

Marine (1898) Department.

G.O., No. 198 Mar

DATED 16 March 98.

Detail of the

[Despatch Abstract.]

Expenditure on the extension of the groynes at Lorianada. Letter from the P.P.O. informing that the order relating to the — ^{Godanani Port & Consignments} was communicated to the Vice-Chairman of the Board by his office on the 6th August 1897, recorded.

26 3-98

INDEXED

[M. 6,
2,000-25-10-98.]

18/6/98

10/10/98

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

B *198 Mar 26. 3. 98.* **CURRENT No.** *296.*

Recorded

C. S.
D. Marg8

14.2.50
From

D. W.

Order

Mr. De Winter told me at Crooked n., that
 the G. O. had not been communicated
 He showed me this card explanation.

The Presidency Post offices,
Through the Private Madras
Secretary to H. R. the Gov.

No. $\frac{48}{90}$ of

Dated 3^d March 1898

Received

Registered

Enclosures /

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

I can only say, Mr. Cooleeney, Enclosures 1.
that I showed the G.O. in Spare copies
pertaining to Mr. Highton (Vice Chairman)
a Mr. Simon, a prominent member
of the Board. They read it, & Informing that the order
then assured me repeatedly relating to the expenditure on
that they had never seen the groynes extension at Cocanada
nor it till that moment. This was communicated to the
members must have played Vice Chairman of Godavari
them false. The Board Porto Conservancy Board on
has never upheld to Para 5 the 6th August 1897.
of the G.O.

L. B. Child

7.2.5d

P.T.O

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
-----	-------

Since writing the above, the full file has been recd from His Excellency, & supplemented by others. That here waiting for it in the office. I have now all the papers before me. I find that the Board not inf. saw the G.O. in question, but passed a Resolution of its own. So there has been misunderstanding all round. I have proposed to go into the whole matter carefully, and try & reduce order out of chaos. and I will then send it up for final order. With regard to the Dredger. The 5000 have been provided from Port Funds. In next year's Budget, with full knowledge he says to increase this part if necessary.

L. J. 90

No.	Date.
-----	-------

Yes - and in that Resn and then G.O. issued BS

I much doubt this BS 11/3/98

1. Sum.
2. Please send to the Hon. Sir H. Poling. 14/9/98 9.3.98

No 48 of 90

Presidency Fort Office, Madras, 3rd March 1898.

From Commander A. D. Street, R.I.M.,
Presidency Fort Officer,
Madras.

To The Private Secretary
to H. E. the Governor

Sir,

(no cutting attached)

In the account given in the Madras Mail of the 2nd Instant of His Excellency the Governor's reply to the address presented to His Excellency by the Godavari District Ports Conservancy Board His Excellency is represented as having said that the wish of Government as expressed in the order relating to the expenditure on the groynes extension had through some mistake not been communicated to the Board. I beg that you will be good enough to inform His Excellency that the order in question - No 657 of 22nd July 1897 - was communicated to the Vice Chairman of the Board by this office on the 6th August 1897.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your obedt. servant,
A. D. Street

Commander, R. I. M.,
Presidency Fort Officer

W. M. S.

The Port Conservancy Board and Chamber of Commerce protested against the debit made, without reference to them, of nearly half a lakh of rupees by Government, which had extended the groynes of its own motion, the success of which extension appeared doubtful. These bodies asked for immediate instructions for the cancellation of this debit. His Excellency remarked that there appeared to be some mistake, for it was ordered that the expenditure should be first met from the Port Funds and subsequently charged to the landing and shipping dues as money became available, and the Board was at the same time asked to consider whether the landing and shipping rates should not be raised at once. The wish of Government that this matter should be communicated appeared to have never been carried out. His Excellency assured them that there was no intention on the part of Government to pass over the Conservancy Board in this matter.

Madras Mail - 2nd March 1898.

Please check the
last sentence before
sending in.

26

7-2 50

C. No 1317 - film negative -
diaboly below - Shows that
para 5 of the FO has
been considered by the
Board, and that both
Mr. Highler and Mr.
Simson must have
seen the FO.

The third file from the top has just come back from His Excellency. This is the paper which has been out so long, & the return of which has been awaited to admit of the disposal of the other papers -

R.S.

7. Mar 98

will

As regards the
 "Communities" of
 orders, the Govt does
 not send orders direct
 to the Communities. They
 go through the P.S.O.

L.S.

7. March 98

I have sent this
 L.O. but now to
 P.S.O. (d.o.f.) if

the letter has not

been here

return it.

W.H.

7.3.98

W/cover submitted

Rur

7.3.98.