, the accompanying Plan and Estimate amounting to Rupees 500, prepared by the District Engineer Chingleput, for the construction of Groynes for the protection of Mr. J. B. Norton's property near Caromandel.

2 The circumstances which have led to the preparation of the Estimate are as follows. Towards the close of the year 1857, Captain F. J. Moberly, the then Superintendent of the Eastern Coast Canal (excavated at the place where it was represented an outlet had been made in 1827, by Lieutenant Colonel De Havilland) with the approval of the Canal Committee, a cut through the beach at the Pulicat Lake, to the South of Mr. Norton's Island, for the escape of the surplus Waters. No inquiry was however anticipated to Mr. Norton's property

* Letter from the Supt. E.C. Canal No. 142 dated 27th Augt. 1857
No. 231 dated 19th Oct. 1857.

c2 Sep. 0178

property by the measures, but for fear that the action of the water against it during the prevalence of high Southerly winds, should cause Mr. Norton to anticipate any damage being effected it was proposed to construct a piled groyne in front of the Island. This arrangement having been considered judicious, was approved of by the late Chief Engineer.

+Ex. Proc. No. 4748 of 29th October 1857.

3 Nothing further appears to have been done upon this matter, at least so far as the Central Office is concerned, until the receipt in April last, of a letter from Mr. J. B. Norton, wherein that gentleman having represented that in consequence of the opening of the bar at the site just mentioned, his property had sustained considerable damage, the attention of the District Engineer Cheinglepet was directed to the subject, and an early report was called for, as to the best measures to be adopted for the security of the property in question. In reply to this requisition, Captain J. S. Moberly submitted a letter dated 23rd July 1858, addressed to him by the late Superintendent of the Eastern Coast Canal after delivering over charge, wherein it was intimated that the opening of the cut had not then had the slightest injurious effect on Mr. Nortons property. The District Engineer also reported, that from an inspection he had made of the property on the 5th Ultimo, he found

+Letter of 11th July 1859.

found that the Southern portion of the Island, on which the house stood was being washed away, not from any action of the water caused by the current or the tide, but from the high South and South East winds, and that the protective measures approved of in Extract Proceedings No. 4748 dated 29th October 1857, had to all appearance been carried out.

4 This report not having been considered satisfactory, the points requiring notice, and which had been omitted, being whether the bar had been opened by the Officers of Government nearer to the premises of Mr. Norton, than it would be forced open by the land floods or the action of the sea; and whether if such measures had been adopted, a greater retrocession of the Island had taken place, The District Engineer was instructed to order Mr. Kennedy to inspect and report on the necessary measures to remedy the evil complained of.

+Letter No. 2806 of 16th July 1859.

5 In reply Captain Moberly answered the first point above mentioned in the negative, and observed that the injury alluded to, was caused by the action of the waves when the wind was from the South or South East. He also expressed his opinion that the works necessary for the protection of Mr. Nortons property should be carried out at the expense of that Gentleman.

+Letter No. 4329 of 18th July 1859.

6 It did not however appear to me clear, that

that because a bar formerly existed to the South of Mr. Nortons property, and because it was liable to be opened by the land floods, the Government Officers had a right to cut the beach at that point without becoming amenable to Mr. Norton for any damage done to his property by the measure, inasmuch as the question could not be determined by the mere assertion of any one, and might be very differently disposed of judicially, altho the case may have been as represented by Captain F. J. Moberly in his letter already quoted. Neither did it appear clear to me, that the new bar had not produced the injury complained of by Mr. Norton, as that gentleman affirmed, and his Easement had not been denied by any one; that the efflux by the new opening had deepened the water washing the South West of his Island; for if such was the case, the waves of the Lake, even when the bar was closed, as it appeared to be then, must have acted more effectually on the land, than they could do in shallow water. Entertaining such views, I desired that a report and Estimate of the works indispensably required to secure the land from further injury should be prepared by Mr. Kennedy, and submitted with the views of the District Engineer.

Agreeably with these instructions, the District Engineer submits the report called for, from which

*Letter No. 3060 7/25th July/59.

*Letter No. 587/11th Augt. 1859.

