

Marine (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 854 Mar

DATED 8 October '98

✓
[Despatch Abstract.]
Leut. Free. quarters for Port Officers

Accepting the recommendation
of the Port Officer that ~~the~~ ^{Vizagapatam}
Cocanada & Masulipatam are the only places
at which ~~the~~ ^{are} admissible under
the ruling of the Govt of India & calling on the
P.O. to submit plans & estimates for a residence
for the Port Officer at Bellcherry, close to his office.

110/11

J/B
Jr/RK

12/10/98

Accepting the recommendation of the Presidency Port Officer that Vizagapatam, Cocanada and Masulipatam are the only places at which —are admissible under the ruling of the Government of India, and calling on the Public Works Department to submit plans and estimates for a residence for the Port Officer at Tellicherry close to his office.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers :—

From Commander W. AVES, R.I.M., Acting Presidency Port Officer, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Marine Department, dated 19th August 1898, No. 1678/281 G.

With respect to G.O., No. 630, Marine, dated 20th July 1898, I have the honour to acquaint you, for the information of Government, that the only places at which rent-free quarters appear admissible under the terms of the Government of India letter are Vizagapatam, Cocanada and Masulipatam.

2. In this connection I would mention that no bungalow has yet been sanctioned for the Port Officer at Tellicherry and that it seems only fair that in common with his brother officers this officer should also be provided with quarters in the vicinity of his office if possible, and at a rent in proportion to his salary. He is unable to obtain a house at a rent not exceeding 10 per cent. of his salary (*vide* correspondence ending with G.O., No. 756, Marine, dated 5th November 1896).

Office-note to the Presidency Port Officer, dated Ootacamund, 16th September 1898, No. 481 E.

[Your letter No. 1678/281 G., dated 19th August 1898.]

Please state how the rent of the Port Officer's present residence at Tellicherry is paid, *i.e.*, whether by Government or the Port Officer. It would appear that the building has been erected on Government land, and that it can always be rented for Rs. 50 per mensem.

No. 1678/328 G.

The Port Officer pays the rent himself which is one-seventh of his pay.

MADRAS,
22nd September 1898.

(Signed) W. AVES, Commander, R.I.M.,
Ag. Presidency Port Officer.

ORDER—No. 854, Marine, dated 8th October 1898.

In their letter recorded with G.O., No. 1674 W., dated 5th July 1898, the Government of India decided that rent-free quarters for Port Officers are to be provided only at ports where it is necessary for a Port Officer to live in a portion of the town which is not ordinarily resided in by European officers. In all other cases rent is to be paid.

2. The Presidency Port Officer now reports that the only places at which rent-free quarters are admissible under the terms of the ruling of the Government of India are the following, viz :—

Vizagapatam. | Cocanada. | Masulipatam.

This recommendation is accepted, and will be communicated to the Public Works Department for information and guidance.

3. As regards the proposal to build a house at Tellicherry for occupation by the Port Officer, it is observed that this officer now resides in, and pays rent for, a private house in the European quarter, that the rent-charge is excessive, being one-seventh of his salary, and that if a house is built for him it should be close to his office, *i.e.*, in a portion of the town not usually occupied by Europeans. The house would thus be provided rent-free. The question of making an allotment for the work will be considered when funds become available. Meanwhile, plans and estimates for a residence close to the Port Office will be prepared in the Public Works Department.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Presidency Port Officer.
„ Public Works Department.

No. 4816 ~~II~~

Government of Madras.

Marine
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Ootacamund, 16th September 1898

OFFICE NOTE.

From ~~W.~~

The Secretary to Govt.

To

The Presidency Port Officer

*Your letter No. 1678 L.S.
281
dated 19th August*

*Please state how the
rent of the Port Officer's pre-
sent residence at Tellicherry
is paid, i.e. whether by Govt.
or the Port Officer. It would
appear that the building
has been erected on
Government land, and that
it can always be rented
for Rs 50 per mensem.*

*(sd) W.B. de Winton
Secretary to Government*

Mo 16/9/98

Marine (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 1068

From

The Presidency Port Office,
Madras

Order

No. $\frac{1678}{281}$ 4

Dated 19th August 1898

Received 24 — 858

Enclosures "

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Stating that the only
places at which rent-free
quarters appear admissible
under the terms of the
Government of India
letter are Vizagapatam,
Cocanada and Masulipatam.

Go 756 Mar called for
5-11-98 from Madras
M. S. 98

5/9/98

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
906309	22-7-98
at least of 598080-3	98
104987	23-12-97
62450	13-10-97
P.O. 40607	1-11-97
507560	5-11-97
3000	2-11-97
2840	26-9-95
3234C	4-19-97
	4 file.

