

1087
1539
C2

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

DEPARTMENT

CU. No.	File No.
Dated	By

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Fort Saint George, Public Works Consultation of 15th September 1857.

No. 32. Read the following Extract from the Proceedings of the Chief Engineer, dated 21st August 1857, No. 3088.

Read the following letter from the Acting District Engineer of North Arcot.

Returns Petition from late Maistry Moonesawmy with communications from Lieutenant Boyd on the subject. } (Here enter 11th August 1857, No. 1254.)

Resolved to forward to Government copy of Lieutenant Boyd's letter explaining the circumstances which led to Moonesawmy Maistry's resignation of his employment.

(Signed) C. E. FABER, Colonel,
Chief Engineer.

No. 33. ORDER THEREON, No. 1733 ; dated 10th September 1857.

Ordered that the following Endorsement be made on Petition No. 1296 of 1857.

" The Government see from the papers before them that the misconduct for which the dismissal of the Petitioner, Moonesawmy Maistry was authorized in Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 27th February last, No. 375 was a repetition of previous similar misconduct towards another officer, and that the District Engineer Captain Johnston had in vain given him warning in September 1855 to alter his behaviour. They cannot therefore sanction his re-employment in the service."

2. The Right Honorable the Governor in Council must however notice Lieutenant Boyd's admission of having struck the petitioner, and caution that officer as to his conduct in this respect in future.

(Signed) H. FORBES,
Acting Secretary to Government.

N^o 3088

Extract from the Proceedings of the Chief Engineer
in the Department of Public Works, Fort Ticonderoga

Under date the 21st August 1857

and the following

From Mr. F. H. District Engineer of Northbrook

Related Relation from late Assistant Engineer

with communications from Mr. Boyd on the subject. For file enter 21st August 1857

Enclosed

Resolved to forward to Government

Report of

copy of Lieutenant Boyd's letter explaining the circumstances

which led to Mr. Boyd's resignation of his

employment

At Test

Wm. L. L. L.

Colonel

Chief Engineer

U.

To

The Secretary to Government

P. W. D.

Exdand

N 268

From, Lieutenant B. B. Boyd,
 Asst Engineer of North West
 To, Lieutenant J. O. Mayne,
 Asst District Engineer of N. West.

Sir,
 In returning a Petition from Moonesawmy
 late Estimate Maker in my Office, I have the
 honor to forward copies of a letter and enclosure
 which were sent in reply to a former Petition, from
 Moonesawmy on the same subject.

I have &
 Signed B. B. Boyd, Lieut.
 Asst Eng^r in North West.
 Signed J. O. Mayne, Lieut.
 Asst Dist^r Engineer.
 A True Copy of
 Mrs. Fisher Colonel.
 Chief Engineer.

Enc 1/15.

From: 3^d March 1857

Received from the
 of the Chief Engineer
 of the North West
 1857

2000 21st
 22nd August 1857
 N 3088.

Enclosure 2
 J. O. Mayne, 10th July 1857

Forwarding copies of correspondence
 now explaining the circumstances
 which led to Moonesawmy's
 resignation of his employment
 As Asst District

N 327

23 May 70

N^o 32

From, Lieutenant R. B. Boyd,
Assistant Engineer of
North West
Co.,
Lieutenant L. O. Mayne,
Acting District Engineer of
North West
Co.

Sir,
With reference to the letter sent to you by
Moonesawmy late Indistroy in my Office and forwarded
to me for report, I have the honor to make the following
observations

With regard to the 1st para of his letter stating
that he had never given any cause for displeasure to
any of his previous employers I find from the Pay
Abstracts that he was fined Rupees 8-2-8 by Lieutenant
Mayne in the month of April 1856, and the enclosed
copy of a letter from Captain Johnston shows that he
was again fined 1/2 of his pay for misbehaviour in the
month of September 1855.

2. In para 2 he stated that he attended Office on the
day in question at 12 O'clock. He did not come till nearly
2 O'clock, leading me to suppose at 1 O'clock the time when

I wrote the letter, that he had no intention of coming. I always make every allowance for the Officers in marching, and of course do not as a general rule expect them to come at the same hour as when stationary, but in this case the distance was only 7 miles, and I had given him notice of it early on the previous Saturday, and as he was then with me at Panamadunee I excused his attendance at the Office for that day on account of his having to march. I at the same time refused an application that he made, to be allowed to stop away till the afternoon, on account of the accumulation of work in the Office from his previous protracted absence, so that his doing so was direct disobedience.

3 He states that I abused him most opprobriously. I can safely say that I did not make use of a single term of abuse or utter a single sentence, that I should be ashamed to repeat in any lady's society; indeed I am almost surprised at my own moderation in this respect, when I consider his extremely insolent behaviour to myself, which at length reached such a pitch, that in the heat of the moment I struck him a slight blow, but did not repeat it as he states. He then said that he resigned his appointment without any reservation as to his appealing to you, but with

with much insolence of manner and language. I repeat the facts as they occurred, and I hope you will consider me justified in acting as I did; indeed I am sure that you would do so if you had been present and witnessed his insolence.

