1. Number of pages. 10.
2. Date of repair. 21.9.3.
3. Initials of Reference
M.R.O. 1—22,400—28-11-30

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

Con. 21-7-57

G.O. No. 1410 Press Mis.

12-13

Dated 23-7- 18 57

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 12. Read the following letter from F. LUSHINGTON, Esq., Civil Auditor; to H. FORBES, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government, dated 3rd July 1857, No. 98.

SIR,

I have the honor to request, that I may be favored with the instructions of Government whether, in order to the admission of a claim to Deputation allowance preferred by an Officer in the Engineer Establishment of the Public Works Department, it is necessary that the Officer claiming the allowance should be directly appointed by the Government to the situation for discharging the duties of which he makes the claim.

2. The case of Lieutenant Syme, will occur to Government as an instance in which from the simple circumstance of that Officer not having been specifically appointed by Government to conduct the general duties of the Vizagapatam District, his claim was in the first instance overlooked and is now a subject of consideration with the Government. Another case of this kind has been brought to my notice. Lieutenant Harington, Executive Engineer in the Ganjam District, has fallen in charge of that district in consequence of Lieutenant Moberly, the Acting District Engineer, having quitted the district in pursuance of his appointment as Superintendent of the East Coast Canal, Lieutenant Harington states that his charge of the District will continue till he is relieved by Lieutenant Blagrave who has been appointed in the room of Lieutenant Moberly. As the Government in Extract Minutes of Consultation of the 10th Ultimo, No. 1,117, (Para. 5) have ruled that an Officer of the Upper Subordinate Grade is not to be considered as officiating as an Assistant Executive Engineer when in temporary charge of an Executive range without an appointment from Government, it may perhaps be their intention that a similar principle should apply to the case of Officers of the Engineer Establishment. At all events it appears to me to be satisfactory to have the case decided by the Government, so as to have no room for doubt or hesitation in adjusting similar claims that will be preferred from time to time.

3. The rate of deputation allowance to be awarded in such cases, I beg to observe is prescribed in the resolution of the Government of India conveyed in a letter from the Public Works Secretary to the Government of India to your address under date the 3rd April 1857, No. 1586, and communicated to me in Extract Minutes of Consultation of the 18th May last, No. 954.

No. 13. ORDER THEREON, No. 1410; dated 23rd July 1857.

1. In this letter, the Civil Auditor requests the orders of Government upon the question whether an Officer in the Engineer establishment of the D. P. W. is entitled to deputation allowance when not directly appointed by the Government to the situation for discharging the duties of which he makes the claim.

2. The Government are of opinion that for very short periods, (as for instance when an Officer holds charge of a District for a few days on the removal of his superior pending the arrival of his successor) deputation allowance cannot be claimed. But when an Executive Officer holds charge of a District for more than one month, deputation allowance may be granted for all the time in excess of the month, provided a greater charge is not entailed upon the State than would be the case if the office were permanently filled.

3. The amount of deputation allowance was laid down in the enclosure to the letter from the Government of India No. 1918 of 24th April 1857, communicated to the Civil Auditor with Extract Minutes Consultation No. 954 of 18th May 1857. Actual nomination by Government in each case will not be necessary.

(Signed) H. FORBES,
Acting Secretary to Government.

appointed.

No. 98.

From,

I. Lushington, Esqr.

Civil Auditor.

To,

K. Forbes, Esqr.

Acting Secretary to Government.

Public Works Department.

Dated 31st July 1857.

