

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

Cons. G. No. 7/10/32

G.O. No.

1551

Press
Mis.

64-6

Dated

11-1-18

Not to be folded or roughly handled.

Fort Saint George, Public Works Consultation of 13th July 1858.

Read again letters from Mr. GEORGE TAYLOR, dated 18th March and 12th May 1858, and recorded in Diary to Consultations 13th April and 15th June 1858 respectively, Nos. 626 and 1164.

No. 61. Read also the following letter from Major E. LAWford, Superintending Engineer, Southern Circle in charge of the Central Office of Public Works; to W. H. BAYLEY, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government, dated 12th June 1858, No. 1102.

SIR,

With reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 12th April 1858, No. 646, I have the honor to report upon the claim preferred by Mr. G. Taylor, Covenanted Civil Engineer, for travelling allowances and office-rent, respectively at the rate of 5 Rupees a day, from 10th April 1855 to 31st August 1857 and 30 Rupees a month from 14th July 1855 to 31st August 1857 together amounting to Rupees 5,141 or after deducting the amount Rupees 3,026 acknowledged to have been received, to Rupees 2,115.

2. Regarding his first claim, viz. travelling allowance, I do not think it admissible, because Mr. Taylor has drawn all the travelling allowance to which he was entitled by the rules. In Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 3rd May 1855 No. 532, the Government were pleased to accord sanction for tentage for all the Covenanted Civil Engineers at the rate proposed by the late Board of Public Works, viz. Rupees 3 per diem, as drawn by first Assistant Civil Engineers, and Mr. Taylor acknowledges to have received it from 10th April 1855, the date on which he appears to have been employed on detached duty, to 31st December 1856, and as in the revised list of the Department of Public Works, published on the 13th June 1857, Mr. Taylor's name appeared as an Assistant Engineer, to which grade travelling allowance at Rupees 4 per diem was allowed, he was permitted to draw that increased rate up to 31st May 1857 agreeably to the rules. I beg here to remark that if Mr. Taylor considered the allowances to which only he was entitled by the rules not sufficient to re-imburse him for his expenses, he should have represented the matter at the time and had it settled, but having drawn the same in common with all other Civil Engineers for so many months, his claim for a higher rate of allowances now, and after his connexion with the Government has ceased, cannot I think be upheld.

3. The only travelling allowances claimed by Mr. Taylor, and which he has not received, are for the months of June, July and August 1857, which were disallowed by the Central Office. I beg to submit his Inspection List for those months, from which the Government will see how far the exception taken to them, with reference to the rules, is correct.

4. Mr. Taylor was not considered entitled to travelling allowance for June 1857, as from his Inspection List for that month it will be seen he was employed for the greater part of it on in-door duty, and during only 7 days did out-door work, viz. in surveying for the improvement of the Coringa Harbour, but as the distances travelled by him on these occasions were within four miles of his fixed station, and the rules requiring he should travel at least 5 miles to entitle him to travelling allowance, he was not considered as having any claim to the same. The District Engineer was, however, called upon to state how he, under the above circumstances, considered Mr. Taylor entitled, and in reply he explained that he formed his opinion under the belief that Mr. Taylor had maintained an adequate travelling establishment and that though the distances of the places noted by him in the Inspection List, did not average more than four miles, yet that his expenses had not thereby been diminished, as his marching establishment had nevertheless been kept up.

5. In the Inspection List for July 1857 it is shewn that Mr. Taylor was employed on out-door duties during only 6 days, but the distances of the places visited although the same as in the previous month are stated to be 5 miles and upwards instead of four. This discrepancy between the two lists was pointed out to the District Engineer, and the bills and Inspection Lists for the two months returned with intimation that Mr. Taylor was not entitled to the allowance.

The District Engineer thereupon forwarded a memorandum* from
* Dated 10th September 1857. Mr. Taylor, which is herewith submitted, explaining the circumstances under which he considered himself entitled to the allowance, but the District Engi-

The following manner.

