

(1) Number of pages 6(2) Date of repair 8.10.38(3) Initials of the Referencer cf

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.
Geny, 30711/5

G.O. No. <u>2724</u>	<u>Press</u> <u>Mis.</u>
<u>35-36</u>	
Dated <u>22-11</u>	<u>18 57.</u>

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
for the month of November 1859.*

No. 35. Read the following letter from J. L. LUSHINGTON, Esq., Civil Auditor; to J. D. BOURDILLON, Esq., Secretary to Government, dated 10th November 1859, No. 861.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the orders of Government, No. 2998 in the Public Works Department, "Public," dated the 26th September last, in the 3rd paragraph of which, it is requested that, I will explain the cause of the long delay in adjusting the case of Lieutenant E. H. Harrington, who whilst holding the office of 2nd Assistant Civil Engineer, 9th division, and acting as 1st Assistant in that division, had fallen, temporarily, into charge of the division and drew a moiety of the salary, for a short period, of the Civil Engineer.

2. In reply I beg to state that, the accounts of the Collector of the Ganjam district for fusly 1264, 1854-55, in which those connected with the 9th division are entered, were received on the 6th September 1855: the audit of them was concluded on the 14th March 1857, and despatched to the Collector in ordinary course, a few days after that date.

3. The delay in the audit of the accounts of fusly 1264, is attributable to the non receipt of the Collector's reply to the re-audit of the accounts for the previous fusly; without waiting for this reply, the accounts for 1264 were audited and dispatched as above stated; but the reply to these, though repeatedly called for, was not received until the 11th July last, and bears date the 1st of that month. The re-audit on that reply was completed on the 22nd of the same month, and furnished to the Collector in the usual course.

4. It will, therefore, be perceived that the delay in adjusting the case is attributable to the tardy system of post audit.

5. This omission of the Collector of Ganjam to reply to the audits of this office, was stated in my predecessor's report to Government, No. 386, contingent department, dated the 24th September 1858, and in my report No. 243, dated the 25th of April last.

No. 36. ORDER THEREON, 22nd November 1859, No. 2721.

The explanation furnished in this letter is sufficient.

(Signed) J. D. BOURDILLON,
Secretary to Government.

No 861.

From, J. L. Livingston, Esq.
Civil Auditor.

To, J. D. Bourdillon, Esq.
Secretary to Govt.

Revenue Department.

Sir, I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the orders of Government No 2298 in the Public Works Department, Public, dated the 26th September last, in the 3rd para of which, it is requested that, I will explain the cause of the long delay in adjusting the case of Lieut. E. H. Harrington, who whilst holding the office of 2nd Asst. Civil Engineer, ^{of the Division} Acting as 1st Asst. in that Division, had fallen, temporarily, into charge of the Division & drew a moiety of the salary, for a short period, of the Civil Engineer.

2.- In reply I beg to state that, the accounts of the Collector of the Ganjam District for July 1864, 1864/65

in which those connected with the 9th Division are entered, were received on the 6th September 1858: the Audit of them was concluded on the 11th March 1859 & despatched to the Collector in ordinary course, a few days after that date.

3. The delay in the audit of the accounts of July 1858 is attributable to the non receipt of the Collector's reply to the demand of the accounts for the previous July, without waiting for this reply the accounts for 1858 were audited & despatched as above stated, but the reply to these, though repeatedly called for, was not received until the 11th July last, & bears date the 1st of that month. The Reaudit on that reply was completed on the 22nd of the same month & furnished to the Collector in the usual course.

4. It will therefore be perceived that the delay in adjusting the case is attributable to the tardy system of post Audit.

5. The omission of the Coll^r

of Ganjam to reply to the Audits of this Office, was stated in my predecessor's reports to Government No 386 Confidential Department dated the 21st Sept 1858, and in my reports No 2113 dated the 25th of April last.

Civil Auditor's Office,
Fort Saint George,
10th November 1859

C. P. A.

I have the honor to,
Sir,

Your most obed^t serv^t.

Edmund
Civil Auditor

of

Qm-4 $\frac{1}{2}$ Delta/59 ~ 1 $\frac{22}{24}$ /30-131
 --- 24 May 1--- 64-68
 --- 4 @ Shunko--- 132-2/35.

Sept 1839 De la Harpe
Ore: of the 30th of March
J. M. Wain

The line of water
 The deep's to look
 Across a faint

Dated 10th
 Decr 11. } November 1869.
 No 861.
 13

With reference to the
Order of Govt. No 2298 in
the P. W. S. Bul. dated the
26th Sept. last. Referring to the
Cause of the delay in adjudi-
cating the case of P. W. S. N.
Harrington that 2nd of 10 is the
Civil Engineer of the Division.

Ms. A. 9.2.6.

Nov 22 1914

Sept 27. 22. & November

W.D. Anderson

2724

the explanation furnished
either is sufficient.

1000



John W. George
229. Bowling -

Adm. of the U.S. Army
Washington, D.C.

in addition the
value of property
given for me —