

Marine (1897) Department.

G.O., No. *P44* *mar*

DATED *27. Sept 1897*

[Despatch Abstract.]

Lighthouse Superintendent, Madras. *Residing*
in the news of the 4. March 1897 *P497. mar*, he *resides* in
the matter of arrangements for filling the post of —
he maintained permitting Mr. Cotterill to re-
tain the house rent allowance of Rs. 25 per mensem
pending the introduction of the new Scale under which
he can fill one of the posts of Lighthouse Inspector
and stating that the Acting Lighthouse Supt. Madras
may also be confirmed under the new Scale.

from the charge of the Referencer.

$$\left[\frac{10}{500} \right]$$

Papers to be read in proceedings :—

ORDER. 844 Marine 27 Sept 1897

confirmed with effect from the date
on which the new scale is brought
into force, and meanwhile can draw
Rs. 40, acting allowance, plus Rs. 10,
house rent.

R.S.

17/8/89

TO

The P.P.O.

.. Adm. General.

Recd
24/8/89

Thro' the Accountant General, Madras
Marine (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 120

From

The Presidency Post Office,
Madras

Order

Summar
27-5-89

No. 1271
309 G

Dated 20 August 1897

Received

Registered

Enclosures 1.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Bringing to notice the objection
raised by the Accountant General
regarding the appointment of a
Lighthouse to the Madras Light
house on Rolo and requesting
sanction to payment of Rs. 25/-
to Mr. Cotterill as personal
allowance to compensate him
for the loss while being employed
as Lighthouse Mechanic.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
79. 50	29. 7. 91
36 2	11. 6. 91
350	12. 4. 91
207 2	21. 3. 91
191	4. 3. 91
62	3. 3. 91
121	13. 2. 91
75 8	22. 1. 91
535	26. 1. 91
10	4. 1. 91
87 5	19. 12. 90
613 2	27. 11. 90
802	19. 11. 90
733	24. 10. 90
245-6	5. 4. 90
344	27. 8. 94
743 75	13. 7. 92
86 35-40.	16. 6. 92
241	3. 8. 91
220	19. 5. 91
160	22. 4. 91
370	1. 5. 88

FURTHER PAPERS.

Backs haben.

5.0680 0.24.7.77
 5.0679 0.4.2.9.
 20726 20.3.7
 5.0677 0.4.3.77
 5.0670 9.3.3.77
 5.0621 9.13.2.77
 5.0759 27.1.77
 5.0608 4.1.77
 5.0733 0.2.40.76
 24507 3.4.76

There is a terrible ^{scabrous} waste of timber in the cutting of the B.W.D. in the ⁱⁿ cutting of back refuge on the back of dokeys - Why should more than one last S.O. be entered?

BA
2/19/97

System of Referencing

Marine Department

Note for the Honourable
Sir Henry Bliss, K.C. J. E.

On the Docket Sheet
of Current No. 1207, the
Honourable member has made
the following remark in reference
to the entry of previous Correspondence.

I was not aware that
the system in question was
followed in other branches of
the Secretariat. If it is found
to be true in the Treasury, I
have no objection - But I should
have thought it wd be the
other way.

"Here is a terrible waste of
"time and labour in the P.W.D.
"in the entry of back references
"on the back of dockets. Why
"Should more than the one last
"Go. be entered?"

It is explained that this is done to enable the Referencer on a future occasion to pick up, without difficulty, the papers previously collected for the disposal of a subject. The entries thus made are reproduced in the tables of Contents, vide the beginning and end of the accompanying Volume of

Flag C
Flag D.

4. In. 27.9.97 Reg 25

B
N
of Proceedings. The same
procedure is followed in all
departments of the Secretariat,

67 and obviates the necessity
32 of making up "Subject files"
26 of much favoured by the Joint
P.O. 16 Secretary, Railway Branch,
6 Secretary, Railway Branch,
1 So long as this record of
2 back correspondence exists,
5 "Subject files" in a concrete
1 form are of little consequence
8 generally, although Special
1 and important subject files
2 can be, and are, collected
1 for facility of reference.

It may be added that
to reduce work, there is an
Office Order in the Public
Works Secretariat to the
effect that the record of
"2 back correspondence is
not to be limited to ten
papers as a maximum.
This order, the Reference
overlooked in the case noted
on by the Honourable Member.
This limit is found sufficient
for

for ordinary reference
purposes. If the subject
is a lengthy one, and it is
desired to go further
back for papers, the
earliest paper of the
series of ten can be taken
as the next starting point,
and ten more papers
can be collected from
this, and so on.

27/9/97

W

R.S.