Fort Saint George, Public Works Consultation of 13th July 1858.

neer was informed that the explanation afforded did not warrant the Chief Engineer passing the allowance contrary to the rules.

6. Before receiving the last communication, the District Engineer, at the request of Mr. Taylor, re-forwarded the Inspection Lists and travelling allowance bills for June and July and at the same time forwarded those for August with a request, that the bills might be passed under the explanation given in Mr. Taylor's memorandum noticed above. In reply Captain Rundall was referred to the last communication from this office which finally disposed of Mr. Taylor's claim for travelling allowance.

7. With regard to his claim for office rent, it has only to be said that this allowance was but lately conceded to the several Officers of the Department Public Works, in Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 11th November 1857, No. 2091. That order being intended to have no retrospective effect, Mr. Taylor, who left the service of Government two months previously, can have no right to claim anything. The Government will, however, remark that he acknowledges to have received Rupees 525 as office rent from 14th July 1855 to 31st December 1856, and charged it in his sundry expenditure account. The District Engineer was called upon to state the circumstances which led to the grant of the allowance, and his

reply is herewith forwarded, from which the Government will observe that Mr. Taylor drew it upon his own responsibility out of the public funds at his disposal, a proceeding utterly unjustifiable, and which the District Engineer should not for a moment have allowed.

8. It thus appears that according to the rules by which the entire Department of Public Works is governed, the claim of Mr. Taylor is not valid and I would leave it for Government to decide whether there are any circumstances in his case to entitle him to special consideration. Adverting to Extract Minutes of Consultation No. 1095 dated 10th Instant, I would observe that the delay that has occurred is owing to the non-receipt of a reply from the District Engineer Godavery Division, to a reference from this Office, as to the authority under which Mr. Taylor drew office rent from 14th July 1855 to 31st December 1856, the receipt of which that gentleman acknowledges.

Letter from Captain F. H. RUNDALL, District Engineer, Godavery Division; to Colonel C. E. FABER, Chief Engineer, dated Camp at Bendamoorlunka, 26th May 1858, No. 11.

SIR,

With reference to your Official memorandum No. 45, dated 19th Instant, I have the honor to state that Mr. G. Taylor drew Office rent from 14th July 1855 to 31st December 1856 on his own responsibility, paying for the same out of the funds from the sanctioned estimate at his disposal, he did not however charge the same monthly in his accounts, but included it all in one item in the month of December. Why he ceased to charge the amount in question I am not aware, but conclude it arose from his having expended all the funds at his disposal as though he did not pay the amount he has nevertheless charged it in the Statement submitted by him to the Government.

2nd. I regret that the wrong dates should have been referred to in my letter, these were erroneously filled in by the clerks in my Office.

Memorandum.

With reference to Captain Rundall's memorandum of the 7th Instant, and its enclosures (received to-day) relative to Mr. Taylor's Travelling allowance for June, the latter begs to observe that in addition to the reasons given by Captain Rundall to Colonel Faber for the payment of it, there are other reasons not less weighty both as regards Mr. Taylor's Travelling allowance for June, and the rate per diem which is awarded to him viz.

1st. Although Mr. Taylor may not have been 5 miles from his Station in June, he had to Travel more than 6 miles each day he was out, before he ceased his work to return home.

2nd. That so far from his ordinary Travelling expenses for that month being reduced, they were increased by from 1½ to 2 Rupees per diem for the hire of palanquin bearers.

Fort Saint George, Public Works Consultation of 13th July 1858.

3rd. That the portion of the Survey upon which Mr. Taylor was engaged in June is, like many other part of it, unapproachable on horseback, and approachable by paddle boat, only by wasting three-fourths of his time.

4th. That on such portions of the Survey Mr. Taylor has for some time expended more than 60 Rupees per month, for *palanquin bearers alone*, besides his ordinary Travelling expenses.

