5-3-07

22-[illegible]

Marine (1897) Department.

G.O., No. 679-80 Mar

DATED 29. July 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Lighthouse Establishments: Approving with remarks
he proposition statement for the reorgani-
ion of the — of this Presidency and
directing that it be forwarded to the Govt
of India for Sanction.

Lighthouse Establishments: Forwarding
ith remarks for the Sanction of the Govt
India the proposition statement for
the reorganisation of the — of this Presy.
at an ultimate increased cost of Rs.
1476 per annum.

[illegible] 69

2/5/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
X 20/4/97 X 730/98							

Marine (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 616

[illegible] 2

Order

From,

The Presidency Port Officer,
Madras

No. 1271/84 G

Dated 12 March 1897.

Received 30 April 1897

Enclosures 1

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Forwarding Proposition statement relative to reorganisation of Lighthouse Establishments.

B
Br. chief
7 May 97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
75 dy 27-1-96			
233 dy 24-10 96.			
190 d/ 3-3-97			
245-46 dy 8 4-96			

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	D[illegible]
838 (ly			

Thro' the Accountant General

No.1271/849

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE
16 MAR 97
MADRAS

Presidency Port Office,
Madras, 12th March, 1897.

From

Commander H. A. Street, R.I.M.,
Presidency Port Officer,
MADRAS,

To

The Secretary to Government,
Marine Department,
CHEPAK.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith the Proposition Statement* called for in para 2 of G.O. Marine No. 75, dated 27th January 1897 relative to reorganisation of Lighthouse Establishments and with reference thereto beg to point out that the proposed establishment is in accordance with the views of Government.

*in duplicate.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Obedient Servant,

H. D. Bauckley
for Presidency Port Officer,

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL'S REPORT.

No. ADS/142

The entries in the column of "Present Scale" have been compared with the Registers of this office, and are correct with the exception of the ~~items altered in red ink.~~ following—

There is at present 1 Light-keeper on Rs 7 instead of one on Rs 10 shown in the Statement under 'Hare Island'. The Presy Port officer has explained that the sanctioned appointment on Rs 10 having fallen vacant, a new man on Rs 7 was temporarily entertained.

2. The sanction of the Govt of India is necessary to the proposals under article 93 (iii) of the Civil Service Regulations.

3. The delay in the submission of the report is due to the original proposition statement having been returned to the Presy Port officer for revision.

Accountant-General's Office,
Fort Saint George,
28 April 1897.

G. C. Ray
Dy Accountant-General.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

Department.

No.

Fort Saint George,
The 189 .

Secretary to the Government
of Fort St. George.

Original

Statement of Proposition fo

Order sanctioning present establishment. Government of Department.		Office to which the proposition refers	Nature of Charge.															
			Present Scale.										Pro ed Scale.					
			No.	Designation.	Pay.						Average cost.		No.	Designation.	Pay			
					Minimum.		Increment.		Maximum.						Minimum.		Increment.	
No.	Date.				RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.	RS.	A.			RS.	A.	RS.	
		2 3		2														

189 }

To

The Secretary to Government,

through the Accountant-Gener

dated the 23rd S

2,000—20 8-96.

4

Coondapoor

1	Head Light Keeper 3rd Class	10 "
	Charge allowance	1 "
1	Light Keeper 3rd Class	7 "
	Total Rs	18 "

Hangarkotta

1	Head Light Keeper 3rd Class	10 "
	Charge allowance	1 "
⊛ 1	Light Keeper 3rd Class (from 1st October to 31st May only of each year)	7 "
	Total Rs	18 "

G.O. Financial No 512 dated 30th May 1893
" " " 748 " 8 August 1893
" " " 1227 " 5 December 1893
" " " 365 " 3 May 1895
" " " 894 " 19 Septr 1895
" Marine " 726 " 24 October 1896.

See last page

Malpe

1	Head Light Keeper 3rd Class	10 "
	Charge allowance	1 "
1	Light Keeper 3rd Class	7 "
	Total Rs	18 "
	Carried forward	54 "

[illegible] Septr 1889.
" " 398 " 2 " 1891
" " 408 " 8 " 1891.
" " 409 " 8 " 1891
" Financial " 331 " 17th March 1892

⊛ Employed only [illegible] for 8 m[illegible] near Vide G.O. Marine No 398 of 2nd Septr
and G.O. Marine No 726 of 24th October
[illegible] rine No 166. [illegible] ay 1894.

Brought forward	54 "

Mulki

Nil

Mangalore

1 Head Light Keeper 3rd Class	10 "
Charge allowance	2 "
1 Light Keeper 2nd Class	※9 "
1 Light Keeper 3rd Class	7 "
Total Rs	28 "

G.O. Financial No. 572 dated 30th May 1893
" " 748 " 8 August 1893

See last page

Cannanore

1 Head Light Keeper 3rd Class	10 "
Charge allowance	1 "
1 Light Keeper 3rd Class	7 "
Total Rs	18 "

" 898 " 2 " 1891
Sept 1887

8

Carried forward	100 "

※ Higher rates of pay

Brought forward	100 "

Tellicherry

1 Head Light Keeper 3rd Class	10 "
Charge allowance	1 "
1 Light Keeper 2nd Class	8 "
Total	19 "

See last page

Calicut

1 Head Light Keeper 3rd Class	10 "
Charge allowance	2 "
1 Light Keeper 2nd Class	※9 "
1 Light Keeper 3rd Class	7 "
Total Rs	28 "

See last page

Cochin

1 Head Light Keeper 3rd Class	10 "
Charge allowance	2 "
1 Light Keeper 2nd Class	※9 "
1 Light Keeper 3rd Class	※8 "
Total Rs	29 "

