

Marine (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 227-8 Ma

DATED 28 March 98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Steam Ship "Moyssane". Orders that the Master of the — be prosecuted for having acted in contravention of Sec 4 of the Indian Steam Ships Act VII of 1874, if the ship should return to any port of the Presy. with the same Master, and that the Govt. of Straits Settlements be addressed on the subject of the vessel having ^{left} ~~arrived~~ ^{Singapore} ~~at Cochin~~ without either a Survey Certificate or a passage Certificate.

The Govt. of S.S. addressed accordingly.

Infraction of the provisions of Act VII of 1884, and of the Straits Settlements Passengers Ordinance, by a London Steamship.

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Current No.

of 189 , dated

, from

" "

" 189 , "

" "

" " 316

" 189 8, " 11th March 1898, " the P.P.O.

ORDER.

The Hon. Sir Henry Bliss, K.C.S.

*File under
Suff. Order
To the P.P.O.*

Ordered that the Government of the Straits Settlements be addressed.

To

The Hon. the Colonial Secretary, Straits Settlements.

Sir,

I am directed to acquaint you, for the information of His Excellency the Governor of the Straits Settlements, and for such action as may be considered necessary, that the S.S. "Moyune" of London, 3016 tons, arrived at Cochin on the 24th February 1898 from Singapore with 43 native deck passengers for Cochin, and 7 distressed seamen for London, and that the vessel had neither a survey certificate under Act VII of 1884 nor a Board of Trade certificate for passengers. As she carried more than 30 passengers from Singapore, the vessel should also have held A and B

certificates

certificates prescribed by sections 8 to 10 of the Straits Settlements Ordinance No. VII of 1890, but she arrived without them.

I have &c.

R.S.

White

M.

19.2.90

As the master has committed an offence under section 4 of India Act VII of 1884, he is liable to fine under section 6. If the Government in Council has accordingly directed that, should the ship return to any port of the Madras Presidency with the same master, he be prosecuted.

R.S.

White 25.2.90

Marine Department.

Note for the Honourable Member.

S. S. "Mayane"

This vessel having carried passengers to a place in British India from a place out of British India in contravention of section 4 of Act VII of 1884, the Indian Steam-Ships Act, the master is liable to fine under section 6. It has been ascertained from the Presidency Port Officer that the vessel left Cochin for London on the 1st Instant, and no legal steps can therefore be taken at present. It is not understood why the vessel was not detained under section 8, nor how she got port clearance under section 7.

This should be inquired into

White 19.2.90

M.

If the ship turns up again with the same master he should be prosecuted.

I don't see how ss. 7 & 8 can have been enforced against the vessel. The vessel was carrying passengers. See survey from Cochin said not to require certificate of survey for the vessel.

White 21/3/90

W

The draft order has been revised in accordance with the Hon. Member's directions, and a corresponding addition made to the draft letter.

White 25.2.90

White

White

L.O. 103

Marine (189⁸) Department.

CURRENT No. 316

From

*The Presidency Port Office,
Madras*

Order

No. $\frac{460}{98}$ 4

Dated 9th March 1898

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT,

*Bringing to notice that the S.S.
"Moyane" arrived at Cochin ^{from Singapore} with
passengers and that the vessel
had neither a Survey Certificate
under Act VII of 1884 nor a Board
of Trade Certificate and suggesting
that the matter being brought
to the notice of the Straits Govt.*

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
415-6	3-5-97.
Indian Steam-ships Act 1884.	

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.

No. 460 G
98

Presidency Port Office,

Madras, 9th March 1898.

Heading

From

Commander H. A. Street, R.N.,
Presidency Port Officer,
Madras,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
MARINE DEPARTMENT,
CHERPAK.

Sir,

I have the honor to acquaint you, for the information of Government, that the Port Officer, Cochin, has brought to my notice that the S.S. "Moyuni" of London, tons 3016, arrived at Cochin on the 24th ultimo from Singapore with 43 native deck passengers for Cochin and 7 distressed seamen for London, and that the vessel had neither a Survey Certificate under Act VII of 1884 nor a Board of Trade Certificate for passengers.

2. Under the Straits Passenger Ships Ordinance No. VII of 1890 the "Moyuni" having carried more than thirty passengers (vide Section

Section 2) was bound to take out A and B
Certificates and otherwise comply with the
requirements of the Ordinance, and I
would therefore suggest the matter being
brought to the notice of the Straits Govern-
ment.

I have the honor to be
^{Yr}
Your Obedient Servant
A. A. Threlkeld
Commander R.S.M.
Presidency Port Officer.

Telephone

From
The Presidency Port Officer
To The Secretary to Government
Marine Department

Your message of to-day
regarding steamer Moyune

The vessel presumably
had not A & B certificates
or they would have been
produced for inspection by the
Port Officer, Cochin, who
has been telegraphed to
for this information and
whether the vessel has
sailed in which case
action cannot be taken
for the present.

15-3-98
mm

Await further
information

Cross
S.W.
15/3/98.

R.S.
15 Mar 98.

316/
Telephone No. 163 E,
4/15-3-98
From Madras Marine
To P.P.O.

Your letter dated 9th
Did S.S. "Moyune" hold
Certificates A and B
under Straits Ordinance
VII. And do you propose
any legal proceedings
under Section 6 of India
Act VII of 1884. If not,
please give reasons.

R.S.
despatched 2
15-3-98
D.W.
15/3/98.

Note for D.W.

It would perhaps be
a legitimate inference from
para 2 of the P.P.O.'s letter
that the vessel did not
hold certificates A & B,
but in a matter of this
kind it is safer to leave
the facts clearly stated.
Judging from para 1,
the inference would be
the other way.

R.S.
15 Mar 98

Telephone Message 16th March 1898

To

The Free Port Officer

To

The Secretary to Government
Marine Dept.

Rey telephonic message of yesterday.

Steamer "Neoyune" held neither A + B certificates under Straits Ordinance VII nor any passenger Certificate. She left Locking on the 1st instant for London.

As there ~~was~~ ^{is} something wrong in the Telephone line this ~~was~~ ^{is} sent by a messenger.

W. M. Fernandez

Manager, Free Port Office

To

The Head Clerk

P. M. & M. Secretariat

Cherak.