5th. That such an expenditure, combined with the rent of his Bungalow and his other expenses in the discharge of his duties, render the award to Mr. Taylor of 4 Rupees per diem invidious, anomalous and unjust.

Sooncaravoo in Coringa }
10th September 1857. }

(Signed) GEORGE TAYLOR,
Civil Engineer.

No. 65. ORDER THEREON, No. 1831; dated 10th July 1858.

Para. 1. In his letters of the 18th March and 12th May 1858, Mr. George Taylor submitted a claim to certain sums which he stated were due to him on account of Travelling expenses and Office rent while he was in the service of this Government.

2. Mr. Taylor's communications were referred to the Central Office for report, and the reply of the Officer in charge gives a fair view of the whole case. It has been satisfactorily established that Mr. Taylor has no valid ground whereon to rest either claim, for Travelling allowance or for Office rent. On the contrary he appears to have improperly drawn and made use of a sum of Rupees 526 from Government funds and charged it in his sundry expenditure account as "Office rent". The Government have given the subject their patient, deliberate and careful consideration, and having arrived at the conclusion that there are no reasons whatever for admitting Mr. Taylor's claims, resolve to reject them, and to intimate to Mr. Taylor that this decision being final no further applications on the same subject will be noticed. The Government will not now insist upon a refund of the sum of Rupees 526 improperly drawn by Mr. Taylor, nor will they allow this conduct to interfere with the promise conveyed to him in Extract Minutes Consultation 8th September 1857, No. 1702 viz., "to grant him in consideration of the circumstances he set forth regarding his expenses, the sum of 1,500 Rupees as passage money on his making a complete record of that part of the survey on which he has been engaged and on his delivering to the District Engineer all original papers connected with that duty;" but they would wish to be informed what progress has been made by Mr. Taylor in this business to entitle him to the grant.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

The following manner.

N^o 1102

Central Office of P.W. D.

Fort Saint George

12th June 1858

From
The Superintending Engineer
Southern Circle in charge of the
Central Office of P.W. D.

To
The Secretary
to Government P.W. D.
Sir,

With reference to Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 12th April 1858, N^o 646, I have the honor to report upon the claim preferred by Mr. A. Taylor, Covenanted Civil Engineer, for Travelling allowances and Office rent, respectively at the rate of 5 Rupees a day, from 10th April 1855 to 31st August 1857 and 30 Rupees a month from 1st July 1855 to 31st August 1857 together amounting to Rupees 5461 or after deducting the amount Rupees 3326 acknowledged to have been received, to Rupees 2115. —

2 Regarding his first claim, vizt. Travelling allowance, I do not think it admissible, because Mr. Taylor has drawn all the Travelling allowance to which he was entitled by the Rules. In Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 3rd May 1855 N^o 532, the Government were pleased to accord sanction for tentage for all the Covenanted Civil

The following manner.

Civil Engineers at the rate proposed by the late Board

of Public Works, vizt. Rupees 3 per diem, as shown by

first Assistant Civil Engineers, and Mr. Taylor acknowledges

to have received it from 14th April 1855, the date on which

he appears to have been employed on detached duty, to

31st December 1856 and as in the revised list of the

D. P. W., published on the 13th June 1857, Mr. Taylor's

name appears as an Assistant Engineer to a high

grade Travelling allowance at Rupees 4 per diem

was allowed, he was permitted to draw that increased

rate up to 31st May 1857 agreeably to the rules. I may

here remark that if Mr. Taylor considered the allowance

to which only he was entitled by the rules not sufficient

to reimburse him for his expenses, he should have

represented the matter at the time and had it settled, but

having drawn the same in common with all other Civil

Engineers for so many months, his claim for a higher rate

of allowance now, and after his connexion with the

Government has ceased, cannot I think be upheld.

