1. Number of pages. 1–16
2. Date of [illegible]. 7-9-3
3. [illegible] rences.

M.R.O. 1—82 406—22-11-30

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

Con 15-12-5?

G.O. No. 2304 Press Mis.

3538

Dated 11— 12 — 18 5?

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 35. Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Chief Engineer, dated 18th June 1857, No. 1310.

Read the following letter from Lieutenant J. GODDARD, District Engineer Canara; to Colonel C. E. FABER, Chief Engineer, dated Mangalore, 18th May 1857, No. 67.

SIR,

In obedience to the orders conveyed in the Chief Engineer's Resolution,* No. 164, dated the 8th Instant, I do myself the honor to report, that the plan therein called for, has been this day despatched to the Central Office.

* Calling for a sketch of the country connected with the site of the Tuddry river bridge, and the lines of the proposed Canals.

2. The six *principal* ghats in the vicinity under reference, have been strongly distinguished viz. the Singawaree, Kygah, Arbyle, Devamunny, Nulcoond, and Gursopah Ghauts, and also the proposed sites for four large bridges, viz., the Mullupoor bridge at foot of Singavary ghaut, the Pattaupahar† bridge at foot of Arbyle ditto, the Dewgah ferry bridge site, and the site of the proposed bridge at Munky Byle.

† Forwarded on the 18th May 1857.

3. The proposed lines of Canal are marked yellow in Plan, viz., line to connect the Gungawally and Tuddry rivers near the latter village a line to connect Tuddry and Coomptah (now in progress) and a line to connect Coomptah and the Honore backwater, for which plans and estimates are in progress.

Resolved, with reference to Extract Minutes Consultation, No. 861, dated 5th Ultimo, to submit to Government, copy of the foregoing letter, No. 67, of the 19th Idem, from the District Engineer of Canara, together with the Sketch Map required, shewing.

1st. The positions of the Singawaree, Kygah, Arbyle, Devamunny, Neelcoond, and Gursapah ghauts.

2nd. The sites proposed for four large bridges at Mullapoor, Pattaupahar. Dewgale, and Munky Byle.

And 3rd. The proposed lines of Canal to connect the Gungawally, and Tuddry rivers, the latter river with Coompta, and Coompta with Honore.

(Signed) C. E. FABER, Colonel,
Chief Engineer.

No. 36. ORDER THEREON, No. 2304; dated 11th December 1857.

With his Report X, dated 26th January 1856, the Chief Engineer submitted an estimate, amounting to Rupees 36,021, for excavating a Canal from the Tuddry river to Coomtah, in the District of Canara. The estimate was entered* in the Budget of 1856-57, was sanctioned by the Government of India, on the 11th July 1856, and brought forward in Statement† No. 2 of the Budget of 1857-58.

* Extract Mins. Cons., 23rd February 1856, No. 271.

† Item No. 398

2. Previous to this, in an order dated 4th September 1854 No. 377, the Government requested the late Board of Public Works, to take into consideration the suggestion of the Collector for providing a means of transport over the Tuddry river to Coomtah; and as it appeared from para. 4 of the Chief Engineer's report of 26th January 1856, that a bridge over the river was considered necessary, in addition to the Canal, Colonel Faber was requested, in para. 5 of Extract from the Minutes of Consultation of the 8th September 1856, to obtain and submit a report on the subject.

3. In a letter dated 25th April 1857, the Chief Engineer referred to a correspondence which had passed between himself and the local Officers on the subject of the bridge; and under the circumstances therein represented, requested to be informed whether he should submit the

‡ Extract Mins. Cons., 5th May 1857, No. 861.

plan and estimate for the bridge, which he had received, or should keep them in abeyance. Upon this the Government requested‡ to be furnished with a sketch of the country connected with the whole project of bridging the Tuddry, showing the site of the bridge, and the lines of the p roposed Canals. This sketch the Chief Engineer submits with his Proceedings above recorded.

4. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council is not quite satisfied as to the necessity of a bridge over the Tuddry at Dewgah. He observes that, if it should be built, it will be solely for the benefit of the port of Coomtah, which is very inferior to that of Tuddry. The latter port is the natural outlet for the traffic which comes from the interior down the Devamunny Ghat, and which can be conveyed in boats from Kutgul, a village situated not far from the site of the proposed Dewgah bridge. One point however seems to require some consideration, and that is, that there is only one English Firm established at Tuddry; whereas, at Coomtah, there are many native Merchants who do not wish to remove from that port, and who might consider themselves placed in a disadvantageous position, from the want of a bridge. At the same time it appears to Government that, as the Canal from the Tuddry river to Coomtah will, when completed, admit of the Devamunny traffic being conveyed from Kutgul to Coomtah, there is no immediate necessity for a bridge at Dewgah, and that precedence should be given to that proposed to be erected at Munky Byle. But before finally deciding, the Government would wish to have before them the opinion of the Collector as to the necessity for a bridge at Dewgah.

5. The proposed bridge at Puttanpahar, and the lines of Canal to connect the Gungawyally and Tuddry rivers, and the port of Coomtah with the Honore backwater, are works of importance, and should not be lost sight of. It appears however from para. 3 of Lieutenant Goddard's letter, that plans and estimates for the proposed Canals are under preparation.

6. It is observed that the District Engineer has not entered the names of the rivers in the plan which he has submitted, and the Chief Engineer will direct his attention to the omission.

(Signed) H. FORBES,
Acting Secretary to Government.

No. 1310 3D

Extract from the Proceedings of the Chief Engineer in the Department of Public Works.

Under date the 18th June 1857.

Read the following:-

Northern Circular No. 67.

District Engr's Office Canara
Mangalore 18th May 1857.

From Lieutenant I. Goddard
District Engineer Canara

To Colonel C. E. Faber
Chief Engineer P.W.D.
Fort St. George

Sir,

In obedience to the orders conveyed in the Chief Engineer's Resolution, No. 1164. dated the 8th Instant, I do myself the honor to report, that the plan therein called for, has been this day despatched to the Central Office.—

2. The six principal ghats in the district under reference, have been strongly furnished - Viz., the Singawaree, Kygah, Arbyle, Devamanny, Mulcoond, and Gursoppah Ghauts, and also the proposed sites for four large bridges, Viz., the Mullapoor Bridge at foot of Singanary Ghaut, The Puttanpahar Bridge at foot of Arbyle ditto,—

The

* Calling for a Sketch of the Country connected with the Sites of the Tuddry river Bridges, & the lines of the proposed Canals.-

* forwarded on the 18th May 1857.

The Dewgaht ferry Bridges site, and the site of the proposed Bridges at Munkey Byle.-

3. The proposed lines of Canal are marked Yellow in plan, Nº 1, lines to connect the Gungawally and Tuddry Rivers near the latter Villages - a line to connect Tuddry and Coompta (now in progress) and a line to connect Coompta and the Honore backwater, for which plans and Estimates are in progress.-

I have &c.

(Signed) J. Goddard Lieut.
Asst. Engr. Canara.-

Resolved, with reference to Extract Minutes Consultation, Nº 861. dated 5th Ultimo, to submit to Government, Copy of the foregoing - letter, Nº 67. of the 19th Idem, from the District Engineer of Canara, together with the Sketch Maps required, showing.-

1st The positions of the Singawaree, Hygah, Arbyle, Davananny, Nalcond, and Guttapah Ghauts.-

2d The sites proposed for four - large bridges at Mullapoor, Pattanghar, Dewgale, and Munkey Byle.-

and

Enclosure. 1 Sketch Map

57

And 3d The proposed lines of - Canal to connect the Gungavally, and Tuddry rivers, the latter river with Coompta, and Coompta with Honore.-

I have &c.
(Signed) Colonel
Chief Engineer.

To
The Secretary to Government
Public Works Department.-

For Orders

Public Works Department 1247

Chief Engineer's Office Bangalore
Dated 18th December 1857.

From the Secretary to Government
Public Works Department
Fort St. George

Submitting Reports from the Executive Engineer Kistna Division
Requesting Sanction to the Construction of a Bridge of Boats
Communication Agreeable to Order
Kistna Anicut

Transmitted

Chief Engineer's Office 18th December 1857

Transmitted

Chief Engineer's Office 18th December 1857

Transmitted

Chief Engineer's Office 18th December 1857

Public Works Department 1854 Dated 16th
Dated Fort St. George 16th December
No. 1247

Extract from the Proceedings of the Chief Engineer Dated 18th December
To the Secretary to Government
Public Department

Dated 18
Read
} June 1857

Encls. Read 18-1
No. 1316

No. 2304 11th December

Forwards Copy of a letter from the District Engineer Canara with a Sketch Map of the Country connected with the Site of Saddle Rock Bridge & Alignment of the proposed Canal.

