

Marine (1899) Department.

G.O., No. 65/ Marine

DATED 12th July. 1899.

Mar 537
411-3

[Despatch Abstract.]

Erosion on the North side of the river entrance at
Erangolly or Kondapur.

Pres: Mr. Deptt., Considering that, in the
interests of the port, some measures are necessary to put
a stop to the ——— in the South Canara D., and
directing that action be taken as soon after the end
of the monsoon as possible, Command to the P.D.O.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Erosion on the Northside of the
river entrance at Gangolly or Kenda-
pur. — Considering that, in the
interests of the port, some measures
are necessary to put a stop to the —
in the South Canara Dt. and directing
with the information at present before
Govt of the impossibility of executing
protective works before the close of the
monsoon that action be taken as
soon after the end of the monsoon
as possible.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
252	E	29-5-97	
193	E	25-4-97	
578	E	11-11-98	
1733	W	8-7-98	
162	Mar	5-3-98	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
87	Mar	2-2-98	
1105	Mar	20-12-99	

Public Works Department. PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT. Read the following:-

Endorsement of the Revenue Department, No.4255 dated 2nd November 1898.

Endorsement of the Marine Department, No.578 E dated 11th November 1898.

Letter from the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, No. 168 W dated 23rd March 1899.

Letter from the Presidency Port Officer, Madras, No.1729/158 G dated 2nd May 1899.

Endorsement thereon in the Marine Department, No.252 E, dated 29th May 1899.

Telegram from the ~~Kankadur~~ Port Officer, Mangalore, dated 18th June 1899.

Order thereon No. 1732 W dated 7th July 1899.

The correspondence read above relates to the erosion which is taking place on the north side of the river entrance at --- Gangolli or Kundapur in the South Canara District. This erosion was brought to the notice of Government by the Presidency Port Officer in his inspection notes printed with G.O. No.97 Marine dated 2nd February 1898. The Government thereupon called for the views of the Collector as to certain encroachments on the south side of the river entrance which were believed to be the cause of the erosion.

2. The Collector having inspected the place with Mr.Dods the District Board Engineer reports that there are no groynes or encroachments on the south side except a single groyne erected without permission some 6 or 8 years ago by Karanta the owner of an estate in the neighbourhood. The Collector thinks that this groyne was probably the original cause of the mischief, but the District Board Engineer is of opinion that it was not

Public Works Department

RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Read the following:-

Endorsement of the Revenue Department, No. 4335 dated 2nd

November 1898.

Endorsement of the Marine Department, No. 578 E dated 11th

November 1898.

Letter from the Superintending Engineer, IV Circle, No.

108 W dated 23rd March 1899.

Letter from the Presidency Port Officer, Madras, No. 1739

108 O dated 2nd May 1899.

Endorsement of the Marine Department, No. 322 E,

dated 20th May 1899.

Telegram from the Resident, Port Officer, Madras,

dated 18th June 1899.

-----0:-----

Order thereon No. 1732 W dated 7th July 1899.

The correspondence read above relates to the erosion which

is taking place on the north side of the river entrance at ---

Gangoli or Kundapur in the South Canara District. This erosion

was brought to the notice of Government by the Presidency Port

Officer in his inspection notes printed with S.O. No. 87 Marine

dated 2nd February 1898. The Government thereupon called for

the views of the Collector as to certain encroachments on the

south side of the river entrance which were believed to be the

cause of the erosion.

2. The Collector having inspected the place with Mr. Boda the

District Board Engineer reports that there are no groynes or

encroachments on the south side except a single groyne erected

without permission some 6 or 8 years ago by Karanta the owner

of an estate in the neighbourhood. The Collector thinks that

this groyne was probably the original cause of the mischief,

but the District Board Engineer is of opinion that it was not

the immediate or approximate cause. He considers that 2 groynes

which were erected by the Public Works Department to protect

the north side from erosion were also responsible for the mis-

chief in as much as they were not run in the proper direction

and were not sufficiently numerous. The final result of the

investigation is that the Collector and the Board recommend

that some experienced official of the Public Works Department

should deal with the matter.

3. The correspondence was then referred to the Superintending

Engineer, IV Circle. That officer reported that several groynes

had been constructed solely with the view of protecting the Sea

Customs Office, the book value of which was only Rs. 2,259, that

recently a wooden groyne near the entrance of the harbour had

been washed away, and that the Executive Engineer had sent up

an estimate for replacing it by a stone one. He therefore

recommended that the present Sea Customs Office be abandoned

and that a new one be built at some other site. He added fur-

ther that he was unable to accept the view that the removal of

the Karanta's groyne will put a stop to the erosion and suggest-

ed that the Presidency Port Officer be asked to state whether

in the interests of the port the protection of the Gangoli

village was necessary, and if not, whether the Sea Customs

Office should be moved to some other site. He also suggested

that the Presidency Port Officer be asked to state whether

in the interests of the port the protection of the Gangoli

village was necessary, and if not, whether the Sea Customs

Office should be moved to some other site. He also suggested

that the Presidency Port Officer be asked to state whether

in the interests of the port the protection of the Gangoli

village was necessary, and if not, whether the Sea Customs

Office should be moved to some other site. He also suggested

that the Presidency Port Officer be asked to state whether

in the interests of the port the protection of the Gangoli

village was necessary, and if not, whether the Sea Customs

Office should be moved to some other site. He also suggested

that the Presidency Port Officer be asked to state whether

in the interests of the port the protection of the Gangoli

village was necessary, and if not, whether the Sea Customs

Office should be moved to some other site. He also suggested

action

action be taken as soon after the end of the monsoon as possible.
The Superintending Engineer will be informed accordingly.

(True Extract)

W. K. S.

Under Secretary to Government.

The Superintending Engineer, IV Circle.

Marine Department. ✓

Revenue Department.