3 The only Travelling allowances claimed by

Mr. Taylor, and which he has not received, are

for the months of June, July and August 1857 which

were disallowed by the Central Office. I beg to submit

his

his Inspection Lists for those months, from which the
Government will see how far the exception taken to them,
with reference to the rules, is correct. -

4. Mr. Taylor was not considered entitled to

Travelling allowance for June 1857, as from his Inspection

List for that month it will be seen he was employed

for the greater part of it on indoor duty, and during

only 7 days did outdoor work, vizt. in surveying for

the improvement of the Conga Harbour, but as the

distances travelled by him on these occasions were within

four miles of his fixed station, and the rules requiring

he should travel at least 5 miles to entitle him to

travelling allowance, he was not considered as having

any claim to the same. The District Engineer was,

however, called upon to state how he, under the above

circumstances, considered Mr. Taylor entitled, and in

reply he explained that he formed his opinion under

the belief that Mr. Taylor had maintained an

adequate travelling establishment and that though

the distances of the places, noted by him in the Inspection

List, did not average more than four miles, yet that his

expenses had not thereby been diminished, as his marching

establishment had nevertheless been kept up. -

5 In the inspection List for July 1857
it is shown that Mr. Taylor was employed on out door
duties during only 6 days, but the distances of the
places visited although the same as in the previous month
are stated to be 5 miles and upwards instead of four.
This discrepancy between the two Lists was pointed out
to the District Engineer, and the Bills and Inspection
Lists for the two months returned with intimation that
Mr. Taylor was not entitled to the allowance. The
District Engineer thereupon forwarded a Memorandum dated 10th September 1857
from Mr. Taylor, which is herewith submitted, explaining
the circumstances under which he considered himself
entitled to the allowance, but the District Engineer was
informed that the explanation offered did not warrant
the Chief Engineer passing the allowance contrary to the
rules.

6 Before receiving the last communication,
District Engineer, at the request of Mr. Taylor,
forwards the Inspection Lists and Travelling allowance
Bills for June and July and at the same time
forwards those for August, with a request that the Bills
might be passed, under the explanation given in

Mr.

Mr. Taylor's Memorandum notice above. In reply
Captain Randall was referred to the last communication
from this Office which finally disposed of Mr. Taylor's
claim for Travelling allowance.

7 With regard to his claim for Office
rent, it has only to be said that this allowance was
but lately conceded to the General Officers of the Army,
in Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 11th November
1857 N. 2091. That order being intended to have no
retrospective effect, Mr. Taylor, who left the service
of Government two months previous, can have no
right to claim any thing. The Government will however
remark that he acknowledges to have received Papers
526 as Office rent from 1st July 1855 to 31st December 1856,
and charges it in his sundry expenditure account. The
District Engineer was called upon to state the circumstances
which led to the grant of the allowance, and his reply is
herewith forwarded, from which the Government will
observe that Mr. Taylor drew it upon his own
responsibility out of the public funds at his disposal, a
proceeding utterly unjustifiable, and which the
District Engineer should not for a moment have

allowed

dated 26th May 1858
N. 11

allowed. —

8. It thus appears that according to the Rules by which the entire Department of Public Works is governed, the claim of Mr. Taylor is not valid and

is left for Government to decide whether there are any circumstances in his case to entitle

him to special consideration. According to Extract Minutes of Consultation No. 1095 dated 10th Instant, I would

observe that the delay that has occurred is owing to the non receipt of a reply from the District Engineer every Division to a reference from this Office, as to

authority under which Mr. Taylor drew Office pens from 16th July 1855 to 31st December 1856, the receipt of which that Gentleman acknowledges. —

Central Office of P.W.
Fort Saint George
12th June 1858.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant

James Smith
Asst. Dykes & Co. in charge
in charge of the Central
Office of P.W.

X^o C^o

the following manner.

For Orders

Memo

13

1. The accompanying letter from the Central Office, D.P. W. would appear to call for the order of look. The letter reports upon a claim preferred by Mr George Taylor, recently employed in the survey of the Coringa Bay, for travelling allowances and office rent.

