

1. Number of pages. 10.
2. Date of repair. 21.7.37
3. Initials of Referee. J
M.R.O. 1-22,400-22-11-20

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Publishing DEPARTMENT.
Cons. 26-7-37

G.O. No. 1660	Press Mis.
12-13	
Dated 23-7-1837	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Fort Saint George, Public Works Consultation of 28th July 1857.

No. 12. Read the following letter from F. LUSHINGTON, Esq., Civil Auditor; to H. FORBES, Esq., Acting Secretary to Government, dated 3rd July 1857, No. 98.

SIR,

I have the honor to request, that I may be favored with the instructions of Government whether, in order to the admission of a claim to Deputation allowance preferred by an Officer in the Engineer Establishment of the Public Works Department, it is necessary that the Officer claiming the allowance should be directly appointed by the Government to the situation for discharging the duties of which he makes the claim.

2. The case of Lieutenant Syme, will occur to Government as an instance in which from the simple circumstance of that Officer not having been specifically appointed by Government to conduct the general duties of the Vizagapatam District, his claim was in the first instance overlooked and is now a subject of consideration with the Government. Another case of this kind has been brought to my notice. Lieutenant Harington, Executive Engineer in the Ganjam District, has fallen in charge of that district in consequence of Lieutenant Moberly, the Acting District Engineer, having quitted the district in pursuance of his appointment as Superintendent of the East Coast Canal, Lieutenant Harington states that his charge of the District will continue till he is relieved by Lieutenant Blagrove who has been appointed in the room of Lieutenant Moberly. As the Government in Extract Minutes of Consultation of the 10th Ultimo, No. 1,117, (Para. 5) have ruled that an Officer of the Upper Subordinate Grade is not to be considered as officiating as an Assistant Executive Engineer when in temporary charge of an Executive range without an appointment from Government, it may perhaps be their intention that a similar principle should apply to the case of Officers of the Engineer Establishment. At all events it appears to me to be satisfactory to have the case decided by the Government, so as to have no room for doubt or hesitation in adjusting similar claims that will be preferred from time to time.

3. The rate of deputation allowance to be awarded in such cases, I beg to observe is prescribed in the resolution of the Government of India conveyed in a letter from the Public Works Secretary to the Government of India to your address under date the 3rd April 1857, No. 1586, and communicated to me in Extract Minutes of Consultation of the 18th May last, No. 954.

No. 13. ORDER THEREON, No. 1410; dated 23rd July 1857.

1. In this letter, the Civil Auditor requests the orders of Government upon the question whether an Officer in the Engineer establishment of the D. P. W. is entitled to deputation allowance when not directly appointed by the Government to the situation for discharging the duties of which he makes the claim.

2. The Government are of opinion that for very short periods, (as for instance when an Officer holds charge of a District for a few days on the removal of his superior pending the arrival of his successor) deputation allowance cannot be claimed. But when an Executive Officer holds charge of a District for more than one month, deputation allowance may be granted for all the time in excess of the month, provided a greater charge is not entailed upon the State than would be the case if the office were permanently filled.

3. The amount of deputation allowance was laid down in the enclosure to the letter from the Government of India No. 1918 of 24th April 1857, communicated to the Civil Auditor with Extract Minutes Consultation No. 954 of 18th May 1857. Actual nomination by Government in each case will not be necessary.

(Signed) H. FORBES,
Acting Secretary to Government.

appointed.

N^o 98.

From, J. Lushington, Esq^r.
Civil Auditor.

To, H. Forbes, Esq^r.
Acting Secretary to Government.
Public Works Department.

Dated 3^d July 1857 A.D.

Sir,

I have the honor to request, that I may be favored with the instructions of Government as to whether, in order to the admission of a claim to Despatch allowance preferred by an Officer in the Engineer Establishment of the Public Works Department, it is necessary that the Officer claiming the allowance should be directly appointed by the Government to the situation for discharging the duties of which he makes the claim.

