

20-3-22

Marine (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 348 Mar

DATED 6 May 1898

[Despatch Abstract.]

Wharf at Porto Novo: P.W. Bros stating
that the plans & estimates for a new wharf
called for need not be submitted and that the
repairs to the existing wharf suggested by the E. Compt.
shd be carried out, Comd. to the P.P. Officer.

88
12558

16/5/98

G.O.	No.	Department	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Jo.	11	Marine	8.1.07				

stating that in the circumstances
stated by the Chief Engineer
P.W.D. the plans & Estimate
for a new —, called for in
No. 3814 of 15-2-98 need
not be submitted & directing
with remarks that the report
to the Committee when
suggested by the Sr. Engineer
should be carried on

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Copy of letter from Mr. J. E. Paul Executive Engineer, Santa Arcot Division, No. 202 S.E. dated 21 March 1898 to the Suptg. Engineer Circle.

With reference to your No. 102 S. a dated 19 February 1898, and 28 S. a dated 20 January 98, I have the honour to suggest that the proposal ^{at Porto Novo and to replace it by a new wharf} to condemn the existing wharf, may be reconsidered.

2. The present wharf with some repairs would I believe answer all the requirements of this Port for many years.

3. As the set of the river is to the Southern side, the construction of a longer pier so as to reach the deeper water is quite prohibited by the cost of such a work, but to permit boats to use the wharf in all states of the tide, some dredging is necessary.

4. The shoaling near the wharf is in all probability due to the reclamations of land by the Salt Department at their factory a few furlongs above and on the same side of the river. I consider that a survey should be made and a line laid down on it beyond which no planting of fences for the protection of the factory should be permitted. A few groynes on the opposite bank above the Salt factory may also be required.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

From the Superintending Engineer I Circle
No. 214 W dated 29th March 1898.

copy attached I have the honour to forward copy of
letter No. 202 S.E. dated 21st March 1898 from the
Executive Engineer South Arcot Division, and
to request that as you have recently inspected
the wharf at Porto Novo, you will, with reference
to G.O. No. 381 W dated 15th February 1898, be so good
as to pass orders on the matter.

W.O. 120
21.2.98

Note by the Chief Engineer P.W.D dated
14th April 1898.

The Presidency Port Officer having
represented that a new wharf at Porto Novo was
necessary in the interests of the public and the
trade of the Port, the Suptg. Engineer I Circle
was directed to submit the necessary plans and
estimates vide G.O. No. 381 W dated 15th February 1898.

2. The undersigned has satisfied himself
by personal inspection that no new wharf is
required at present and that all that is necessary
is a little hand dredging round the existing
wharf.

Mar Genl
525/98

The repairs referred to in para 2 of the
Executive Engineer's letter No. 202 S.E. dated
March 1898 may be carried out and the
question raised in para 4 may be considered
in receipt of the survey plan of the river.

(Signed) W. B. de Winton
Chief Engineer

Order thereon No 1031 W & 25.4.98

In the circumstances stated by the Chief
Engineer S. W. D. the plans and estimates for a
new wharf at Portofino called for in G.O. No. 381 W
dated 15 February 1898 need not be submitted.

2. The repairs to the existing wharf suggested
by the Executive Engineer should be carried out,
and the question referred to in para 4 of his
letter should be submitted for orders with the
survey.

(True extract)

29. Robert

for M. Secy. to Government

To

The Marine Department. / Circulated in the P. & W.
to H.E. the Secy. & the Mills Sir H. Miss, K.C.S.G.

Exd.
18/