2. It is necessary to remark that Mr Taylor ~~was~~ ^{is not now in} the service of the India Company, his resignation having been accepted on the 1st Sept/57, but he seems to be still at Colomada, engaged in plotting on paper the results of his survey which extended over 2 years & some months. He answered to an enquiry of his, the first informed him that ^{actually} he was in their service from April/55, or for 2 years & 9 months, he had made no return for the survey drawn by him during that time, & that therefore he was bound to furnish the results of any survey he had made, notwithstanding that no portion of his former salary could be paid to him after the date of his resignation.

E. M. C. 21st Jan/58.
No 114.

3. On the 18th of March last, Mr. Taylor preferred his claim for balance of travelling allowances and office rent, amounting to Rs. 275. ---, which he made out in the following manner.

18th Jan/58
17th March/57
17th Dec/57
12th Dec/57

18th Jan/58
17th March/57
17th Dec/57
12th Dec/57

18th Jan/58
17th March/57
17th Dec/57
12th Dec/57

18th Jan/58
17th March/57
17th Dec/57
12th Dec/57

18th Jan/58
17th March/57
17th Dec/57
12th Dec/57

18th Jan/58
17th March/57
17th Dec/57
12th Dec/57

18th Jan/58
17th March/57
17th Dec/57
12th Dec/57

18th Jan/58
17th March/57
17th Dec/57
12th Dec/57

18th Jan/58
17th March/57
17th Dec/57
12th Dec/57

Travelling Expenses from 10th April/55 to 31st Aug/57
 875 days @ 5 Rs a day ——— 4,375. "—"

Office rent from the 14th July/55 to 31st Aug/57.
 25 months & 18 days @ 30 Rs per mensem ——— 766. "—"

Deduct receipts. ——— 5,141. "—"

Tentage from 10 April/55 to 31st Dec/56,
 632 days @ 3 Rs per diem — 1,896. "—"

Office rent from 14 July/55 to 31st Dec/56, @ 30 Rs per mensem,
 (as charged for in Sundry Expenditure Account) — 526. "—"

Travelling allowance from 1st Jan/57
 to the 31st May/57, @ 4 Rs a day — 604. "—"

3,026. "—"

Balance claimed, Rs 2,115. "—"

4. This balance, which is claimed by Mr. Taylor, it will be seen, is made up of the following items,

1. Difference between 5 Rs a day and 3 Rs a day from 10 April/55 to 31st Dec/56, or
 632 days x 2 Rs (the difference) = 1,264.
 2. Difference between 5 Rs a day & 4 Rs a day from 1st Jan/57 to 31st May/57, or
 151 days x 1 Rs (difference) = 151
 3. Office rent @ 30 Rs per mensem from 1st Jan/57 to 31st Aug/57, or for 8 months, this amount not having been charged for in his acct. — 240
 4. Travelling allowance for the months of June, July, & Aug/57, @ 5 Rs a day, disallowed by the Chief Engr, on the ground that Mr. Taylor had not marched ~~more~~ 5 miles a day as required by the rules (92 days @ 5 Rs) — 460
- No 2,115

Was same before the new R. & A. order was in existence.

* vide sec II of C. R. Notification 27, 28, 29, 30, 31.

* The Inspector of Railways is of opinion that in travelling expenses one mile was expended 10 miles.
 See I. Notification above quoted.

(1) The difference of travelling allowance claimed by Mr. Taylor, is declared by the Central Office to be wholly inadmissible, because he had received all the travelling allowance he was entitled to draw, for between 10th April/55 and 31st Dec/56, he was only entitled to 3 Rs a day, under E. M. C. 3rd May/55, No 532, which passed to the Comdant Civil Engr sent out by the Comd, the tentage of a 1st Asst Civil Engr, or 3 Rs a day; and from the 1st Jan/57 to the 31st May/57, he was allowed 4 Rs a day as ^{or after the reorganization of the present P. M. Dept} travelling allowance, that being the remuneration he was entitled to under the new Rules of the P. M. S., as an Assistant Engr, in which grade he was returned in the revised list of the new Dept. 5 Rs a day is the rate allowed to Executives Engineers. (2) The Office rent is disallowed, because it was only lately conceded by E. M. C. No 2091, 11th Nov/57, or 2 months after Mr. Taylor's resignation, and because it was not intended that these orders should have retrospective effect. (3) The Chief Engr refuses to pass travelling allowance to Mr. Taylor for the months of June, July, & August, 1857, because ^{during this period} he was engaged more in indoor than outdoor work, and when he did travel, which was 7 days in June, 6 in July, and 9 in August, the distances travelled fell short of 5 miles from his fixed station as required by the rules.