10

Carried forward	"

※ Higher rates of pay

G.O. Financial No. 572 dated 30th May 1893
" " " 748 " 8 August 189[illegible]

" " 398 " 2 Sept 1887
" " " 2 " 1891

Brought forward		176 -

Tongacherry

Nil

Mutlum

1 Superintendent 1st Class	" " " "	100 - √
1 Headlight Keeper 1st Class	" " " "	15 - √
1 Light Keeper 1st Class	" " " "	9 - √
1 — Do — 2nd Class	" " " "	*9 - √
1 — Do — 3rd Class	" " " "	*8 - √
1 — Do — 3rd Class	" " " "	*8 - √
Provision allowance for the 5 Light Keepers at Re 1 each	" " " "	5 - √
Total Rs	" " " "	154 - √

See last page

12

Carried forward

Brought forward	" " " "	330 - √

Manapad

1 Superintendent 3rd Class	" " " "	50 - √
1 Headlight Keeper 3rd Class	" " " "	10 - √
1 Light Keeper 2nd Class	" " " "	*9 - √
1 Do — 3rd Class	" " " "	7 - √
Provision allowance for the 3 Light Keepers at Re 1 each	" " " "	3 - √
Total Rs	" " " "	79 - √

See last page

Tuticorin
(Hare Island)

1 Headlight Keeper & Head Signaller	" " "	20 - √
1 Light Keeper & Signaller	" " " "	11 - √
1 Do — Do —	" " " "	10 - +
1 Do — Do	" " " "	9 - √
1 Do — Do.	" " " "	8 - √
Total Rs	"	58

14

176

Brought forward	467

Pamban

1 Head Light Keeper 3d Class	10
Charge allowance	2
1 Light Keeper 2d Class	*9
1 Do 3d Class	*9
Total Rs	30

Tondi

1 Head Light Keeper 3d Class	10
Charge allowance	1
1 Light Keeper 2d Class	*9
Total Rs	20

Point Calimere

1 Head Light Keeper 3d Class	10
Charge allowance	2
1 Light Keeper 2d Class	*9
1 Do 3d Class	*9
Total Rs	30

See last page

16

Brought forward	547

Negapatam

1 Head Light Keeper 3d Class	10
Charge allowance	2
1 Light Keeper 2d Class	*9
1 Do 3d Class	*9
Total Rs	30

Cuddalore

1 Head Light Keeper 1st Signaller	20
1 Light Keeper and Signaller	9
1 Do - Do	9
Total Rs	38

Seven Pagodas

1 Supdt. 2d Class	70
1 Hd. Light Keeper 2d Class	12
1 Light Keeper 1st Class	9
1 Do 2d Class	*9
1 Do 3d Class	*9
Provision allowance for the 4 light keepers at Re 1 each	4
Total Rs	113
Carried forward	728

See last page

18

	Brought forward	728	✓

Madras

1	Supdt 1st Class	100	✓
	House rent	25	✓
1	Head Light Keeper 1st Class	15	✓
3	Light Keepers 1st Class at Rs 9 each	27	✓
1	Light Keeper 2d Class	*9	✓
1	Do — 3d Class	*9	✓
	Total Rs	185	✓

See last page

Pulicat

1	Superintendent 2d Class	70	
1	Head Light Keeper 2d Class	12	
1	Light Keeper 1st Class	9	
1	Do — 2d Class	*9	
1	Do — 3d Class	7	
	Total Rs	107	✓

	Brought forward	1020	✓

Armeghon

1	Supdt 1st Class	100	✓
1	Head Ligh Keeper 1st Class	15	✓
1	Light Keeper 1st Class	9	✓
1	Do — 2d Class	*9	✓
1	Do — 3d Class	*9	✓
1	Do · 3d Class	7	✓
	Provision allowance for the 5 Light Keepers at Re 1 each	5	✓
	Total Rs	154	✓

See last page

Point Divi

1	Supdt 2d Class	70	✓
1	Hd Light Keeper 2d Class	12	✓
1	Light Keeper 1st Class	9	✓
1	Do. 2d Class	*9	✓
1	Do 3d Class	*9	✓
1	Do 3d Class	7	✓
	Provision allowance for the 5 Light Keepers at Re 1 each	5	✓
	Total Rs	121	

Brought forward	1295

Masulipatam

1 Head Light Keeper 3rd Class	10
1 Light Keeper 2nd Class	*9
Total Rs	19

See last page

Sacremento Shoal

1 Head Light Keeper 1st Class	15
Charge allowance	2
1 Head Light Keeper 2nd Class	12
Provision allowance for the 2 men at Re 1 each	2

Carried

166. ay 1894.

Brought forward	1346	

Hope Island

1 Supdt 2nd Class	70	✓
1 Head Light Keeper 2nd Class	12	✓
1 Light Keeper 1st Class	9	✓
1 Do 2nd Class	*9	✓
1 Do 3rd Class	*9	✓
1 Do 3rd Class	7	✓
2 Water boat Laskars at Rs 7 each	14	✓
Provision allowance for the 5 Lt Keepers & 2 Lascars at Re 1 each	7	✓
Total Rs	137	✓

See last page

Vakalapudi

1 Supdt 2nd Class	70	✓
Signalling allowance to Do	10	✓
1 H. Light Keeper 2nd Class	12	✓
1 Light Keeper 1st Class	9	✓
1 Do 2nd Class	8	✓
1 Do 3rd Class	7	✓
1 Flag Signaller	8	✓
Provision allowance to the 4 Keepers & Signaller at Re 1 each	5	✓
	129	✓