Sir,

I have the honor to request, that I may be favored with the instructions of Government whether, in order to the admission of a Claim to Deputation allowance preferred by an Officer in the Engineer Establishment of the Public Works Department, it is necessary that the Officer claiming the allowance should be directly appointed by the Government to the situation for discharging the duties of which he makes the claim.-

2. The case of Lieut. Syme will occur to Government as an instance in which from the simple Circumstance of that Officer not having been specifically appointed by Government to conduct the general duties of the Vizagapatam District, his claim was in the first instance overlooked and is now a subject of Consideration with the Government. Another case of this kind has been brought to my notice. Lieutenant Harington, Executive Engineer in the Ganjam District, has fallen in Charge of that district in consequence of Lieutenant Moberly, the Acting District Engineer, having quitted the district in pursuance of his appointment as Superintendent of the E. C. Canal. Lieutenant Harington states that his charge of the District will continue till he is relieved by Lieut. Blagrave who has been appointed.

appointed in the room of Lieutenant Moberly. & the Government in Extract Minutes of Consultation of the 10th Ultimo, No. 1117, (Para. 5.) have ruled that an Officer of the Upper Subordinate grade is not to be considered as officiating as an Assistant Executive Engineer when in temporary Charge of an Executive range without an appointment from Government, it may perhaps be their intention that a similar principle should apply to the case of Officers of the Engineer Establishment. At all events it appears to me to be satisfactory to have the case decided by the Government, so as to have no room for doubt or hesitation in adjusting similar Claims that may be preferred from time to time.—

3. The rate of deputation allowance to be awarded in such cases, I beg to observe is prescribed in the resolution of the Government of India conveyed in a letter from the Public Works Secretary to the Govt. of India to your address under date the 3rd April 1857, No. 1586, and communicated to me in Extract Minutes of Consultation of the 18th May last, No. 957.—

Civil Auditors Office,
Fort Saint George,
The 3rd July 1857.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant.
A. Cunningham
Civil Auditor.

H. C. Montgomery

R.W.D.

No 1410

Secretary's Chambers
Dublin 23rd July 1857

Sanctn. for forwg. letter from
Civil Auditor
Mem. sutd. in P.F. 3 July 1857

1. In this letter the Civil Auditor
requests the orders of Govt. upon
the question which he submits as to
the Superannuation Allowance of
Officers in the Engineer Establishment
of the Public Works Department
and 2. Deputation allowances
and whether any Officer directly ap-
pointed by the Govt. to the
Department of the Public Works
is entitled to discharging the
duties of, which the Master
the claim.
2. The Govt. are of opinion that
for every such Service, for
in instance when the Master

Mr Comm. 19 May 57 No. 69-70
" 10 June " — " 17-18

Public Works 1857 Department
Dto to Comm. 28th July
D Sec'ty

From No. 1362
The Civil Auditor
To
The Actg. Secy. to Govt.
Dated 3rd
Recd. 6th July 1857.

No 98

No.
S. No. 1410. 23rd July
Requests the instruction
of Govt. whether in order to
admit claim to Deputation
allowance preferred by an
Officer in the Engineer Estb.
of Public Works Department it
is necessary that the Officer
claiming the same should be
directly appointed by Govt.
to the Acting Situation for
which allowances is claimed

No. 12.

17 July 1857

holds charge of a district for a few days, on the removal of his superior pending the arrival of his successor / Deputation allowance cannot be claimed. But when an Executive Officer holds charge of a district for more than one month, Deputation allowance may be granted for all the time in excess of the month, provided a greater charge is not entailed upon the State than would be the case if the office were permanently filled

3. The amount of Deputation allowance ~~was laid~~ laid down in the enclosure of the letter from the Govt of India No 1918 of 26th Oct. 1857 communicated to the Govt of India in the

Ltr C No 95 of 8th May 1857. Actual communication to Govt in such cases will not be necessary.

Ltr to Supt to the Chief Engr Dispt 24
& Asst Auditor Genl

Cons: 19th May 57 No 69 & 70.
— " 16th June " " — 17 & 18.

Public Works 185 Dept.
Do to Cons 28 July.

No 1410.

Extract Mins: of Cons:
Of 23d July 57.
From the Offg Chief Engr
D/ 10th Augt 57 Cs Nos 1792 inclo:
24 & 14.

Observing that when an Executive Officer holds charge of addl duties for more than one month, Deputation allowance may be granted for all the time in excess of the Month provided a greater charge is not entailed than would be the case if the Office were permanently filled.

No 12 & 13

Cl 4 Aug 57