

G.O., No. 1005 Marine

DATED 2nd Dec. '98

3/12/98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Port of Nya Kina Kalle in the S. Cauara dt.

Ordering the publication
of a notification in the Port of George Town
Cauara dt. Gazette declaring that the
will be bracketed with Coondaput for
the levy of Ent dues.

Dr

Initials of
attender.

Government {1898} of Madras.
MARINE.

Recd.

Enclosures

1898.

Regd.

Spare copies

G.O., No. 1005, 2nd December 1898.

DECEMBER.

Port of Nyakinakatte in the South Canara District

Ordering the publication of a notification in the Fort St. George and South Canara District Gazettes declaring that the — will be bracketed with Coondapoor for the levy of port dues.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
MARINE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From A. F. PINHEY, Esq., Acting Collector of South Canara, to the Secretary to Government, Marine Department, dated Mangalore, 30th August 1898, Dis. No. 491 G.B.

With reference to G.O., No. 574, Marine, dated 4th July 1898, I have the honour to state that in the schedule of ports printed therein the port of Nyakinakatte is bracketed with Baindur and Siruru. But it should have been bracketed with Coondapoor (Gangoli) as the Board of Revenue, in its Proceedings No. 3068, dated 13th October 1883, read in G.O., No. 1467, Revenue, dated 27th November 1883, approved of the Collector's proposal to make Nyakinakatte subordinate to Coondapoor. I therefore request that necessary corrections may be ordered to be made in the schedule referred to above.

ORDER—No. 1005, Marine, dated 2nd December 1898.

Ordered that the following notification be published in the Fort St. George and South Canara District Gazettes:—

Adverting to the notification published on pages 695-96 of the Fort St. George Gazette of the 19th July 1898, Part I, it is hereby notified that the port of Nyakinakatte in the South Canara district will be bracketed with Coondapoor for the levy of port dues.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Presidency Port Officer.
" Collector of South Canara.
" Revenue Department.
" Superintendent, Government Press.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

Marine DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated

189 No.

Again G.O., dated

189 No.

Letter Dis.
Current No. 491
G.B.

of 1898, dated 30 August, from the Collector of South Canara.

" 139 "

" 189 "

The bracketing of ports for collection of dues.

His Excellency the Governor

ORDER.

No. 1005 Mar 2. 12. 98

Ordered that the following notification be published in the Fort St. George and South Canara District gazettes:—

Adverting to the notification published on pages 695-96 of the Fort St. George Gazette of the 19th July 1898, Part I, it is hereby notified that the port of Nyakinakatte in the South Canara District will be bracketed with Coondapoor for the levy of port dues.

The Presidency Port Officer
" Collector of South Canara
" Revenue Department.
" Superintendent, Govt. Press.

Note for H. E.

The port of Nyakinakatte

is

is at present bracketted
with two other ports. The
Collector now points out
that this is a mistake and
that it should be bracketted
with Coondapoor for the collection
of port dues as decided in
1883.

2. The mistake has not
before been brought to notice.

W. H. S.
29.11.98

+ Flag H

Marine (1898) Department.

1005^m CURRENT No. 1481

From

The Presidency Port Officer
Madras.

No. $\frac{942}{413}$ of

Dated 23.11.98

Received

Registered

24-11-98

Enclosures -

Spare copies -

SUBJECT

Port of Ryakinakatte.

Reporting upon the question
of bracketing the

with Bindur.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
✓ 499	8	30.8.85	
✓ 474	8	8.5.85	
✓ 574	man	4.7.85	niph
✓ 351	man	12.4.87	niph
✓ 1467	Rev: 8	27.11.83	S.S.
✓ 316	172	28.4.88	S.S.
✓ 243-44	2	10.6.89	S.S.

FURTHER PAPERS.

Marine (1898) Department.



1 No. 474 E. 9.9 September 1898 CURRENT No.

The Presidency Suboffice
 (unofficially)

Order

For favour of
Remarks

The draft of the notification was sent up by you and it follows. I observe the notification of 1889. under page 9 of G.O. 243 Marine, of 10 June 1889.

RS.

W. A. C. C. C.

Secretary to Govt.

From

The Collector of
South Canada

Dis: No. 491 of 1898.
Ch. 15.

Dated 30th August 1898

Received 2/5-9-98

Enclosures — 4 —

Spare copies

SUBJECT

SUBJECT,
Port of Nyakina Kattu—

Requesting that as the - has,
in the schedule printed in the
G. O. quoted within, been
bracketed with Bamour
instead of with Coondapoor,
necessary corrections may be
ordered to be made therein.