Through the Accountant General Madras
Marine (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 1207

From

*The Presidency Port Officer
Madras*

Order

No. $\frac{1241}{309}$ *g*

Dated 20th August 1897

Received

Registered

7-9-97

Enclosures one

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

*Bringing to notice the objection raised by
the Acct. Genl. re the appointment of
a lightkeeper to the Madras lighthouse
on the 40 and requesting sanction
to payment of Rs 25/- to Mr. Cornwall
as personal allowance to compensate
him for the loss while being employed
as Lighthouse mechanic.*

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

Madras
22nd July 1897

The Presidency Post-officer,
Madras.

Five,

With respect to my being relieved by the lightkeeper on Rs 40/- in the Madras Lighthouse and deputed to do temporary duty as Lighthouse mechanic I beg to be informed whether I am considered entitled to the House Rent of Rs 25/- per mensem which forms a part of the emoluments of my substantive appointment as Lighthouse Superintendent. My employment as Lighthouse mechanic, I submit, is a mere deputation on another work in the interests of the Government Service. If it is considered that I am not entitled to it I beg to submit that my case

may be taken into consideration
in view to my being permitted to
draw the emoluments allowed me
in my substantive appointment
as my transfer to the temporary duty
was purely in the interests of Government
and not in my personal interests.

I beg to remain,
your obedient servant,
15th 18. Collierill

(True Copy)

H. A. Street
Commander, R. I. M.
Presy. Port Officer

Thro' the Accountant-General

Heading.

No. 1271
309 G

PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICE,

Madras, 20th August 1897.

From

Commander H. A. Street, R. I. M.
PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,

Madras,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

MARINE DEPARTMENT,

Chepak.

SIR,

In G. O. No. 10, Marine, dated 4th January
1897, the entertainment of a lightkeeper
for the Madras Lighthouse on Rs 40/- per
mensem was sanctioned, but in para
3 of G. O. No. 197, Marine, dated 4th March
1897, the Presidency Port Officer was
authorised to engage a man as light-
house Superintendent to act for the
Permanent Superintendent who was
then to be employed temporarily as
Lighthouse Mechanic. As the latter
of the two orders above quoted appeared
to me to cancel G. O. No. 121, Marine,
of 13th February 1897, the question was
referred

Hay A

Hay B

Hay C



referred to Government, and in
reply I was informed (vide Marine
Department memorandum No 2078
of 20th March 1897) as follows: — "It will
be seen from the above that G.O. No 121
authorises nothing to be done. It only
instructs the Presidency Port Officer
as to what he cannot do under the
rules. There seems therefore no
question as to its being cancelled
by the later order No 197."

2. I therefore, considered that
the instructions contained in G.O.
No 10, Marine, dated 4th January 1897,
held good, and eventually engaged
a Keeper on Rs 40/- per mensem, and
submitted, through the Accountant
General, a Proposition Statement
in accordance with my views.

3. The Accountant General,
however, has taken exception to my
action and states as follows: —

"As the post of the Lightkeeper now
proposed for the Madras Lighthouse
has been included in the general
scheme now before Government,

Para 5
7 Lay D
7 Lay C

7 Lay B

7 Lay A

This G.O. is
distinctly modified
by a later order No
157 of 4th March 97.
There is nothing in
No 207 of 20th March 97
to warrant the Engineer
making a Keeper on
Rs 40/-
L.S.

This is exactly
what the order
directs.
L.S.

"There appears to be no necessity for
the creation of a permanent light-
keeper. The post of the Lighthouse
Superintendent cannot at present
be abolished till the new scheme
comes to effect as Mr. Fotherill will
then be deprived of a substantive
appointment. When Government
in its order No 10, Marine, dated
4th January 1897, authorised you to
entertain a lightkeeper on Rs 40, and
directed the submission of a Propo-
sition Statement for the same,
it had no intention of filling up the
Superintendent's place permanently.
Subsequently on your representation,
when Mr. Fotherill was appointed
as permanent Lighthouse Super-
intendent and deputed to do the
work of the Lighthouse mechanic,
it directed the entertainment of an
acting man as Superintendent in
his place, during the period of his
deputation. As, however, you consider
that the appointment of an Acting
Superintendent is much more
expedient

110/11

expensive than entertaining a man
on Rs 40/-, sanction for a temporary
post of light keeper till the reorgan-
of station scheme comes to effect, may
be applied for.

4. The question now for the con-
sideration of Government is, whether
the man whom I have engaged as a
permanent keeper on Rs 40/- per
mensem in accordance with G.O.
of 10, Marine, of 4th January 1897 is to
be treated as an acting Superin-
tendent, and to receive pay as
such (acting pay Rs 50/- plus Rs 25/-
per mensem house rent), or, only
Rs 40/- per mensem and to be
considered as a temporary light keeper.
Pending the orders of Government
on the subject, I am holding over
the Proposition Statement already
submitted through the Accountant
General in accordance with the
views referred to in para 2 of this
letter, and returned by that officer.