No. 35.

Instructions

Chief Engineer's Office 20th Nov. 1854 - 2 Dec. 1857

Estimate No. 1 for 1857 Rs. 102,103
" 9th Letter 30 - 72,173
" 26th " Surveyor - 13,513

be erected solely for the benefit of the part of Camptah - which is very inferior to that of Juddy -

So that Juddy is the natural outlet for the produce - which comes from the interior down the Dwarmunny Khal - & which can if ~~thought~~ better be kept at Kalgat & perhaps debouched about where the Bengah bridge is placed in the sketch -

But the difficulty is this - at Juddy there is only one English firm established - whereas at Camptah - there is a large number of native merchants ~~who~~ who do not wish Mondul -

If that scheme should take place - they might consider themselves ill-used -

at the same time - I ~~think~~ am strongly of opinion - that the

Tundy Hyde bridge. the Canal from
Coomptah to Tuddy about twelve
Cotton feet. & I wanted upon
to the Collector for his opinion
as to the Inquiry for the Design
on -

the Canal bypass Tuddy above
Puttampurum bridge are both
works of importance

before we trace &c, the Complete papers
are on equal terms with the Tuddie & the
Canal should be undertaken at Design
the Engr has not entered the
names of the rivers in the Plate
nor can I see clearly the proposed line
of Canal between the Tuddie &
Gangawally Rivers - the latter supposed
is the most direct &c.

11) P.W.D.

N 2304

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation

Under date the 11th December 1857.

Read the following

Extract from the Proceedings of

the Chief Engineer.

Hereunto 18th June 1857 N 1310

With his report x,

dated 26th January 1856, the Chief

Engineer submitted an Estimate

amounting to Rupees 36,021 for

excavating a canal from the

Tuddy river to Coomptah, in the

District of Canara. The estimate

was + entered in the Budget of

1856/57, was sanctioned by the

Government of India on the

11 July 1856, and brought forward

in * Statement N 2 of the Budget

of 1857/58.

Previous to this, in

an order dated 1st September 1854

No

+ E. to M. C. 23rd Feb 7/56 N 271.

* Mem N 395.

Judeby. The latter port is the natural outlet for the traffic which comes from the interior down the Devanmmy River, and which can be conveyed in boats from Kutgul, a village situated not far from the site of the proposed Dewgah bridge. One point however seems to require some consideration, and that is, that there is only one English Firm established at Judeby; whereas, at Coomptah, there are many natives merchants who do not wish to remove from that port, and who might consider themselves placed in a disadvantageous position, from the want of a bridge. At the same time it appears to Government that, as the Canal from the Judeby river to Coomptah will, when completed, exhibit of the Devanmmy traffic being conveyed from Kutgul to

to Coomptah, there is no immediate necessity for a bridge at Dewgah, and that preference should be given to that proposed to be erected at Munkly Byle. But before finally deciding, the Government would wish to have before them the opinion of the Collector as to the necessity for a bridge at Dewgah.

The proposed bridge at Puttanpukur; and the lines of Canal to connect the Gungawally and Judeby rivers and the port of Coomptah with the Honore backwater, are works of importance, and should not be lost sight of. It appears however from para 3 of Lieut. Goddards letter that plans and estimates for the proposed Canals are under preparation

It

It is observed that the District Engineer has not entered the names of the rivers in the Plan which he has submitted, and the Chief Engineer will direct his attention to the omission.

BB

4 Copies to the Chief Engr.
Dec. 12.

Encl: 5th May /57 Nos 102 & 103.
— " 9th Sept.r /56 " — 72 & 73.
— " 26th Feby " — " 134 & 137.

Public Works 1857 Dep.t
D.o to Encl.s 15th December.

No 2304.

Extract Mins of Encl.s
D.d 11th Dec.r /57.

From the Chief Engr D.d 13th
Feby /58 C. No 325 in 6:
12 Branch

Observing that the
Government are not quite satisfied
as to the necessity of the
bridges over the Sudday at
Deingadi, and requiring the
opinion of the Collector of Canara
on the subject, and observing
also that the proposed bridge
at Puttumpalair and the line
of canal to connect the Gungaa-
walley and Sudday rivers, and
the Ebb of Coontah with the Hon.ble
Company's water, are works of importance
and should not be lost sight of.

Nos 35 & 36.

C2 Encl 27th