C2 Sep: 6 8

17E

which it will be observed, that the bar was not closed, on the time of the foregoing letter was written to Captain Moberly, and that it still remains open, with every probability of continuing so for some time to come, it having on the last occasion remained open for 10 years, when Mr. Nortons Bungalow had not been built, the effect of the water on the Island being the same then as it is now. The closing of the bar to the North of Mr. Nortons Island would appear to have been effected by the Commander in Chief in 1853. for the purpose of draining ancharages dues for Latonia, the present bar to the South being considered the usual and legetimate one, inasmuch as Point Maryeau is stated to have opened the bar at the same place, after the Pastors house had been built, and that it remained so for 2 years.

8 With regard to the water on the South of the Island, Mr. Kennedy is of opinion, that the depths will now decrease, although in the absence of sounding of former years, it would be impossible to state so with perfect certainty. At the latter end of last Month the depth would appear to have been from the soundings given in the accompanying Sketch from 5'-3" to 8'-6". The security of the Bungalow would therefore seem to be very precarious, as the building is not more than 15 or 20 yards from the Edge of the Water. To protect the Island from any

any further injury, Mr Kennedy suggests that a short
Groyne of old masonry obtainable from the Critical Point,
should be run out, connected with one intermediate wall
of the same material, and for this purpose the Estimate
now submitted amounting to Rupees 500 has been prepared
by [illegible]. I think it likely that the work
proposed will answer the purpose intended; but as it
would be impossible for me to decide, whether or no
Mrs Norton is entitled to the protection of his land,
the question being a legal one, I submit the matter
for the orders of Government. In closing so however I
would beg to observe, that repairs having been already
executed at the expense of Government in November 1857,
as stated by the District Engineer Chengelput in
para 3 of his letter No 35, dated 11th instant, it
may be concluded that the protective measures now
proposed should be carried out at their expense also.
But there is a still more important question connected
with this subject which I think deserves serious con-
sideration before the present Estimate is disposed of,
should the sum of Rupees 500 above mentioned be
sanctioned, is Mrs Norton entitled to further assistance
at the hands of Government, that is, if the works now
proposed are found not to afford sufficient protection
to his property? I have thought proper to request attention

Fort Saint George
20th October 1859

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedt Servant
J. Kell Lieut Colonel
Chief Engineer

To The Secretary to Government
Public Works Department

attention to this point, because if the Groynes now
under consideration be run out at the expense of the
State, and should not answer the object in view, or
the interference of Government may be again sought,
and a sum much larger than the present Estimate
may have to be sanctioned, and repairs
constantly executed. To prevent this, I would suggest
in the event of the Estimate submitted with this
letter being sanctioned that it may be intimated to
Mrs J. D. Norton, that the Government are not to
be considered responsible for any further works that may
hereafter be required for the security of his property
near Coromandel. The Government however may however
be of opinion that Mrs Norton is not entitled to the
protection of his land under the circumstances above
presented, in which case of course, the Groynes now
estimated for will have to be executed at Mrs Norton's
own expense.-

C. Gray

C2 Sep 878

Rec'd 1859 Despatch
Pro. of Gov.t 16th Sept.r
No. 2764

Chief Engineer

Secretary to Government

Dated 25th
Received 27th } August 1859.

No. 4221

Ens. 10

No. 2207 - 14th Sept.r 1859

Submitting for the orders of Govt. a Plan and Estimate amounting to Rupees 533 for the construction of groynes for the protection of Mess.rs J. B. Norton's property at an island near Coromandel.

With an order

From the Advocate Gen.l
d/ 16th Sept.r 1859 Ens
2644 in Cons

C 16 Sept. 1859.

Copy with 10 Pap.r Rec.d
to Adv. Gen.l

C 2 Sep. 1858

No. 2207

Referred to the Advocate General together with the enclosures, for his opinion whether under the circumstances of the case, Mess.rs Norton is entitled to assistance from Government for the protection of his property referred to.

For 1st Sept.r 14th & 15th } 1859

Copy to the Advocate General with 10 Encls Rec.d 15th
to Chief Engineer

C 2 Sept. 1859

Public Works 1859 Depart.

Pro-of-Gaut. 16th Sept.

No. 2261.

From the Chief Engineer

To The Secretary to Gaut.
P. W. D.

Rs 25 } Augt. /59.
Rs 27 }

No. 1221.

Encls. 10 - Returned 11-10-59-

From the Advocate Genl.
Dy/ 16th Sept. 59. Cir. 2444 in Cons.

Submitting for the orders of Government a Plan and Estimate amounting to Rupees 800 for constructing groynes for the protection of Mr. J. B. Nathan's property at Carmandel.

No. 6970

With an Order
No 2207 dy/ 14th Sept. /59.