It would appear that the District Supr considered Mr Taylor entitled to his travelling allowances for these months, and in answer to St. John's call, he explained that he had formed his opinion under the belief that Mr Taylor had maintained an adequate travelling establishment, and that tho' he did not travel more than 4 miles a day, his travelling expenses were not thereby diminished, his travelling establishment having nevertheless been kept up. As to the office rent, Capt Rendall says that Mr Taylor drew it, or rather paid it, out of funds in his hands on his own responsibility.

6. Strictly speaking therefore Mr Taylor has no title whatever to any of the three descriptions of claims he has preferred; on the contrary, it would rather appear that he should be called upon to refund the office rent which he unauthorizedly charged for in his Sunday Expenditure Account, amounting from the 1st July, 55 to the 31st Dec 56, @ 30 Rs a month, to 526 Rs. But it may be considered that there are special circumstances in his case, which render him an object for leniency. He has been the author of his own misfortunes, but looking at his career, his misfortunes are to be attributed to his ignorance of the rules of the service, & a misstatement of his position in the Dept as an Es. Officer under Covenant into the Civil Court.

From 10 of accounts
applied to the
in his 1st 18 March
in Cont. 13 April 58.

The special circumstances in his case are that altho' he had not much travelling he nevertheless kept up his travelling establishment, and more, according to his statement, he had to incur the extra expense of palanquin and bearers, as the nature of the ground prevented the use of his horse. As to office rent, he says that he had to appropriate one room for a writer, another for a large drawing table, a third room for tools, & part of a fourth room for instruments &c under lock & key, in addition to a large verandah, a shed, and the best part of a spacious yard for brooms, bamboo &c. In his second letter of the 12th May last, he gives a piteable account of his embarrassments. He says that since the 1st Sept 57, he has had to borrow 1400 Rs for his maintenance; that he owes 200 Rs to somebody in England, and can't expect any more indulgence of time for repaying it; that he owes two payments of premiums upon two policies of Life Assurance effected 12 years ago by him in England, upon which he has already paid 300£; and that if these two payments are not made in time, the policies will be void, & the advantage of twelve years payments lost to him. Mr Taylor concluded this letter by stating that the only thing that could save his policies, was for the Govt to place 800 Rs to his credit at the Oriental

Hand "by the 20th Instant" (May).!

7. The Chief Engr was first called upon on the 12th April to report on Mr. Taylor's claims, and the cause assigned for the delay, is stated by Major Laupers to be the non-receipt of a reply from the District Engr, to a reference made to him as to the authority under which Mr. Taylor drew Office rent from 14 July/55 to 31 Dec/56.

8. As connected with this case, it must be noticed ^{however} that on an appeal from Mr. Taylor, the Court in E. M. C. 8th Sept/57, No. 1702, declined to recall their acceptance of his resignation, but in consideration of the circumstances set forth by him regarding his expenses, said that they wd be prepared to give him 1500 Rs as passage money, on his making a complete record of that part of the survey on which he had been lately engaged, & on his delivering to the District Engr all original papers connected with that duty.

W H B

18th

* This is supposed to be a request for a copy of the report made by the District Engr, in the case of Mr. Taylor, & it is not known whether it has been received or not.

It appears from the report that the man - his object evidently is to make a case against Govt. which I think he cannot do - as a legal adviser has been consulted on every point arising with him.

