1. Number of pieces 16
2. Date of repair. 28-3-38
3. Initials of Reference.

M.R.O. 1—82,400—28-11-30.

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Publications DEPARTMENT.

Con 8/3/58

G.O. No. 676 Press Mis.

1-2

Dated 2-3- 1858

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 1. Read the following letter from Colonel C. E. FABER, Chief Engineer; to J, D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, dated 17th January 1859, No. 7095.

SIR,

With reference to Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, dated the 6th Instant, No. 48, I have the honor to state, for the information of Government, that the sum of Rupees 28,000 applied for, for the Tinnevelly District, in letter from this Office of the 16th Ultimo, No. 6364, is in addition to the sum entered in the Budget Statement, No. 3 of 1858-59, as well as the 20,000 Rupees sanctioned in Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, 14th April 1858, No. 682.

2. In the Memo. laid before Government with the Officiating Chief Engineer's letter, referred to in the preceding para., it is stated by the District Engineer that the sum sanctioned for repairs is Rupees 92,680. Comparing this with the amount entered in the Budget for 1858-59, viz., Rupees 72,680, it is obvious that credit has been already taken by him for the 20,000 Rupees above referred to.

3. No express allusion was made to the sum in the last letter to Government under the belief that the Memo. forwarded therewith was clear, but it would have been preferable if the fact had been explicitly noticed.

No. 2. ORDER THEREON, No. 476; dated 2nd March 1859.

1. In this letter the Chief Engineer explains that the sum of Rupees 28,000 applied for in Colonel Lawford's letter of the 16th December last for repairs in the Tinnevelly District is in addition to the sum of Rupees 72,680 entered in the Budget of 1858-59, for general repairs to all descriptions of Public Works and in addition also to the sum of Rupees 20,000 specially sanctioned on the 14th April 1858, for road repairs.

	Rupees
Irrigation works	58,400
Communications	11,280
Buildings	3,000
Rupees	72,680

2. The sum of Rupees 92,680 already at the disposal of the District Engineer of Tinnevelly for repairs greatly exceeds the sums allotted for the same purpose in either of the two preceding years. No emergency is alleged to have occurred, to make a larger grant necessary, nor is the opinion of the Collector sent up in support of the present application. The Governor in Council must decline to comply with it.

	Irrigations.	Communications.	Buildings.	Total.
1856-57,	60,123	1,717	2,807	64,647
1857-58,	71,299	9,090	1,174	81 563
1858-59,	58,400	31,280	3,000	92,680

3. It has been already remarked in Extract Minutes Consultation 6th January 1859, that the Memorandum which accompanied the Acting District Engineer's letter of the 8th December is unintelligible.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

... - 31,280 - 3,000 - 92,680 already

Central Office of P.W.
Fort St. George 17th June 1859.
O.D.

No. 7095

From
The Chief Engineer
To
The Secretary to Government
Public Works Department

Sir,

Public

With reference to Extract from the Minutes of Consultations dated the 6th Instant, No. 1748, I have the honor to state, for the information of Government, that the sum of Rupees 28,000 — applied for by the Tinnevelly District, in my letter from this Office of the 16th Ultimo, No. 6364, is in addition to the sum entered in the Budget statement No. 3 of 1858/59 as well as the 20,000 Rupees sanctioned in Extract from the Minutes of Consultations 14th April 1858, No. 682.—

2. On the Memorandum before Government with the Officiating Chief Engineer's letter, referred to in the preceding para, it is stated by the District Engineer that the sum sanctioned for repairs is Rupees 92,680. Comparing this with the amount entered in the Budget for 1858/59

Rupees — 31,780 — 3,000 — 92,680

already

Viz.t Rupees 72,680 it is obvious that credit has been already taken by him for the 20,000 Rupees above referred to..

3 No express allusion was made to this sum in the last letter to Government under the belief that the Memo forwarded therewith was clear, but it would have been preferable if the fact had been explicitly noticed..

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obed.t humble Servt.

Fort St.t George
17.th Jan.y 1859

L.d.
A. E. & Circle

The Revd Father Colonel
Chief Engineer

To
The Secretary to Government
P.W.D.

Comptd

1858/59 - 58100 - 31,780 - 3,000 - 9,000 already

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Public.

No. 476.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation under date the 2nd March 1859.

Read the following letter from the Chief Engineer.

Explains that the sum of Rupees 28,000 applied for is in addition to the sum entered in the Budget of 1858-59, as well as the 20,000 Rupees sanctioned in Extract Minutes Consultation No. 682 dated 14th April 1858. } (Here enter, 17th January 1859, No. 7095.)

1. In this letter the Chief Engineer explains that the sum of Rupees 28,000 applied for in Colonel Lawford's letter of the 16th December last for repairs in the Tinnevelly District is in addition to the sum of Rupees 72,680 entered in the Budget of 1858-59, for general repairs to all descriptions of Public Works and in addition also to the sum of Rupees 20,000 specially sanctioned on the 14th April 1858, for road repairs.

	Rupees
Irrigation works	58,400
Communications	11,280
Buildings	3,000
Rupees	72,680

2. The sum of Rupees 92,680 already at the disposal of the District Engineer of Tinnevelly for repairs greatly exceeds the sums allotted for the same purpose in either of the two preceding years. No emergency is alleged to have occurred, to make a larger grant necessary, nor is the opinion of the Collector sent up in support of the present application. The Governor in Council must decline to comply with it.