26

Vizagapatam

Nil

Bimilipatam

Nil

See last page

Santopilly

1	Supdt 2d Class	" " " "	70 "
1	Head Light Keeper 1st Class	" " " "	15 "
1	Light Keeper 1st Class	" " " "	9 "
1	Do. 2d Class	" " " "	*9 "
1	Do. 3d Class	" " " "	*8 "
	Provision allowance for the Light Keepers at Re 1 each	" " " "	4 "
	Total Rs	" " " "	115 "

28

Carried forward	" " " "	1726 "

* Higher rates

Brought forward	1726

Kalingapatam

1 Supdt 3d Class	50
Charge allowance	20
1 Head Light Keeper 1st Class	15
1 Do. 2d Class	12
1 Light Keeper 1st Class	9
1 Do 3d Class	7
Total Rs	113

See last page

Gopalpore

1 Head Light Keeper 3d Class	10
1 Light Keeper 2d Class	8
Total Rs	18

30

Carried forward	1857

Brought forward	1857

Light House Mechanic

1 Light House Mechanic	~~80-5-100~~ 95
	95

Proposed Scale for all Light Houses

Head Light Keepers

5 1st Class on Rs 70
4 2d Class on Rs 65
4 3 Do " 60
13

Light Keepers

1st Class

10 1st Grade on Rs 50
10 2d Do " 45
10 3d Do " 40

2d Class

8 1st Grade on Rs 20
4 2d Do " 15
8 3d Do " 12
9 4th Do " 10
11 5 Do " 9
70

14 Lascars on Rs 7
5 Boatmen on " 8
4 Relief Keepers each on Rs 40
2 Inspectors (100-150) on 137½ each
Provision allowance for 24 men at Rs 5 each
Allowance in lieu of house quarters for 3 men at Rs 10 at Madras

Total present scale	1952
Total proposed scale	

* Higher rates of pay drawn under G.O. Marine No. 166 dated 7th May 18

32

Presidency Port Office
Madras, 13th April 1897

20

[illegible] under [illegible] the Presy Port
explained that the Sanctioned appointment on Rs 10 having fallen vacant, a new man on Rs 7 was temporarily entertained.

2. The Sanction of the Govt of India is necessary to the proposal under art 93(iii) of the Civil Service Regulations.

3. The delay in the submission of the report is due to the proportion Statement having been returned to the Presy Port Officer for revision.

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Fort Saint George,
28 April 1897

G C Ray
Dy Accountant-General.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

No. DEPARTMENT.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
The 189 .

Secretary to the Government
of Fort St. George.

(1) G.O. Marine No 390 dated 4 Septr 1889
(2) " " 398 " 2 " 1891
(3) " " 408 " 8 " 1891
(4) " " 409 " 8 " 1891
(5) " Financial " 381 " 17 Mar 1892

(6) G.O. Financial No 3112 dated [illegible]
(7) " " 748 " 8 Augt 1893
(8) " " 1227 " 5 Decr 1893
(9) " " 365 " 3d May 1895
(10) " " 894 " 19 Octr 1895
(11) " Marine " 726 " 24 Octr 1896.

ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL'S REPORT.

No. A.D.S./142

THE entries in the column of "Present Scale" have been compared with the R[illegible] this office, and are correct [illegible] the ex[illegible]

	Coondapoor						
1	Head Light Keeper 5th class	"	"	"	"	10	"
	Charge allowance	"	"	"	"	1	"
1	Lightkeeper 3d class	"	"	"	"	7	"
	Total Rs.	"	"	"	"	18	"
	Hangercolla						
1	Hd. Lightkeeper 5th class	"	"	"	"	10	"
	Charge allowance for do	"	"	"	"	1	"
1	Lightkeeper 3d class from 1st Octr to 31st May only of each year	"	"	"	"	7	"
	Total Rs.	"	"	"	"	18	"
	Malpe						
1	Hd. Lt-Keeper 5th class	"	"	"	"	10	"
	Charge allowance	"	"	"	"	1	"
1	Lightkeeper 3d class	"	"	"	"	7	"
	Total Rs	"	"	"	"	18	"
	Carried forward				–	54	–

See back page

Brought forward " " "	4 "

Mulki

nil

Mangalore

1 Head Lightkeeper 3rd class " " " "	10 "
Charge allowance " " " "	2 "
1 Lightkeeper 2nd class } " " " "	*9 "
1 Lightkeeper 3rd class " " " "	7 "
Total " " " "	28 "

See last page

Cannanore

1 Hd Lightkeeper 3rd class " " " "	10 "
Charge allowance " " " "	1 "
1 Lightkeeper 3rd class " " " "	7 "
Total " " " "	18 "

Carried forward " " "	100 "

* Higher rates of pay

Brought forward	. . .	100 -

Tellicherry

1 Head Lightkeeper 3rd class	. . . . .	10 -
Charge allowance	. . . .	1 -
1 Lightkeeper 2nd class	. . . .	8 -
Total	. . . .	19 -

Calicut

1 Head Lightkeeper 3rd class	. . . .	10 -
Charge allowance	. . . .	2 -
1 Lightkeeper 2nd class	. . . .	*9
1 Lightkeeper 3rd class	. . . .	7 -
Total	. . . .	28 -

See last page

Cochin

1 Hd. Lightkeeper 3rd class	. . . .	10 -
Charge allowance	. . . .	2 -
1 Lightkeeper 2nd class	. . . .	*9
1 Lightkeeper 3rd class	. . . .	*8
Total	. . . .	29 -

