

(1) Number of pages 8

(2) Date of report 7-4-35

(3) Initials of the Referring 7/1

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	Proc.
Date	File

Not to be taken or removed

Fort Saint George, Public Works Consultation of 22nd March 1859.

No. 29. Read the following letter from Major R. STRACHEY, Officiating Secretary to the Government of India; to the Acting Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Fort William, 21st January 1859, No. 789.

SIR,

In reply to your letter No. 4, dated 3rd Instant, I am directed to convey to you the sanction of the Honorable the President in Council to the expenditure of Rupees eight thousand, four hundred and fifty-nine, eight annas and two pie, (8,459-8-2) in excess of the sanctioned estimate for the improvement of the Hussanoor Ghaut, and construction of a Bridge over the Bowany at Suttiamungalum in Coimbatore, as requested.

2. The Government of Madras having recorded their displeasure in this case have anticipated that of the Supreme Government. It is evident, however, that if the Central Office at Madras could not, in two years, furnish the report required, the system pursued there must be very defective, and greatly tend to frustrate the object for which that Establishment is maintained.

3. I am also to enquire whether the Central Office of Account receives with the District Engineers' accounts any periodical statement of measurement of work done.

4. The original enclosures of your letter are returned.

No. 30. ORDER THEREON, No. 619; dated 17th March 1859.

Ordered to be communicated to the Chief Engineer with reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation No. 3 dated 3rd January 1859.

2. Colonel Faber will submit at his early convenience the information required by the Government of India in para. 3 of this letter.

(Signed) W. C. SIM,

Actg. Dy. Secretary to Government.

3
2

No. 789.

FROM THE OFFICIATING SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
TO THE ACTING SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
Fort William, 21st January 1859.

Communications.
Bridges.

SIR,

IN reply to your letter No. 4, dated 3rd ultimo, I am directed to convey to you the sanction of the Hon'ble the President in Council to the expenditure of Rupees eight thousand, four hundred and fifty-nine, eight annas and two pie, (8,459-8-2) in excess of the sanctioned estimate for the improvement of the Hussanoor Ghaut, and construction of a Bridge over the Bowany at Suttiamungalum in Coimbatore, as requested.

2. The Government of Madras having recorded their displeasure in this case have anticipated that of the Supreme Government. It is evident, however, that if the Central Office at Madras could not, in two years, furnish the report required, the system pursued there must be very defective, and greatly tend to frustrate the object for which that Establishment is maintained.


3. I am also to enquire whether the Central Office of Account receives with the District Engineers' accounts any periodical statement of measurement of work done.

4. The original enclosures of your letter are returned.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant,



Offg. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

Wm. L. Brown, Jr. Jan'y 1/59. No 5. 6.

238
New York 1859
22 to 22 22

78/181

Remove the offspring to the gate of Paradise

Oct 21st 1887
Deserod 1st March
1888

2-5

Received of J. P. ...
 the sum of ...
 for ...
 ...

Get the case
and examine the expenditure

of Rs 54.59-8-2 in receipt of the sundries returned for the improvement of the Bundarwar Grant, & the construction of a bridge over the boundary of the Bundarwar Grant.

5th March 1812

1029 + 30.

Math and Order
© Ms. B. 1. 17. 2. Harvard 1859.

何休

called to the blue Engineer with me
 I went to Archibald Munro of Linnell's
 firm. His date is 3^d January 1859.
 Donald Gordon will be
 with at his early convenience the
 motion suggested by the Government.
 I enclose para 3 of this letter.



Frank W. Long
170 N. E. 1/4

10 Copies in the Library of Congress

Hubig

Ordered to be examined

entitled to the Chief Engineer with no
powers to discharge him and himself
himself dated 3^d January 1859.

Doctoral Dissertation

with all the early sentimentalists
was not regarded by the Government
under the para 3 of this letter.