I am quite inking Govt. liberally towards him. but it must be remembered - that he has been most mischievous & troublesome - that he was sent to survey the Canada Bay - which Lt A Taylor completed in 2 months - while Mr J. Taylor - ~~has~~ ^{has} ~~been~~ ^{has} been a half making his approach Govt.

His survey of the borders may be an elaborate work. but I was informed with authority weeks as he has left no points or marks. on the ground he has surveyed.

It would appear that Mr J. Taylor has been called to travel wherever on the ground he has surveyed.

you will find the bill a
handing shell and
a few white birds per
in the D. W. This
amount to perhaps
be given to him in
one of the several
times by passing of
the 5th July

with
the 5th July
the 5th July
the 5th July
the 5th July

the 5th July
the 5th July
the 5th July
the 5th July

the 5th July
the 5th July
the 5th July
the 5th July

1858 June 22nd

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten notes in the left margin of the right page.]

Public works Dept

No 1331

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 10th July 1858

Read again
letters from Mr
George Taylor dated 18th
March & 12th May 1858
and recorded in Diary
to Con^g 13th April & 15th June
1858 respectively Nos 625 & 164.
Read also the follow-
ing letter.

From The Sup^y Engineer S.C.
in charge of Central Office P.W. Here enter 12th June 1858 No 1107

A.
W
W

Para 1. In his letters
of the 18th March & 12th
May 1858, Mr George
Taylor submitted a
claim to certain sums
which he stated were
due to him on accounts

A

of travelling expenses and
Office rent while he
was in the service of
the Government.

2. Mr. Taylor's
communications were
referred to the Central
Office for report and
the reply of the Officer
in charge gives a fair
view of the whole case.

It has been satisfactorily
established that Mr.
Taylor has no valid
ground whereon to rest
either claim for trav.

elling allowance
or for office rent. On
the contrary he appears
to have improperly drawn
and

and made use of a
sum of Rupees 526 from
Government funds and
charged it in his Sunday
Expenditure account as
"Office rent". The Govt.
have given the subject
their patient, deliberate
and careful consideration
and having arrived
at the conclusion that
there are no reasons
whatever for admitting
Mr. Taylor's claims,
resolve to reject them,
and to intimate to
Mr. Taylor that this
decision being final
no further applications
on the same subject
will

will be noticed. The Govt
will not now insist
upon a refund of the
sum of Rupees 526 in-
properly drawn by
Mr. Taylor, nor will
they allow this conduct
to interfere with the
promise conveyed to
him in Ex Minute
8th September 1857 No
1702 vizt "to grant him
in consideration of
the circumstances he
set forth regarding
his expenses, the sum
of 1500 Rupees as
passage money on his
making a complete
record of that part
of the survey in
which

which he has been
engaged and on his
delivering to the District
Engineer all original
papers connected
with that duty; but
they would wish to
be informed what
progress has been
made by Mr. Taylor
in this business to
entitle him to the
grant.

10 Copies to the Offr Chief Engr. Dated 12th
1 - " - " Account Genl N
1 - " - " Quarterd r. N
1 - " Mr. G. Taylor

W H B

Copy in G. L. to E.
d/s 2 Feb'y 1859 N-1
Per 19

Cous 15 June / 58 N9-10
-- 13 April -- 21-22
17 Nov / 54 -- 1-2
8 May -- / 53 -- 12-13.

Public Works 1858 Dep'th.
20% to Cous. 18th July

N. 1331
Extract Min. of Cons.
Df 10th July / 53.

From Mr. G. Taylor Cn: 27 Nov / 58
C N 2875 For Cons 11 January / 59

See no reason for admit-
ting the claim of Mr. George Taylor
to Travelling allowances and Office
rent & to it to be due to him. and
accordingly resolve to reject his
claim and to intimate to Mr. Taylor
that this decision is final and that
no further application on the
subject will be noticed.

N. 64 & 65.