

Marine (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 286. *uar*

DATED 18 April 1898

[Despatch Abstract.]

Flapstaff at Cannanore: Sanctioning the expenditure of Rs. 861-14-00 incurred in connection with the erection of the new flapstaff at Cannanore.

22/4/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	5738	Mar.	8-11-98				

Marine Department
Current Number 1402
Recd 7-11-98

No. 5738 d/s Nov. 98.

Furnished to

At Kinnell.

Under Secretary to Govt.

7-11-98

To the Under Sec.

2/11/98

Reminders

For *Financial Dept*

Ex. No. For a requisition from the A.G.

MEMORANDUM.

REQUESTED for reference the under-mentioned papers:—

G.O. W. 286 Mar dated 18th April '98

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
DEPARTMENT,

3-11-1898

To The *Marine Dept*

Abdington
Ag Asst Under Secretary.

Marine (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 384

The Am Sir Henry D'Almeida

The Am Sir G. J. E.

321
384.

No 286 Mar 18 April 1898

From

The Presidency Port Office
Madras

No. *1473*
118 G

Dated 25th March 1898

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

The expenditure amounting to Rs 861-14-0 Order is sanctioned - but it cannot be met from the grant for the Sea wall at Ponani, as the latter is in the Public Works, and not the Civil Budget and lapses with the close of the official year. The case must consequently be met from the balance at the credit of the Western Group under Public Funds.

Stating that the amount required on account of the Cannanore Flagstaff may be appropriated from the grant of Rs 2,200 in the budget of 1897-98 for constructing a sea wall at Ponani.

R.S.

The P.P.O.

" Accts Genl. thro;

the Pub Dept

(The P.D. for future ref)

Clk
26.3.98

3.D. 4/11/98

FURTHER PAPERS.

CURRENT No. 329

Memo N^o 172 E. 8/22. 398.

Shulls is directed to inquire whether the expenditure on the new flagstaff at Casuarine cannot be met by reappropriation from some existing budget Order grant. The closing balances are not ordinarily available for expenditure.

From

The Presidency Tort office,
Madras

No. $\frac{1793}{101}$ of

Dated 11th March 1898

Received

Registered 510.3.50

Enclosures 1 in duplicate

Spare copies

CS.
The PPO ✓ 23/3/88

Ans for A.

Please see the Hon.

Member's remark in this Requesting sanction for the
connection with the original expenditure of Rs 861-14-0 incurred
of Rs 148 Marine, of 1 Mar 98 in connection with the erection
(C. No 338 letter & file) of the new flagstaff at
N.S. Cannanore.

C.S.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

FORM 17.

See Chap. 10, Art. 158, Volume I, Civil Account Code.

Form of Statement to accompany all applications for sanction to Expenditure not provided for in Budget. 1897-98

Expenditure proposed to be provided for:—

Rs. 861-14-

on account { Major head *Port Funds - Net B of 89*
Minor head *Western Group Port Funds*
Sub-head
Detailed head *P. W. Hayes*

of (a) erection of a flagstaff at Cannanore

Amount proposed to be spent during current year

Amount proposed to be spent during future years

Proposed re-appropriation for current year.

(a) Here enter full description, mentioning the department, or office or officer concerned.

PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICE,

Madras, 14th March 1898.

A. S. Barclay
Commander, R.I.M.,

for Presidency Port Officer.

Duplicate

FORM 17.

See Chap. 10, Art. 158, Volume I, Civil Account Code.

Form of Statement to accompany all applications for sanction to Expenditure not provided for in Budget. *1897-98*

Expenditure proposed to be provided for:—
Rs. *861-14-*

of (a) *erection of a flagstaff at Cannanore*

on account { Major head *Port Funds Act 887*
Minor head *Customs Port Funds*
Sub-head
Detailed head *P.W. Chayes*

Amount proposed to be spent during current year Rs. *861-14-*
Amount proposed to be spent during future years "

Proposed re-appropriation for current year.