No.	Date.
71208	4-98

All of these papers
returned to P.O. 40607

No. 1678/2819

Presidency Port Office,

Madras, 19th August 1898.

Heading

From

Commander. W. Aves, R. I. No.,

Presidency Port Officer,

Madras,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

MARINE DEPARTMENT,

CHEPAK.

Sir,

With respect to G. O. Marine,
No. 1630, dated 20th July 1898, I have
the honour to acquaint you, for the
information of Government, that the only
places at which rent-free quarters
appear admissible under the terms of the
Government of India letter, are,
Dizagapatam, Cocanada, and Masuli-
patam.

2. In this connection I would mention
that no bungalow has yet been sanc-
tioned for the Port Office at Tellicherry
and that it seems only fair that
in

in common with his brother officers
this officer should also be provided
with quarters, in the vicinity of his
office if possible, and at a rent
in proportion to his salary. He is
unable to obtain a house ^{at a rent} not exceeding
10 per cent of his salary. (Vide correspondence
ending with C. O. Marine, No. 456,
dated 5th November 1896.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Obedient Servant,
W. Aves
Commander, R.N.M.,
Ag. Presidency Port Officer.



No. 4876

Government of Madras.

Marine DEPARTMENT.

Colacimund
Madras, 16 September 1898.

OFFICE NOTE.

From The Secretary to Govt.

To The Presidency Port Officer

Your letter No. 1678/281 & of
19 August.

Please state how the
rent of the Port Officer's
present residence at
Tellierry is paid, i.e.
whether by Govt. or the
Port Officer. It would appear
that the building has
been erected on Government
land, and that it can
always be rented for Rs 50
per annum.

W. Aves

Secretary to Govt.

Marine Department.

Current Number 1208

Recd 27.9.98

No. 481 of 16.9.98

Hq. Ind.

No. 1678
328

The Port Officer

pays the rent himself
which is $\frac{1}{7}$ of his pay.

M. Aves

Commander, R.S.M.,

Asst. Port Officer

Madras, 2
22 Sept 1898

mm

Marine DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 1068 of 1898.

2. HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.

THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.

THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.

SUBJECT.

Residence for Port Officers

FOR ORDERS.

* G.O. 1674 W dated 5th
July 1898. Flag. A

As regards the question
of the provision of rent free
quarters for Port Officers,
the Government of India
has decided that such
quarters are to be provided
only at ~~small~~ ports where
it is necessary for a Port
officer to reside in a portion
of the town which is not
ordinarily resided in by
European officers. In all
other cases rent is to be paid.

2. The Presidency Port
officer now reports that
the only places at which
rent free quarters are
admissible under the terms
of the Government of India
resolution

resolution are the following
viz.

Vizagapatam
Cocanada

Masulipatam

3. He further suggests that
a house should be built
at Tellicherry for the resi-
dence of the Port officer.

4. Orders are solicited
as to whether the Presidency
Port officer's proposals are
to be accepted. The estimated

cost of the Port Officer's

quarters at Tellicherry was

Rs 18,160, and it is not

likely that the one could be

built at Tellicherry for

less. It is cheaper therefore

to pay Rs 50 a month

for the existing house, the

P.O. paying 10% in his

salary, & to put the remainder

Rs 9.9.50

Flag V.

I don't quite follow this - ^{Has this} ~~shall this~~
Port officer to live in a part of the
house in which the Port officer does not usually
live? If not, how can we do any-
thing at all for him in the way of
quarters?

(2) As regards Regim, Tetricum &c
where we propose to build, I suppose
the Port officer will have to pay 10%
of his salary & put the balance?
India's orders won't stop on building,
make out, but only prevent assigning
the use of the houses until paid. I don't
know in which the calculated rent exceeds
10% will have to go for India's orders,
suppose?

Rs
12/9/98

Rs 625 was 16th Aug 94.
Flag 2.

14 Sep 98

ask 6.50 who lays
the rent of the
present residence 40.0
at Tellicherry - It is
stated in Memo. No
474 of 10 Sep 96 that
it can always be
rented by Govt.

Rs 14.9.90

At P.O's reply C. No 1108 -
submitted. The expression
"can always be rented by
Govt." evidently means "for
occupation by a Govt. officer."
This officer however, pays
the rent himself.

Rs
27 Sep 98

11

C. No 1068

11th Decr 12. 9. 98

(1) There is nothing to show that the Port Officer at Tellicherry is required to live in an objectionable portion of the town. If therefore, quarters are built for him, he will have to pay rent, up to a maximum of 10 per cent. of his salary. If he hires a house he must pay the full rent. He is not entitled to free quarters. Therefore, he has no claim to compensation.