Asst. Eng^r Office,

Camp Vellore, February 1857

By J. O. Mayne Secy.

Asst. Dist. Eng^r

I have &

J. Sigurd B. B. Boyd Esq.

Asst. Engineer of N. A.

By J. O. Mayne Secy.

Asst. Eng^r of N. A.

1857

Civil Engineer's Office, 1st Div.

Camp No. 1st September 1857

From, Captain C. C. Johnston, Civil Engineer 1st Division

To, Lieutenant J. O. Mayne, Assistant Civil Engineer

* Recd. on the 6th Inst.

Sir, In acknowledging your letter N^o 83 dated the 28th ultimo, to Lieutenant Roberts's address, bringing to notice a second time the ill conduct of Ensign Innes-Sawney, I have the honor to direct that he may be fined to the extent of half a month's pay, and at the same time informed, that on the next occasion of disrespectful behaviour, he will be dismissed from the Department.

By J. O. Mayne Secy.

Asst. Engineer of N. A.

By J. O. Mayne Secy.

Asst. Eng^r of N. A.

By J. O. Mayne Secy.

Chief Engineer.

5405.15.

10
I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 10th inst. in relation to the subject of the petition of the Chief Engineer of the Public Works of the Division of the Department of the Interior, dated the 10th inst.

His Excellency The Right Honorable
The Governor in Council

My Dear Sir,
I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 10th inst. in relation to the subject of the petition of the Chief Engineer of the Public Works of the Division of the Department of the Interior, dated the 10th inst.

No 10214 dated 11th February 1877.
Resolved to inform the Petitioner, by the Chief Engineer of the Public Works of the Division of the Department of the Interior, dated the 10th inst.

No 11028 of 18th March 1877.
The Petitioner, Moonesawmy, is informed, that the Government has decided to hold for upwards of 7 years, the Chief Engineer of the Public Works of the Division of the Department of the Interior, dated the 10th inst.

No 11985 of 23rd April 1877.
The Petitioner was finally disposed of in a resolution No 10214, dated 11th February 1877, which Petitioner is referred to for information. The Chief Engineer can take no cognizance of the complaint.

was authorized in by the Hon.

Resolution in an extract from
the Proceedings of the Chief Engineer
of the Public Works of the Division
of the Department of the Interior,
dated the 10th inst.
No 10214
No 11028
No 11985
The Petitioner, Moonesawmy, is informed, that the Government has decided to hold for upwards of 7 years, the Chief Engineer of the Public Works of the Division of the Department of the Interior, dated the 10th inst.

on, absentees unreasonably as observed by the
Chief Engineer in the above Contract.

During the course of my
service for the last 7 years, I have always been
regular in attendance to my duty & behaved myself
with the utmost care, fidelity and attention in the

Testimonials due & efficient
I have during the performance of
periods of two years I was in the Civil the duty which
Engineers Department, was my duties devolved on me
& I must say, he is a steady, honest hard to the entire satis-
fying man, a very correct & efficient of the several
accountants, and a very fair & able Employer, as
well as the thoroughly understanding & sensible person who
estimate making while in my service, the amount of work
he has estimated for repairs of Public works given me
and works of irrigation, & also for the building of
new works, such as the construction of the
of Church, Roads & Bridges, and all the Civil Eng-
neers have generally been of the A. Division,
very correct.

I am, Sir, your obedient servant,
J. H. Boyd
Chief Engineer, will be a very fair & correct
man and a very efficient
for the Government's employment.
That an unfortunate
attack of sun fever and cold has confined me
to

to bed for about 20 days in January last which
circumstances being unavoidable I reported to
Lieut Boyd who is in charge of the Department,
on recovery, I attended to my business, on Saturday
the 31st Lieut Boyd ordered the Office Estab-
lishment to have attendance on the following Monday
at 12 o'clock at a place of 7 miles distance from
Vellore where the Establishment was stationed & on
Saturday I was accordingly present on the spot at
12 o'clock on that day when the gentlemen without
taking into consideration my explanation, as to
the real cause of late hour of attendance to duty
on that day owing to my weak state of health caused
from the recent attack of fever, and the long existing
practice in the Department that some Considerate
is generally allowed regarding the late hour of at-
tendance on occasions of removals of the Department
from one place to another owing to the distances pre-
pared a draft to the address of the District Engineer,
reporting him my sick absence, the name for production
of a medical certificate & that I attended the
Office at 2 o'clock while I attended at 12. Which
draft he desired me to copy fair, after my having
done so, I earnestly solicited Lieut Boyd for a
humility explaining him that my sick absence was
unavoidable & the treatment I received from a
native Doctor of my illness rendered me completely
unable to produce a certificate from an European Physi-
cian & that the late hour of my attendance on
that day was in consequence of my weakness, but to
my

was authorized in by Mr.

my regret, shame, & disgrace the gentlemen without attending to this my earnest request, illused me in most a reproberious language & struck me severely many lashed with a rattan in the presence of the Depart, which circumstances my Lord known me into great terror & Confusion induces me to appeal to the District Engineer for redress but no justice has been rendered.