~~Brought~~ carried forward	. . .	176 -

* Higher rates of pay drawn under

350 -
260 -
240 -
850 -
520 -
480 -
400 -
160 -
60 -
96 -
90 -
99 -
1855 -
78 -
60 -
40 -
175 -
120 -
30 -
723 -
1676 -
3458 -

7 May 1875

H D Bannerley
for Presy Port officer

	Brought forward " " "	16 "
	Nil	

Taugacherry

Muttum

1	Superintendent 1st class " " " "	100 "
1	Head Light Keeper 1st class " " " "	15 "
1	Lightkeeper 1st class " " "	9 "
	-Do- 2d class " " "	[illegible] "
	-Do- 3d class " " "	8 "
1	-Do- 3d class " " "	*8 "
	Provision allowance for the 5 Lightkeepers at Re 1 each " " "	5 "
	Total " " "	154 "
	Carried forward " "	330 "

last page

* Higher rates of pay drawn under G.O. Marine No. 166 d/

Brought forward " " "		[illegible] "
	Manapad	
1 Superintendent 1st class " " "		" 50 "
1 Head Lightkeeper 1st class " " "		" 10 "
1 Lightkeeper 1st class " " "		" *9 "
1 _Do_ 2d class " " "		" 7 "
1 _Do_ 3d class " " "		
1 _Do_ 3d class " " "		
Provision allowance for the 5 Lightkeepers at Re 1 each " " "		" 3 "
Total Rs " " "		" 79 "
	Tuticorin (**Hare Island**)	
1 Superintendent 2d class " " "		
1 Head Lightkeeper 2d class " " "		" 20 "
1 Lightkeeper 1st class " " "		" 11 "
1 _Do_ 2d " " " "		" 10 "
1 _Do_ 3 class " " "		" 9 "
1 _Do_ 3d class " " "		" 8 "
Provision allowance for the 5 Light keepers at Re 1 each " "		
Total Rs " " "		" 58 "
Carried forward " " "		* Hi

See last page

ate.

* [illegible]er rates of pay drawn under G.O. Marine No. 166 d/ 1st May 1894.

Brought forward	467	

Pambau

1 Head Lightkeeper 3d class " "	" 10 "	
Charge allowance " "	" 2 "	
1 Lightkeeper 2d class " "	×" 9 "	
1 Do 3d class " "	×" 9 "	
Total Rs " " "	" 30 " ✓	

Tondi

See last page

1 Head Lightkeeper 3d class " " "	" 10 "	
Charge allowance " " "	" 1 "	
1 Lightkeeper 2d class " " "	×" 9 "	
Total Rs " " "	" 20 " ✓	

Point Calimere

1 Head Light Keeper 3d class " " "	" 2	10 "
Charge allowance " " "	" 11	2 "
1 Lightkeeper	" 10	
2d class " " "	" 9	×9 "
1 Do 3d class " " "	" 8	×9 "
Total Rs " " "	" 56	30 " ✓
Carried forward " " "		547 " ✓

…her rates of pay drawn…

…ne Marine No. 166 of 7th May 18…

Brought forward " " "	
1 Head Lightkeeper 3d class " " "	
1 Lightkeeper 2d class " " "	
Total Rs " " "	
1 Head Lightkeeper 1st class " " "	
Charge allowance " " "	
1 Do Lightkeeper 2d class " " "	
Provision allowance to the 2 men at Re 1 each " " "	
Total Rs " " "	
Carried forward " " "	

467

Brought forward " " "		
1 Superintendent 1st class " " " "		10 "
1 Head Lightkeeper		2 "
1st class " " "		*9 "
1 Lightkeeper 1st class " " "		*9 "
1 _Do_ 2nd class " " "		
1 _Do_ 3rd class " " "		30 "
1 _Do_ 3rd class " " "		
Provision allowance for the 5 Lightkeepers at Re 1 each " " "		20 "
		9 "
		9 "
Total Rs " " "		38 "

Negapatam

Cuddalore

See last page

Seven Pagodas

1 Superintendent 2nd class " " "	10 "	70 "
1 Head Lightkeeper	2 "	12 "
2nd class " " "		
1 Lightkeeper 1st class " " "		9 "
1 _Do_ 2nd " " "	*9	*9 "
1 _Do_ 3rd class " " "	*9	*9 "
1 _Do_ 3rd class " " "	30 "	
Provision allowance for the 5 Lightkeepers at Re 1 each " "		4 "
	34	113 "
Total Rs " " "		128 "

Carried forward " " "

*

...gher rates of pay drawn ... under G.O. Marine No 166 d/- ... May 1894

Brought forward " "	
1 Supdt 2nd class " " "	
1 Head Lightkeeper 2nd class " " "	
1 Lightkeeper 1st class " " "	
1 _Do_ 2nd class " " "	
1 _Do_ 3rd class " " "	
1 _Do_ 3rd class " " "	
2 Water boat lascars at Rs 7 each " "	
Provision allowance for the 5 Lightkeepers and 2 Lascars at Re 1 each " " "	
Total Rs " " "	

1 Supdt 2nd class " " "	
Signalling allowance to Supdt 2nd class " " "	
1 Hd Lt Keeper 2nd class " " "	
1 Lightkeeper 1st class " " "	
1 _Do_ 2nd class " " "	
1 _Do_ 3rd class " " "	
1 Flag Signaller " " "	
Provision allowance for the 4 Lt Keepers and signaller at Re 1 each " " "	
Total Rs " " "	

Carried forward " " "

