

Marine (1898) Department

✓ G.O., No. 200 Mar

DATED 18th March 1898.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Lighthouse Establishment: The ~~British~~ General informed that the Govt considers that renewed sanction is not required for changes in incumbents of appointments in the new scale of — which have already been specifically approved by Government.

M 183.98

19/3/98

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Marine (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 282

Order

From
The Accountant General,
Mexico.

No. $\frac{200}{900}$

Dated 1 March 1898.

Received 3.3.98

Enclosures 2

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Inquiring what view Govt.
holds on the question whether
that Govt. sanction is required
for changes of incumbents
in appointments in the new
scale of light house
establishments.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

Took Saint George.
Accountant-General's office
Dated the 2 February 1888.

No. P. A. D.
152.

20

The Presidency Post office.

Relief. Keepers pay bill for 14:10-5-2 has been passed for payment, but the amount is held under objection for want of information as to whether Government sanction has been obtained for the appointment of Mr. G. A. Spink as Relief Keeper, as under G.O. No. 21 Memo, dated 15th January 1888. Such sanction is required for each new appointment on the graded scale.

(Signed) H. G. Tomkins

Post: Accountant-General

(True Copy)

J. S. Jenkins
Asst. Accountant-General.

R.

No. 1271/42

Presidency Post Office,
Madras, 9th February 1898.

To

The Accountant General,
Madras.

Sir,

With reference to your objection Memorandum No. P. A. D./152, dated 2nd February 1898, I have the honour to enquire whether the sanction of Government has to be obtained in each case of entertainment or change of incumbents in the Relief-keepers' appointments which have been already introduced and for which pay was drawn for previous incumbents (vide pay bills of relief-keepers' establishment for October, November and December 1897.) The Government of India order recorded in G. O. No. 822, Marine, dated 21st September 1897, requires that the sanction of Government should be obtained only for each increase of pay or new appointment on the graded scale, and I am of opinion it does not refer to changes of incumbents on the same rate of pay in appointments already introduced under the new scheme.

I have &c.

(Signed) H. D. Baddeley

for Presidency Post Office.

(True copy)

J. D. S. Lowe

Chief Superintendent

85/102
1/20/98

Presidency Audit DEPARTMENT.

FORT ST. GEORGE,

Accountant-General's Office,

1st January 1898.

No. 113
100

From

H. C. Harrison Esq.,

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE

Secretary to Government,

Marine Department.

SIR,

With reference to ^{the} Government of India letter No. 4083 Ex. Finance and Commerce, dated the 14th of August 1897, recorded in Government Order No. 822 Marine, dated 21st September 1897 and Government Order No. 21 Marine dated 15th January 1898, I have the honour to report that I feel some doubt as to whether Government sanction is required for changes of incumbents in appointments in the new scale of Light House Establishments which have been already introduced with the sanction of Government. In this connection, letter No. ¹²⁷¹/_{142m} dated

9th February 1898 from the Presidency Post Office is
submitted with a copy of my objection statement No
P.A.D. dated 2nd February 1898 to which it refers.
152

In the circumstances, I would enquire what view
the Government holds.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

H. J. Jenkins
for Accountant General

152