

11-12-07

Marine (1899) Department.

G.O., No. 290 Mar

DATED 28 March '88

✓

W. J. P.

Fees for Bills of Health ^[Despatch Abstract.] Paid to Ship's

Passing order relative to
the refund of certain fees levied from
Messrs. Burnett & Son, Jacksonville, on
account of medical inspections of ships.

10/5 Jr

W. J. P.

The Presidency Post officer.
 " Agents, B. I. S. N. Co. Ltd.,
 Tellicherry.
 " Accountant General
 " Public Department. ✓

Ans $\frac{23}{3} / 99$

Medical Inspection
 1899

Marine Department.
 290 No 5

The Hon. D. Brandel C.S. J.
 His Excellency the Governor
 Note for the Honourable
 Member.

As required by the Honourable
 Member, the original of G. O.

288 Marine dated 19th April 1898
 which contains the original letter
 from the Agents, is now put up.

The file with which this letter
 was placed happened to be
 out of the office at the time
 and the case was not detained

for its return, as there is no
 question of ^{the} rightfulness of the
 Agents' claim for a refund.

Under no circumstances are they
 liable to pay fees for medical
 inspection or Bills of Health,
 even when Health Officers work
 on a holiday or out of office
 hours.

2. The Agents' original letter
 does not give particulars of amounts
 paid; it is only in the present letter
 that the amount erroneously
 charged is stated. The Agents

Say

Flag K.

Presumably the charges
 are all A charges - vide
 paras 7 & 8 of G.O. 80
 25.1.99

and it has been declared
 that they cannot legally
 be made. The amount

must be refunded to
 the Agents & recovered

from the Port Health Officer
 who were not legally
 entitled to levy it.

At 6.3.99.

Let H.C. see.

ASH 20.3.99

say that they had to pay on
different occasions Rs 90
in the shape of fees to Medical
Officers under plague rules,
under protest, because the
alternative was that the
steamer would be refused
pratique.

3. These erroneous payments
must now be refunded, but
whether at the expense of the
Port Health Officers or of
Port Funds is submitted for
decision. The Port Health
Officers levied the fees under
the Orders of Government
then in force; but the levy of
such fees has since been
declared to be illegal.

Ans
3/3/99

Canine (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 276

For orders.

The Agents are entitled to
a refund under Rs 80 Marine
d/s Jan 2-99. The only question
is whether the amounts are
to be recovered from Port
Health officers, who drew them
under Government sanction,
or are to be charged off to
Port Funds.

From
The Agents.
B. J. & Co. Ltd

No.

Dated 18-2-99

Received } 23
Registered }

Enclosures

Spare copies.

The Agents first letter
should be put up. That
probably gives details of the
bill without wh. we don't
know whether the charges
are covered by the E.O. or
whether any part is recoverable
from Port Health officers.

M. 7. 3. 99

SUBJECT.

Requesting that order may
be issued for the refund of
Rs 90/ paid on account of
medical fees in respect
of plague inspection of
Steamers.

BACK PAPERS

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date
80	21. 8	25. 1898	80
389	21. 8	28. 6. 97	
316	21. 8	31. 3. 97	
202	21. 8	6. 3. 97	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department	Date
50	10825	1-99	
852	7-10	-98	
500	7-10	-98	
360	7-10	-98	
505	2-7	-98	
400	10-13	-98	
208	7-10	-98	
879	10-13	-98	
745	10-13	-98	
389	21. 8	28. 6. 97	
318	21. 8	31. 3. 97	
202	21. 8	6. 3. 97	
157	21. 8	13. 2. 97	
417	21. 8	17. 11. 97	
13	21. 8	9. 1. 97	
R 101	7-10	6. 7. 88	
578	7-10	4. 4. 87	
473	7-10	28. 8. 87	

18
Tellicherry 18th February 1899

The Secretary to Government
of Madras.

Marine Department.
Madras.

Sir,

1898

We have the honor to beg your attention to our letter of 22nd Feby. 1898 12 months ago, and to our reminders of 1st July and 1st Oct. 1898 on the subject of the payment of Medical fees in respect of plague inspections of steamers.

We have had to pay on different occasions Rs 90/- in the shape of fees to Medical officers under Plague Rules. We paid under protest, because the alternative was that the steamer in question would not get pratique, and on the understanding that if it was declared that the steamers were not responsible for these fees we should have a refund.

We now understand by G. O. no. 80. Marine 25th Jan'y. 1899 that it has been decided that these fees are payable out of Port funds and therefore beg that Government will order without delay a refund of the Rs 90/- we had to pay under compulsion under penalty of detention of the vessel.

We have the honor to be,
Sir,

Yours most obed^t servants
Bunick & Co

Agents

B. J. S. N. Co. Ltd