(2) At other places where quarters are provided e.g. Nagapattinam, Tuticorin, etc. the Port officers will have to pay 10 per cent. as a maximum, but there is no question of Govt. paying the balance itself.

(3) The Act is correct. Free quarters are given only in objectionable localities.

(4) Indian sanction is not necessary. Indo

6932501 + 110

Marine DEPARTMENT.

C. No 1068 Y/208.

854 ru

2. HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.

1. THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.

THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.

SUBJECT.

Residences for Port officers.

FOR ORDERS.

+ Flag W

The Hon. Member's questions are referred to as follows.

(1) The Port Officer now lives in a part of the town in which Europeans usually reside, and in a hired house, built on Govt. land, which is always available for occupation, & for which he pays a rent of Rs 50 per annum, 7.5 $\frac{1}{2}$ of his pay. If he built a house for him, it will have to be in the proper place. It is close by his office in the beach, & in a part of the town not usually occupied by Europeans. This house would cost about Rs 10000, & he would get it rent free.

[P. W. 43.]

[500-27-1-18.]

H.M. 29.9.98 R. 10.98 R. 10.98 R. 7-10-98

[500-27-1-18.]

If it was built in the
 European quarter he could
 charge him $\frac{1}{10}$ of his salary
 as a maximum for rent.
 But in either case he
 would get a better house
 & pay less for it. The
 D.O.O. contends that it is
 hard on the Govt. officer that
 he should have to reside in
 a house for which he has
 to pay rent at the excessive
 rate of $\frac{1}{7}$ of his salary, &
 recommends that a house be
 built for him. I agree with
 him, but much the cheapest
 course to Govt. would be to
 allow him to remain in his
 present home, paying as

much of the rent as $= \frac{1}{10}$ of
 his salary i.e. Rs 35, Govt.
 paying the remainder i.e. Rs 15.

The new pay proposed for
 Telukany P.O. is Rs 400, which
 would make the proposition
 Rs 40 & Rs 10, i.e. Govt. will
 invest a capital of Rs 3630
 in the business at $3\frac{1}{2}\%$ instead
 of Rs 10000.

I have no objection to this if it can be done
 under the rules. But I think it is better
 to build for him close to his work, where we
 can find the money, & let him have rent
 free.

Do

P.A.H.

DEPARTMENT.

C. No.

SUBJECT.

HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.

THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.

THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.

2

FOR ORDERS.

(2) The Govt. officer will have
 to pay 10% of his salary
 as a maximum, whatever
 the cost of the building. There
 is no question of Govt.
 paying the balance - it may
 lower the interest on its
 money.

(3) This is so

(4) No. while Rs. 600 is of
 6 months Rs. 750, the
 expenditure being Rs. 3630
 exclusive of local (Post Office)

L.H. H.

28-5-80

Bengal

Rs

30/9/98

A. H.

5.10.98

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated	189	, No.
Again G.O., dated	189	, No.
Current No. 1068	of 1898, dated 24 August	, from the Presy. Port officer
" " 1208	" 1898, " 27 September	" the Presy. Port officer
" "	" 189	"

ORDER. 854 *mar 18 Oct 1898*

In their letter recorded with G.O. 1674 W., dated 5th July 1898, the Government of India decided that rent-free quarters for port officers are to be provided only at ports where it is necessary for a port officer to live in a portion of the town which is not ordinarily resided in by European officers. In all other cases rent is to be paid.

2. The Presidency Port off now reports that the only place at which rent-free quarters are admissible under the terms of the ruling of the Government of India are the following:—

viz,

P.W.P. files returned records herewith

viz., -

Vizagapatam

Cocanada

Dorasulipatam.

This recommendation is accepted, and will be communicated to the P. W. Department for information and guidance.

3. As regards the proposal to build a house at Tellicherry, for occupation by the port officer, it is observed that this officer now resides in, and pays rent for, a private house in the European quarter, that the rent charge is excessive, being one-seventh of his salary, and that if a house is built for him it should be close to his office, i.e. in a portion of town not usually occupied by Europeans. The house would thus be provided at free. The question of making an allotment for the work will be considered when funds become available. Meanwhile plans and estimates

estimates for a residence close to the port office will be prepared in the Public Works Department.

L.P.

L. Wilf
7.10.80

The Presidency Port Officer
Public Works Department.

21/10/80

B to note as regards funds.

PKS

sign