Notwithstanding in my reiterated representations, I have fully explained to the Chief Engineer that I did not resign my situation nor was I ever insubordinate or absent unnecessarily but that gentlemen had not the least mercy to attend to my requests.

What above are the real facts of this my unfortunate case, & therefore rests with your Lordships in Council to judge how far the statements contained in the enclosed documents & my unjust dismissal from the service would be just & correct if it is true that I resigned my situation as observed by the Chief Engineer, there must be some documentary proof to it, since there is nothing of the kind your Lordships alone should consider what could have induced that gentleman to say so. Now beg solemnly to declare that I was always regular & punctual in my duty & behaved myself in the most prudent manner and was always obedient & submissive to the several orders given me by my employers & I am quite conscious of having never given no cause

to

to find fault with me, but I now deeply regret to state that it is my misfortune that the Chief Engineer should disagree with me in his different opinion of me.

In regard to the treatment I have received, they to submit that I am totally incompetent to command much upon it but leave it to the Lordships & Judicious Consideration being the only alternative left for me to seek redress from the mercy of your Lordships to appoint upon terms and on such measures towards restoring me to my employment. I thank most the highest hope to secure my right to it otherwise, but if my feelings being very high & having no other means for relief, I should become a prey to misery & distress.

In conclusion I humbly beg to solicit your Lordships in Council for pardon & protection & your attention to this my case.

Respectfully I beg leave to subscribe myself
My Lord
Your Lordships most Obedient & Humble Servant

James Murray
District Engineer Depart

W. Gordon
James Murray

er, Moonsammy Thang
was authorized in Ex. 1110

12th June 1857

Ordered to be referred to the Chief Engineer (who will report upon the alleged facts contained in the petition.

For Mr. George
30th June 1857

By order of
Mr. Home and
Mr. ...

11th June 1857
11th June 1857

It is recommended
that the petition
be referred to the
Chief Engineer
for his report
thereon. The
petition is
dated 21st June
1857. The
petitioner is
Mr. ...

12th June 1857
12th June 1857

It is recommended
that the petition
be referred to the
Chief Engineer
for his report
thereon. The
petition is
dated 21st June
1857. The
petitioner is
Mr. ...

12th June 1857
12th June 1857

P. M. D. 17

15

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the August 1857.

Enclosed

Read the following
Extract from the Proceedings
of the Chief Engineer
(November 21st 1857, No. 3088.)

Ordered that the
following Endorsement be
made on Petition No. 1296
of 1857.

The Government
see from the papers be-
fore them that the mis-
conduct for which the
dismissal of the Petitioner,
Mr. Moonesawmy Maish,
was authorized in Ex. Min.

of Laws dated 27th February
last, No 375 was a
repetition of previous
similar misconduct towards
another officer and that
the District Engineer
Captain Johnston had
in vain given him
warning in September 1833,
to alter his behaviour.

They cannot therefore
sanction his re-employ-
ment in the service?

2. The Right Honorable
the Governor in Council
must however re-
mark that nothing
can justify Lieutenant
Boyd's having struck
the Petitioner, and that

While Lt Boyd ad-
mission of having
struck the petitioner,
& cautions that Office
as to his conduct in
this respect in future
W. F.

A slight caution
is sufficient

The petitioners have
been marked on
the list of delinquents
and are liable to be
dismissed.

he cannot too strongly
express his displeasure
at an act, a repetition
of which must lead
to that ^{oppress} removal from
the Department

R. G.

No 1723

Extract from the Minutes of Consultation
Under date the 10th September, 1857.

Read the following
Extract from the Proceedings of
the Chief Engineer.

/ Here enter 21st August 1857 N^o 3088 /

Ordered that the
following Endorsement be
made on Petition N^o 1296 of
1857.

The Government
see from the papers before them
that the misconduct for
which the dismissal of the
Petitioner, Moonseaving Ministry
was authorized in Extract
Minutes of Consultation dated
27th February last, N^o 375 was
a repetition of previous similar
misconduct

H
L
M

misconduct towards another
officer, and that the District
Engineer Captain Johnston
had in vain given him a
warning in September 1855 to
alter his behaviour. They
cannot therefore sanction his
re-employment in the service."

2. The Right Honorable
the Governor in Council
must however notice Lieut
Boyd's admission of having
struck the petitioner, and
caution that officer as to
his conduct in this respect
in future.

SR

4 Copies to the Chief Secy.
1 - do. Secy. Def. Secy.

Public Works 1837, Sept 14.
As to Cons 15th Sept 1837

No 1933

Extract Mine of Cons.
dated 10th Sept 1837

Looking for her:
discovered, to position
Mormonism, Smith's
re-employment in the
chronic.

No 22433.