5. Apart from the question

There is already a
permanent light keeper
Mr. Cottrell - and
197 mensem of
light house
Can't be a permanent
man in one post

as to whatever maybe the capacity
in which the new man is employed,
and whatever the allowances ad-
missible to him, there is the
question that Mr. Cottrell, while
employed as lighthouse mechanic,
forfeits his claim to the house
allowance of Rs 25/- per mensem
attached to the lighthouse
Superintendent's pay. On this
subject he has already made a
representation to me, copy of
which is enclosed. I do not see
how he can be compensated for
the loss except by his being granted
a "personal allowance" of Rs 25/- per
mensem while employed as
"lighthouse mechanic", and
should the Government be pleased
to sanction it, the amount can
be met from the saving which
will result in any case.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your obedt. servant,
A. A. Theobald
Commander, R. I. M.
President Port of India

N. 2743

Fort St. George,
Accountant General's Office,
6th September 1897.

Forwarded.

2. There is no objection to pay the man engaged as acting Light House Superintendent Rs 40, for, Government is not obliged to grant him House-rent and half the pay of the appointment as stated by the Presidency Port-Officer in para 4 of his letter.

3. As regards the proposal to grant a Personal allowance to Mr. Cotterill while employed as Light House Mechanic, it is observed that a personal allowance as such cannot be granted in the case under reference. This is a case where the Officer is prevented under ordinary rules from carrying the House-rent attached to his substantive appointment when appointed to act in another appointment. Even assuming that his case is one of deputation as argued by him, Article 78 Civil Service Regulations will prevent him from drawing the House-rent in such a case.

If, however, Government considers this a case of hardship, it can, if it thinks fit, give additional remuneration either by raising the pay of the Light House Mechanics Appointment or by attaching a separate House-rent to it.

Mania
Offg. Accountant General.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Marine Department.

MD.
4/9.

from the charge of the Reference.

Marine DEPARTMENT.

[43] Light Keeper
Madras Lighthouse

C. No. 1297 of 1897.

~~HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.~~

~~THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.~~

~~THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.~~

SUBJECT.

FOR ORDERS.

Under a departmental arrangement made by Commander Powell, the Lighthouse Mechanic Mr. Cotterill, was appointed to act as Light Keeper, Madras, in addition to his own duties, which involve frequent absence from head quarters. During these absences, the light at Madras, a most important one with complicated apparatus, was left in charge of a low paid Keeper on Rs. 15.

2. This arrangement was ordered to terminate in G.O. 10 Marine dated 4th January 1897, and the entertainment of a permanent Keeper on Rs. 40 authorised; the Lighthouse Mechanic being restricted to his own duties.

3. In G.O. 127 Marine dated 4th March 1897, the previous orders were, on the representation of the Presidency Port Officer, so far modified as to permit the appointment of

Mr. Cotterill as permanent Lighthouse

Suptd.

+ Flag A

+ Flag B

But this was merely to make his service upto date from June 1895 pensionable, it is not to give him more pay. BS

H. 20.9.97 to 22.9.97

Superintendent, Madras, on Rs. 100",

and allow him to be employed in the temporary post of Lighthouse Mechanic; a new man being brought in "to act for Mr. Cotterill" as Lighthouse Superintendent.

4. What the Presidency Port Officer has actually done is to engage a permanent Light Keeper on Rs. 10 for the Madras Light notwithstanding the fact that Mr. Cotterill is permanent Keeper on Rs. 100. There are thus two permanent men in one sanctioned post.

5. The reasons advanced by the Presidency Port Officer for thus acting are not quite intelligible. The orders and intentions of Government are correctly recapitulated in the Accountant General's letter which the Presidency Port Officer embodies in his own.

6. It is suggested that the orders of the 4th March 1897 No. 97 be maintained. The permanent appointment made by the Presidency Port Officer would have to be cancelled, and an acting appointment made vice Mr. Cotterill on other duty; the acting man receiving the allowances admissible by the rules.

7. There would then remain the question of the house rent of Rs. 25 forfeited by Mr. Cotterill owing to his employment on other duty. The Accountant General reports that the house rent

no - trust him for making a wfp.

* Flag B

The ag. man cannot
Rs. 40 + 10, i.e., the new
scale of pay + h.r.
BS

This man (Cotterill) may retain
the Rs. 25 p.m. h.r. until
the new scale is introduced. He
will then come into the new grade
of Rs. 100-10-150, which is

paper taken from the charge of the Referencer.

more advantageous than
his present post of 80-4-100
as Lighthouse Mechanic. Cotterill
cannot draw h.r. as no h.r.
is provided for the super

BS
21/9/97

can be attached to the appointment of Lighthouse Mechanic, or the pay of the appointment can be raised.

8. If this extra charge be sanctioned, should the acting Light Keeper forfeit his house rent allowance in order to compensate Government for the excess?

2/9/97

BS