	Brought forward " " "		28 " ✓

Madras

1	Superintendent 1st class " " "	"	100 " ✓
	House rent " " "	"	25 " ✓
1	Head Lightkeeper 1st class " " "	"	15 " ✓
3	Lightkeepers 1st class at 9 Rs each " " "	"	27 " ✓
1	Lightkeeper 2d class " " "	"	*9 " ✓
1	_Do_ 3d class " " "	"	*9 " ✓
	Total Rs " " "	"	185 " ✓

Pulicat

1	Superintendent 2d class " " "	"	70 "
1	Hd. Lightkeeper 2d class " " "	"	12 "
1	Lightkeeper 1st class " " "	"	9 "
1	_Do_ 2d class " " "	"	*9 "
1	_Do_ 3d class " " "	"	7 "
	Total Rs " " "	* "	107 "
	Carried forward " " "	"	1020 "

See last page

* The higher rates of pay drawn see G.O. Marine No 166 d/7

Brought forward " "		
	nil	
	nil	
1	1 Superintendent 2d class " " "	
1	Head Lightkeeper 1st class " " "	
1	Lightkeeper 1st class " " "	
1	_Do_ 2d class " " "	
1	_Do_ 3d class " " "	
	Provision allowance for the 4 lightkeepers at Re 1 each " " "	
	Total Rs " " "	
	Carried forward " " "	

Brought forward " " "

1 Head Lightkeeper
3d class " " "
Charge allowance " " "
1 Lightkeeper 2d class " " "
1 — Do — 3d class " " "

Total Rs " " "

1 Head Lightkeeper
and Hd. Signaller " " "
1 Lightkeeper &
Signaller " " "
1 — Do — Do — " " "

Total Rs " " "

1 Superintendent 2d class " " "
1 Hd. Lightkeeper 2d class " " "
1 Lightkeeper 1st class " " "
1 — Do — 2d class " " "
1 — Do — 3d class " " "
Provision allowance for the 4 Lightkeepers at Re1 each } " "
Total Rs " " "

Carried forward " " "

128
" 20 "
" 100 "
" 15 "
" 9 "
" *9 "
" *9 "
" 7 "
" 5 "
" 154 "
" 70 "
" 12 "
" 9 "
" *9 "
" *9 "
" 7 "
" 6 "
" 121 "
" 1295 "

Armeghr

See last page

Point Divi

Brought forward " "

1 Superintendent
3d class " " "
Charge allowance " " "
1 Hd. Lightkeeper
1st class " " "
1 — Do — 2d class " " "
1 Lightkeeper
1st class " " "
1 — Do — 3d class " " "

Total Rs " "

1 Head Lightkeeper
3d class " " "
1 Lightkeeper
2d class " " "

Total Rs " " "

Carried forward " " "

* The higher rates of pay drawn under G.O. Marine No. 166 d/

Brought f ,, ,,

Nil 10 ,,

* 9 ,,

Nil 19 ,,

Masulipatam

Sacramento Shoal

1	1 Superintendent 2nd class ,, ,, ,,	15 ,,
1	Head Lightkeeper 1st class ,, ,, ,,	2 ,,
1	Lightkeeper 1st class ,, ,, ,,	12 ,,
1	—Do— 2nd class ,, ,, ,,	
1	—Do— 3rd class ,, ,, ,,	
	Provision allowance for the 4 lightkeepers at Re 1 each ,, ,, ,,	2 ,,
		31 ,,
	Total Rs ,, ,, ,,	
	Carried forward ,, ,, ,,	1345 ,,

See last page

* Higher rates of pay drawn under G.O. Marine

Brought forward

1 Lighthouse Mechanic ,, ,, ,,

,, ,, ,,

Total present scale ,, ,, ,,

* Higher rates of pa

Presy Port Office
Madras 13th April 97.

Marine Department.

No 3968 11 June 1897

Memorandum.

In connection with the reorganization of the Light House Establishment now before Government, the accompanying comparative statement showing,

(a) present

(b) revised, and

(c) commencing scales

has been drawn up. There is, however, some uncertainity in regard to (c) - commencing scale - as the intentions of the Presy. Port Officer have not been clearly expressed in all cases -e.g.-there are no men on the existing scale whose pay corresponds exactly with the appointments marked (d) on the commencing scale-and as the Govt did not intend to sanction increase of pay to any of the existing men simply to work up to scale, or to give increased pay to any one unless selected for the charge of apparatus requiring higher qualifications, (each case to be specially submitted for orders), it is not clear how these new appointments are to be filled up.

2. The commencing scale as now drawn up also assumes that the two

appointments on Rs.20 will be filled by the present men, b(i), on the same rate of pay, but there is nothing to show that this is the intention of the Presidency Port Officer. Information on this point should also be afforded.

3. The remainder, (f), must depend on what is decided as to filling up the appointments marked (d), i.e. whether by existing, or new, men.

4. The undersigned is directed to request that the Presy. Port Officer will be so good as to examine the statement, and revise it, if necessary, in the light of the above remarks.

W.H

For M.

To

The P.P.O. with 1 statement to be returned.

11/6/97

Marine (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 888

From

The Presidency Port Off
Madra

Order

No. 1271/231 G

Dated 18 June 1897.

Received }
Registered } 23-6-97

Enclosures 1.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Returning with remarks statement in connection with the reorganisation of the Lighthouse Establishment.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
396E	11-6-97
350 mar	12-4-97
207E	20-3-97
197 mar	4-3-97
190 mar	3-3-97
75 mar	27-1-97
121 mar	13-2-97
53E	26-1-97
10 mar	4-1-97
878 mar	19-12-96

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
796E 922	6/97

No.1271/ 231 G

Presidency Port Office,
Madras, 18 June, 1897.