1	2	3	4
H heads of estimate affected by the proposal (a).	Actual expenditure up to date of the proposal (viz.,)	Amounts as in the estimate passed by Government.	Amounts as they will stand after re-appropriation.
(1) Heads under which the proposed expenditure will fall	<i>8870</i>	<i>24,785-20,744</i>	<i>21605</i>
<i>Port Funds - P.W. Chayes</i>			
Total ..	<i>8870</i>	<i>24,785-20,744</i>	<i>21605</i>
(2) Heads under which it is proposed to reduce the grant		<i>Nil</i>	
Total ..			

(a) Here enter full description, mentioning the department, or office or officer concerned.
(b) The same detail must be shown as in the printed estimates of the Government (or the sanctioned estimate), as the re-appropriation has to be effected by transfer of the figures shown therein.

Note.—Any further explanations should be given on reverse, where also, if no re-appropriation is possible, the urgency and necessity for the expenditure should be fully explained.

Note.—When the application is submitted for the sanction of a Local Government, the form may be modified in any way the Local Government thinks fit, provided that the Local Government indicates distinctly in the orders issued that the new expenditure is to be met by re-appropriation from anticipated savings under the same or another major head.

PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICE,
Madras, *14th March* 189*8*.

A. S. Banerjee
Commander, R.I.M.,
for Presidency Port Officer.

894-7781

24-11-88

erection of a flagstaff at Cannanore

861-14-

8870

8588

*Civil
2nd 329/98*

No. 1793/1014

Presidency Port Office,

Madras, 11th March 1898.

Heading

From

Commander H. A. Street R.I.M.,

Presidency Port Officer,

Madras,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

MARINE DEPARTMENT,

CHEPAK.

Sir,

With respect to G. O., Marine, No. 471, of 20th May 1897, I have the honor to acquaint you, for the information of Government, that the expenditure incurred in connection with the erection of the new flag-staff at Cannanore amounts to Rupees (861.14.0) eight-hundred and sixty-one and annas fourteen as specified below, and beg that the sanction of Government may be obtained to the same:—

Charge for landing the iron mast at Cannanore.	Rs 131-14-0
Conveying the mast from landing place to inside the port	" 150- " - "
Erecting new flagstaff	" 580- " - "
	Rs 861-14-

2. No budget provision has been made for this expenditure but it can be met from the western group Port Fund.

3. The Statement required in this case under article 158 of the Civil Account Code is herewith forwarded.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your Obedient Servant,
H. A. Street
Commander, R. I. M.,
Presidency Port Officer.

No. 1793/118 G

Presidency Port Office,
Madras, 25th March, 1898.

From

Commander H. A. Street, R. I. M.,
Presidency Port Officer,
Madras,

To

The Secretary to Government,
Marine Department,
Chepak.

Sir,

In reply to Marine Department Memorandum No. 172 E dated 22nd March 1898 I have the honour to state that the amount required on account of the Cannanore Flagstaff may be appropriated from the grant of Rupees (2,200) two thousand two hundred in the budget of 1897/98 for constructing a sea wall at Ponani, which latter work is not proposed to be carried out at present.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your Obedient Servant,

H. A. Street

Commander, R. I. M.,
Presidency Port Officer.

No 286 March 18 Apr 98
Int. Dept

C. No 384 Marine

Marine

NOTE FOR THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

The order had better stop at the word "sanctioned" in line 5. According to strict budget principles, no expenditure can be met from balances. There is, however, no objection to the grants in the P.W. dept. being utilized by reappropriation for works in the Civil Dept. or vice versa, ~~as provided~~ there is budget provision and the expenditure falls entirely ~~under~~ ^{on} Port funds. The Marine dept. can sanction ^{such} transfers, as it controls both the Civil and Public Works Dept. expenditure under Port funds; the order being commd. to the A.G. The Financial

16/4/98

13. 4. 98
H.M. 4. 98
Note.

There is no objection to the draft order being modified as proposed.

The pronouncement given above as to the powers of the Marine Dept. to deal with budget grants will be noted for future guidance.

B. Joubert

P. J. O. for draft
H.M. 15. 4. 98

C. J.

15. 4. 98