It does not appear to be of any importance whether Nayakankottai is bracketed with Hundapur or with the other ports. The Board of Revenue proceedings quoted by the collector do not appear to have been communicated to this office. As far as this office records shew, Nayakankottai has not hitherto ever been bracketed with Hundapur.


Waves

20. Madras, 2 Commander, R. I. M.,
 4th Sept 1898. By Secy. Port Officer.

7139

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.



4722

Q. 499E, of 30 September 1898

To

The Presidency Port Officer.

(Unofficially).

You say that it does not appear to be of any importance whether the port of ~~at~~ Nyakinakatte is ---- bracketed with Coondapoor or with the other two ports placed below it on the schedule. This remark is not understood. The bracketing of ports is made with the view of regulating the levy of port dues, the ports bracketed being treated as if they were only one port. Port dues can only be levied if there is an establishment at one or other of the -- bracketed ports. In the case of Nyakinakatte there is no establishment, and ~~the~~ port was in G.O. 1467 Revenue dated 27th November 1883 made subordinate to Coondapoor --- where an establishment exists. The bracketing of Nyakinakatte therefore with Coondapoor does seem of importance.

2. If this revised bracketing now be ordered, the other two ports, viz, Baundur and Siruru, will remain to be bracketed together. But there is no information before this office to show whether there is an establishment at either of these ports sufficient to collect port dues and

and whether the intention is that they should be regarded as one port for the levy of ~~these~~ dues. Please supply further information.

R.S.

L. H. Chintan

Secretary to Government,
Marine Department.

With Current Nos. 1130 & 1195,
to be returned.

18 942
4/3

The remark of Commander Aves, the Ag. Presidency Port Officer, that, it does not appear to be of any importance whether the port of Nyakinakatte is bracketed with Coondapoor, seems to have been misunderstood. He was quite aware that the bracketing of ports was made with the view of regulating the levy of port dues, and as the ports bracketed are treated as one port it would appear of little importance whether the dues for Nyakinakatte are

There was no misunderstanding in the Secy's remark on North Shore.

2nd to 50 - She is for the port to which the Secy has not called attention. Nyakinakatte and Coondapoor are collected either at the port itself or Coondapoor or Bai dur so long as an establishment for the purpose of collecting dues is maintained at the port specified as the collecting port.

2. From information now received from the collector of South Canara the establishment at Baindur is sufficient for the purpose of collecting dues payable both for Baindur and Sirwan.

3. Had the Board of Revenue Proceedings No. 3068, dated 13th October 1883 read in L.O. No. 1467, Revenue dated 27th November 1883 been supplied to this office it is probable that no question as to the improper bracketing of the ports in question would have arisen. The ports in question have been bracketed together since 1889 without question.

27th Nov 83

are collected either at the port itself or Coondapoor or Bai dur so long as an establishment for the purpose of collecting dues is maintained at the port specified as the collecting port.

2. From information now received from the collector of South Canara the establishment at Baindur is sufficient for the purpose of collecting dues payable both for Baindur and Sirwan.

3. Had the Board of Revenue Proceedings No. 3068, dated 13th October 1883 read in L.O. No. 1467, Revenue dated 27th November 1883 been supplied to this office it is probable that no question as to the improper bracketing of the ports in question would have arisen. The ports in question have been bracketed together since 1889 without question.

Commander, R.S.M.
Presy. Port Office,
Madras,
23rd November 1898

To
The Secretary to Govt.,
Marine Department,
(Unofficially)

mm

Dis. No 491 of 1898.
G.B.

SOUTH CANARA COLLECTOR'S OFFICE,

Mangalore,

30th August 1898.

FROM

A. J. Pinhey, Esquire,
Ag. Collector of

South Canara,

TO

THE ~~CHIEF~~ SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Marine Department,

Fort St. George.

Sir,

47 May - R

7 May - X
x page b

cannot trace
in D.D. office
records

With reference to G. O. No 374 Marine, dated 4th July 1898, I have the honor to state that in the Schedule of ports printed therein the port of Nyakina Kattu is bracketed with Baindur and Siruru. But it should have been bracketed with Coondapoor (Gangoli) as the Board of Revenue, in its Proceedings No 3068, dated 13th October 1883, read in G. O. No 1467 Rev, dated 27th November 1883, approved of the Collector's proposal to make Nyakina Kattu subordinate to Coondapoor. I therefore request that necessary corrections may be ordered to be made in the Schedule referred to above.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt Servt
D. [Signature]

compd

copy

For Ag. Collector