From

Commander H. A. Street, R.I.M.,
Presidency Port Officer,
M A D R A S,

To

The Secretary to Government,
Marine Department,
C H E P A K.

Sir,

With respect to Marine Department Memorandum, No.396 E, dated 11th June 1897, (Enclosure herewith returned) I have the honour to refer you to the information contained in para + 8 of this office letter, No. 1271/14,G, dated 19th January 1897, respecting my intentions in regard to the changes to be made on the revised lighthouse establishment being brought into force, but as more detailed information is now required in connection with the points a-b.b i-c-d& e specified in statement A forwarded with the Memorandum above quoted, I have to state as follows;-

+ This was not overlooked. R.S.

(a) Mr. Cotterill, formerly Lighthouse Mechanic and now Superintendent Madras Lighthouse, will be appointed one of the Inspectors on Rs.100-150. As he is one of the 3 Superintendents drawing Rs.100 per mensem, the remaining two will be absorbed as Head keepers on Rs.70 with personal allowance of Rs.30 each.

(b) The Superintendents of the lights marginally specified x - 6 in Number - will be made Head keepers on the new rates of pay-Rs.70 per mensem - but the Head keeper of Vakalapudi will receive as a personal allowance Rs.10 per mensem

x
Vakalapudi
Seven Pagodas
Pulicat
Point Divi
Hope Island
Santipilly

mensem to compensate him for loss of emoluments- See para 8 of this office letter, No.1271/14, G, of 19th January 1897. The post of Head keeper at Madras will be filled by the present Superintendent of Calingapatam Lighthouse who now receives Rs.50 per mensem plus Rs. 20 charge allowance- and I propose that this man should be made a Head keeper 3rd Grade on Rs.60 per mensem on account of his previous service and his being qualified for promotion and to receive in addition the allowance of Rs.10 sanctioned in G.O., Marine, No. 75, of 27th January 1897 in lieu of free quarters. This transfer will remove the difficulty that would be otherwise experienced in bringing the establishment at Calingapatam into accordance with the new scheme.

GO 75 d 27/1/97

(b i) The two men on Rs.20 should, in my opinion, be promoted to the Grade of Relief keeper on Rs.40 per mensem as their previous experience qualifies them for the post. This would enable the two best keepers on Rs.15 to be promoted to Rs.20.

(c) For information respecting the Lighthouse Mechanic- See (b) of this letter.

(a)?

(d) I have explained this- See above.

(e) I have recommended that Mr.Cotterill should start on Rs.150 per mensem on account of his previous service- The Junior Inspector could start on Rs. 100 but Mr.Ashpital is greatly in favor of both men starting on Rs.150 and I agree with him if we are to get a good man for the Junior billet.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Obedient Servant,

Commander, R.I.M.,
Presidency Port Officer.

---

179 m

Marine Department.

Memorandum.

From the Presy. Port Officer, Madras, No. 1271/231 G. dated 18th June 1897.

No. 441 Ed 1st July 1897

The undersigned is directed to forward the accompanying statement showing what is understood to be the Presidency Port Officer's "commencing scale" as compared with the "present scale" of the Lighthouse Establishments. It is requested that the statement may be verified and resubmitted with any remarks which may be considered necessary.

2. The index letters show how the present men are drafted into the new scale.

30/6/97

Note:

It seems desirable that the P.P.O.'s intentions should be made quite clear. They are not so, at present. And as the orders of Govt. require that all increases under the new scale shall be sanctioned in detail, it is necessary to identify the men who pass to receive promotion.

R.S.

To The P.P.O. with 1 statement to be returned.

D/1/7/97

Marine (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 964

Print vide 922

34

From

The Presidency Port office
Madra

Order

No. $\frac{1271}{259}$ G

Dated 10th July 1897

Received
Registered } 13.7.97

Enclosures 1.

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Returning the statement in connection with the revision of Lighthouse Establishments.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
For back papers vide 441 E of 1-7-97. & G.O. 556 Marine 19-6-97 & the papers marked on back	

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
7922	[illegible]

.1271/259 G

Presidency Port Office,
Madras, 10th July, 1897.

35 P

From

Commander H. A. Street, R.I.M.,
Presidency Port Officer,
MADRAS,

To

The Secretary to Government,
Marine Department,
CHEPAK.

Sir,

With reference to Marine Department Memorandum No.441,E, dated 1st July 1897, I have the honour to return the statement received therewith which is correct except as regards 3 items, viz, (a) the personal allowance of Rupees 5 entered in the commencing scale against the three 2nd Class Lighkeepers should be Rupees 10 and not 5; the total however is correct; (b) in the present scale, Column 2, the total charge allowance should be Rupees 40, of which sum Rupees 20 will be absorbed in the new scale by the transfer of the Calingapatam Superintendent to Madras as proposed by me, leaving Rupees 20 only as unaffected; and (c) the total provision allowance in Column 2, present scale, should be Rupees 40 instead of 38.

The personal allowance is correct - but the pay should be Rs 65 & not Rs 60. to accord with the revised scale.

2. I forward herewith a Statement showing in one view my proposals and the existing scale.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Obedient Servant,
H A Street
Commander, R.I.M.,
Presidency Port Officer.

Lighthouse Establishment

A

Scale proposed for Government Sanction.