2. The case of Lieut^t. Syme will occur to Government as an instance in which from the simple circumstance of that Officer not having been specifically appointed by Government to conduct the general duties of the Vizagapatam District, his claim was in the first instance overlooked and it is now a subject of consideration with the Government. Another case of this kind has been brought to my notice. Lieutenant Harington, Executive Engineer in the Ganjam District, has fallen in charge of that district in consequence of Lieutenant Hoberly, the Acting District Engineer, having quitted the district in pursuance of his appointment as Superintendent of the E. C. Canal. Lieutenant Harington states that his charge of the District will continue till he is relieved by Lieut^t. Blagrove who has been appointed.

appointed in the room of Lieutenant Moberly, & the
Government in Extract Minutes of Consultation of the
10th Ultimo, N^o 1117, (Para. 5.) have ruled that an
Officer of the Upper Subordinate grade is not to be
considered as officiating as an Assistant Executive
Engineer when in temporary charge of an Executive
range without an appointment from Government, it
may perhaps be their intention that a similar prin-
ciple should apply to the case of Officers of the
Engineer Establishment. At all events it appears to me
to be satisfactory to have the case decided by the
Government, so as to have no room for doubt or hesita-
tion in adjusting similar claims that will be presented
from time to time.

3. The rate of deputation allowance to be
awarded in such cases, they to observe is prescribed
in the resolution of the Government of India conveyed
in a letter from the Public Works Secretary to the Govt.
of India to your address under date the 3rd April 1857,
N^o 1586, and communicated to me in Extract Minutes
of Consultation of the 18th May last, N^o 957.

Civil Auditors Office,
Fort Saint George,
The 3rd July 1857.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant.
A. Lushington
Civil Auditor.

A. Lushington

D. W. D.

No 1410

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Render date the 23rd July 1857

Read the following letter from
the Civil Auditor

(Here enter no 98 of 3 July 1857)

1. In this letter the Civil Auditor
requests the orders of Govt upon
the question whether an
officer in the Engineer Estab-
lishment of the D. P. M. is
entitled to Deputation allow-
ance when not directly ap-
pointed by the Govt. to the
situation for discharging the
duties of which he makes
the claim.

2. The Govt are of opinion that
for very short periods, as
for instance when an officer

Decem. 19. May 1857 No 69-70
" 10 June " " 14-18

13th June 1857 D. P. M.
D. 10 June 28th July 1857

Decem. 1857 No 1362

The Civil Auditor

No. 1362. Should be Govt.

Decem 3rd

Dec 7th 1857 July 1857.

No 98

Decem. 23rd July

As regards the institution
of Govt. in relation to order to
admit claims to Deputation
allowances preferred by an
Officer with Engineer's rank
of the 1st or 2nd class, it
is necessary that the Officer
claiming the same should be
directly appointed by Govt.
to the station, destination for
which allowance is claimed.

No 13.

19 July 1857

holds charge of a district
for a few days, on
the removal of his superior
pending the arrival of his
successor / Deputation allow-
ance cannot be claimed.

But when an Executive
Officer holds charge of a
district for more than
one month, Deputation
allowance may be granted
for all the time in excess
of the month, provided
a greater charge is not
entailed upon the State
than would be the case
if the office were perma-
nently filled.

At 3. The amount
of Deputation
allowance was laid
down in the enclosure
of the letter from the Govt
of India No 1918 of 24th
1857. communicated to
the Civil Auditor with

SAC No 954 of Bellary
1857. Actual nomination
of Govt in such case will
not be necessary.

4 Copies to the Chief Engr. Chief 2nd
Civil Auditor.

June 19th July 1870
- 18th June - 17 1870

John H. H. H. H. H.
June 1870

21/11/10

Contract H. H. H. H. H.

22nd July 1870

From the Office of the
1/10 July 1870

1870

Attest that in the
an Executive Officer
charge of and with for some
than one month, Deputy
their allowance may be
granted for all the time
of the month for
with a greater charge
not included than included
the case of the Officer
were permanently filled.

12.1.13

17 July 1871