	Irrigations.	Communications.	Buildings.	Total.
1856-57,	60,123	1,717	2,807	64,647
1857-58,	71,299	9,090	1,174	81,563
1858-59,	58,400	31,280	3,000	92,680

3. It has been already remarked in Extract Minutes Consultation 6th January 1859, that the Memorandum which accompanied the Acting District Engineer's letter of the 8th December is unintelligible.

(A true Extract.)

Secretary to Government.

To the Chief Engineer.

Exd. Geo. Fonceca.

1856-57 - 60,123 - 1,717 - 2,807 - 64,647
1857-58 - 71,299 - 9,090 - 1,174 - 81,563 2
1858/59 - 58,400 - 31,280 - 3,000 - 92,680

The sum of Rupees 92,680 already

No 7095.

From

The Chief Engineer.

To The Secretary to Government

Pub. Works Dept.

Sir.

1 With reference to Ex.ll.C. of the 6th instant No 48 I have the honor to state for the information of Govt. that the Sum of Rupees 28,000 applied for for the Tinnevelly District in Letter from this Office of the 16th Ultimo No 6364 is in addition to the sum entered in the Budget Statement No 3 of 1858/59 as well as the 20,000 Rupees sanctioned in Ex.ll.C. 14th April 1858 No 682.

2. In the Memo laid before Govt. with the Offg Chief Engineer's letter referred to in the preceding para it is stated by the District Engineer that the Sum sanctioned for repairs is Rupees 92,680— comparing this with the Amount entered in the Budget for 1858/59 - Viz. Rupees 72,680, it is obvious that credit has been already taken by him for the 20000 Rupees above referred to

3 No express allusion was made to the Sum in the last letter to Govt. under the belief that the

1858/59 - 58,600 - 31,780 - 3,000 - 92,680 2

The Sum of Rupees 92,680 already

the Memo forwarded therewith was clear but it would have been preferable if the fact had been explicitly noticed.

Yours Copy/

Central Office
Fort Saint George
17 January 1859

Signed/ P. E. Faber Coll.
Chief Engr.

1858/59 - 88400 - 31,280 - 3,000 - 92,680

already.

Public Works Department

No. 476.

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 2d February 1859.

Read the following letter
from the Chief Engineer.

(Home Order 1st June 1859 Ft. 7695)

1 In this letter the Chief Engineer explains that the sum of Rupees 28,000 applied for in Colonel Sanford's letter of the 16th December last for repairs in the Tinnevelly District is in addition to the sum of Rupees 72,680 entered in the Budget of 1858/59 for general repairs to all descriptions of Public Works and this addition also to the sum of Rupees 20,000 specially sanctioned on the 16th April 1858 for the 2d repairs.

The sum of Rupees 92,680 already

Irrigation works — 58,400
Communications — 11,280
Buildings — 3,000
Rupees 72,680

	Irrign	Comns	Buildgs	Total
1856/57	60123	1717	2807	64,647
1857/58	71299	9070	1194	81,563
1858/59	58400	31,280	3,000	92,680

2

Pub. Works 1859 Dispatch

No. 31

From
The Chief Engr.

To
The Secy. to Govt.

Dated Fort St. George the 26th Feby 59 Con. 163

Public Works Irrigation Rupees 28,000 Communications Rupees 11,280 Buildings Rupees 3,000 Total Rupees 42,280

Sanctioned that the sum of Rupees 2,800 chargeable in addition to the sum entered in the Budget of 1858/59 as entered in the Budget of the year 1858/59 Re-sanctioned in G.O. No. 682 9/14 April 58.

Wm. Dalrymple
Secy. to Govt.

Con 11 Secy 59 No. 33-36.
Do. Public 58 — 21-22.
2 Branch 56 — 153-154.

already at the disposal of the
District Engineer of Limerick
for repairs ~~of every description~~
greatly exceeds the sums allotted
for the same purpose in either of
the two preceding years.
~~and the further application~~
~~now submitted is apparently~~
~~a mere use for the ordinary~~
~~in the Amount allowed to~~
~~meet Ordinary demands.~~
No emergency is alleged to
have occurred to ~~call for~~ make a larger
grant; nor is the opinion of the Eller
~~and the Commissioners~~
sent up in support of the present
~~favourable~~
application. The Governor in Council must decline to comply with the
~~prayer of the application~~
~~the application~~
~~is~~ ~~recommended by the Surveyor~~ 3- It has been
already remarked in Ry. Minutes
Nov. 6th January 1859 that the
Memo: which accompanied
the Acting District Engineers
letter of the 5th December
is unintelligible.

10 Copies to the Chief Eng.r & Dated 3d

Public Works 1859 Dept.
Dr to Cons: 8th March.

No 476.

Extract Mins of Cons:
Dy 2d March 59.
Further Cons: 15th March 1859. 85/86

From Chief Engineer Dy
24th March 59 C no 698
in C 2d Appl

Declining to sanction the sums of Rs 28000 applied for by the Distt Engineer of Tinnevelly for certain repairs and observing that it was already remarked that the Memorandum which accompanied the acting District Engineer's letter of the 8th December is unintelligible

No 142.