No.	Designation	Rate of Pay	Total
	Head Lightkeepers		
5	1st class	70	350
4	2d do	65	260
4	3d do	60	240
13			850
	Lightkeepers		
10	1st Class 1st Grade	50	500
10	do 2d do	45	450
10	do 3d do	40	400
8	2d do 1st Grade	20	160
4	" do 2d do	15	60
8	" do 3d do	12	96
9	" do 4th do	10	90
11	" do 5th do	9	99
70			1855
14	Lascars	7	98
5	Boatmen	8	40
4	Relief Keepers	40	160
2	Inspectors (100-150)	137½	275
25	Provision allowance for 24 men	5	120
108	Allowance in lieu of free quarters for 3 men at Madras	10	30
			723
	Total Proposed		3428

B

Present Scale

No.	Designation		Rate of Pay	Total
3	Superintendents, 1st Cl Muttum 1, Madras 1, and Armeghon 1		100	300
1	Lighthouse Mechanic		80-100	95
6	Superintendents 2d C		70	420
	1 Seven Pagodas	70		
	1 Pulicat	70		
	1 Point Divi	70		
	1 Hope Island	70		
	1 Santopilly	70		
	1 Vakalapudy	70	350	
	Signalling allowance for Vakalapudi	70		10
~~2~~ 1	Superintendents 3d C ~~Manapad 1~~, Calingapatam 1		50	~~100~~ 50
	Charge allowance to Calingapatam Manapad is left unaffected			20
2	Hd Lightkeepers 1 C		15	30
2/15	Hd Lightkeepers & Signallers		20	40
	House Rent for Supdt: Madras			25

Present scale unaffected by the commencing scale.

No.	Designation		Rate of Pay	Total
1			50	50
4	Hd Lightkeepers	1st C	15	60
7	do	2d C	12	84
15	do	3d C	10	150
12	Lightkeepers	1st C	9	108
17	do	2d C	9	153
3	do	2d C	8	24
8	do	3d C	9	72
4	do	3d C	8	32
13	do	3d C	7	91
			11	11
			10	10
			9	27
			8	8
			7	14
			8	8
				20
				40
				962
				1,952

C

Commencing scale as proposed by the Presidency Port Officer (i.e) Immediately to take effect

C as compared with B

No.	Designation	Rate of Pay	Total	Increase	Decrease	
2	Hd Lightkeepers 1st C Personal allowance Muttum 70 + 30 = 100 Armeghon 70 + 30 = 100	70 30	200		100	~~Madras reduced~~
+2	Inspectors (100-150)	100	200	200	95	Lighthouse Mechanic Abolished; 2 Inspectors newly created (Presy ~~both these men to start on 150; one of them to be Mr.~~
1	Hd Lightkeeper 1st C Personal allowance For Vakalapudi	70 10	80			Personal allowance of Rs 10 is to prevent loss to ~~This Rs 10/. is with a view not to affect the present man's pay.~~
2	Hd Lightkeepers 1st C 7 Pagodas Pulicat	70	140			
3	Hd Lightkeepers ~~3d~~ 2nd C Personal allowance Point Divi, Hope Island and Santopilly	~~60~~ 65 ~~10~~ 5	210			These Personal allowances of Rs 5 are to prevent loss to present men ~~3 men are allowed a pay of Rs 60 plus Personal allowance of~~ ~~to affect their present pay.~~ Future incumbents wi ~~Personal allowance.~~
+1	Head Lightkeeper 3d C House Rent This is for Madras Manapad is left unaffected	60 10	70			Increase of pay 10 House Rent 10 } This is for Madras Now the Calingapatam man gets Rs 50 of 20 (=70) By bringing him to Madras on 60 + 10 his emo
+2	Lightkeepers 1 C 3d Grade House Rent This is for Madras	40 10	100	100		These men are for Madras, and the places created newly, and new men a
+1	Lightkeeper 1 C 3d Grade	40	40	40		This is for Calingapatam, and a new man is to be appointed ~~for the~~
+2	do 2 C ~~3d~~ 1st Grade	20	40	10		2 fifteen Rupee men promoted to 20 each. These are for Caling
2	Relief Keepers	40	80	40		2 Twenty Rupee men promoted to 40 each.
18	Done away with				25	
			1,160	390	220	
				170 net.		
93	Add unaffected portion of the present scale.		962			
111	Total Commencing Scale		2,122			
108	Deduct present scale		1,952			
3	Net increase		170			

N.B.

(i.e.) 4 New men will be appointed.
1 Inspector.
2 for Madras.
1 " Calingapatam.

The Lighthouse Mechanic will be abolished – The only me
[illegible] cost which includes 6 pontoons –

Presy. Port Office,
Madras, 12th July 189

H A Street
Commander, R.I.,
Presy. Port Office

Lighthouse Establishment PAPERS.

Reorganization of Light-house Establishments

Marine ~~Public Works~~ Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the

Read the following

Read with prob. statement

From the Presidency Port Officer, Madras, No. 1271/84 G., dated 12th March 1897.

The Proposition Statement is approved, and will be forwarded to the Government of India for sanction.

To

The P.P.O.

,, Accountant-General.

,, Finl. Department.

~~His Hon. Mr. [illegible]~~

The case should be set out somewhat more fully, so that a person reading the letter may be able to understand what is proposed, and why, without necessarily looking at one of the accompanying docts.

17/5/97

Revised draft submitted accordingly.

26/5/97

The Chief Secretary, Financial Dept. for [illegible]

Recd 7-5-97 RS

H.M. 14-5-97 R. 18-5-97

H. E. No. Marine, dated May 1897.

To

The Secretary to the

Government of India,

Dept. of Finance & Commerce

Sir,

I am directed to forward for the sanction of the Government of India, the accompanying Proposition Statement which provides for the reorganization of the Light house Establishments of this Presidency at an ultimate increased cost of Rs. 1476 per mensem.

2. The Government of India is already aware of the circumstances under which this reorganization has been proposed, a copy of Mr. Ashpitels report on the Light houses of this Presidency and the Proceedings of this Government thereon having been

transmitted with my endorsement No. 246 Marine dated 8th April 1896. In para 10 of the Proceedings in question His Excellency the Governor in Council arrived at the conclusion that an early reorganization of the light establishments of this Presidency had become necessary, and he expressed his willingness to consider proposals based on Mr. Ashpitel's scheme.

3. The scheme has since been worked out in detail as will be seen on reference to the Proceedings of this Government, quoted in the margin copies of which are attached for reference.

No. 733 Marine dated 24-10-96
" 75 - " - 27-1-97.

4. The revised scheme provides for dividing the establishment into classes and grades, so as to secure, as far as possible, an even flow of promotion. It includes a reserve of 4 Relief Keepers and makes provision for an inspecting staff consisting of 2 Inspectors on Rs. 100-10-150 each. The more important lights would, as a matter of administrative convenience, fall to the charge of the higher paid Keepers, but the pay would be personal and not local as at present. The revised scale would be introduced gradually, as laid down in para 8 of G.O. 733 Marine 24th October 1896, and para 8 of the Presidency Port Officer's letter recorded with G.O. 75 Marine dated 27th January 1897.

5. The

5. The Accountant General advises that the sanction of the Government of India to the proposed revision is necessary under Article 93(iii) of the C.S.R. I am accordingly to request that the proposals may be laid before His Excellency the Governor General in Council and that orders thereon may be communicated as early as practicable.

I have &c.

7/5/97

M.

R.S.

This clashes with para 4. What has particularly to be brought out is that it is not intended to give more pay until it is necessitated by the introduction of new lights for the working of which a better class of men is requisite — So the talk of introducing the scheme this year or next year is most misleading — There is no chance of its being fully introduced for 4 or 5 years, if so soon

6. I am to add that no special budget provision has been made and the question has not yet been considered. It will rest with the Government to decide, after sanction has been received, whether, having regard to other demands on the surplus balances of Port Funds, the scheme can be introduced this, or must be deferred to next, year.

R.S.

11/5/97

13/5/97

[G. / 73]
2,000—25-11-96.

Financial Dept

NOTE FOR THE Marine Dept.

Please see articles 158 to 160 of the C.A. Code. It seems desirable that the ~~draft~~ letter should mention whether any provision has been made ~~in~~ ~~the~~ for the increased expendre in the Budget Estimates for the current year and, if so, to what extent.

Cw.S
8.5-97

10/5/98

Note for the Financial Dept.
Para 6 has been added to the draft accordingly

12/5/97

R.S.

M

The draft is now counter-initialled

Cw.S
13.5.97

13/5/97

Reorganization of Light House Establishments

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

(Revised draft)

READ the following

From the Presidency Port Officer, Madras, No. 1271/84 G., dated 12th March 1897.

~~The Hon Mr. Bliss C. I. E.~~

The proposition statement is approved, and will be forwarded to the Government of India for sanction.

To The P.P.O.
,, Accountant General.
,, Financial Department.

H.E. No. Marine, dated May 1897.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of India,
Dept. of Finance & Commerce.

Sir,

I am directed to forward for the sanction of the Government of India, the accompanying Proposition Statement which provides for the reorganization of the light house Establishments of this Presidency at an ultimate increased cost of Rs. 1476 per mensem.

2. The question of improving the coast lights of this Presidency and reorganizing the establishment ~~connecting them~~ has long engaged the attention of the Madras Government. In August 1895 Mr. F.W. Ashpitel

Is the second table in para 3 correct? I doubt. It provides 8 3rd Light Keepers on Rs 70 — but the first table in that para, that is, the full scale ~~[illegible]~~ provides for only 5 men on Rs 70 — apparently the second table should contain

2 men on Rs 70 with p.a. of 30 each
1 man .. .. 10 "
2 men .. .. 70 .. .. 5 "
3 " .. 65
~~8~~

Then, why 2 Inspectors on Rs 150? We have 1 man, I think, who is now called the Lighthouse Mechanic, who is to get this. But the other man should begin on Rs 100, shouldn't he?

HB
27/5/97

(40—4,000—2-11-95.)

H.M. 26.5.97 R 27.5.97

Mr. F. W. Ashpitel, Executive Engineer P. W. Department, who had recently studied light house construction and illumination in Europe, was specially deputed to inspect and report on the lights and on the organization and housing of the establishment employed in working them. Mr. Ashpitel submitted a very elaborate report, a copy of which was laid before the Government of India with my Endorsement No. 246 Marine dated 8th April 1896. He considered the existing establishment generally inefficient, underpaid, and ill-organized; and he proposed that men of a better class and on higher salaries should be entertained, and that the men should be brought on two separate lists according to the class of light they were to take charge of. His Excellency the Governor in Council, while agreeing as to the necessity for the reorganization of the establishment and the improvement of the pay and qualifications of light keepers, preferred to bring the men on one list for purposes of promotion. The scheme now sent up is the result of prolonged and careful consideration of details as will be seen on reference to Proceedings of this Government quoted in the margin, copies of which are attached for reference.

733 Marine dated 24-10-96.
75 -do- dated 27-1-97.

3. The

---

3. The following is an abstract of the revised scale:-

Number.	Class.	Rate of pay.	Total.	
		RS.	RS.	RS.
	Head Lightkeepers.			
5	Head lightkeepers, 1st class	70	350	
4	,, 2nd ,, ...	65	260	
4	,, 3rd ,, ...	60	240	850
13				
	Lightkeepers, 1st Class.			
10	Lightkeepers, 1st class, 1st grade	50	500	
10	,, ,, 2nd ,, ...	45	450	
10	,, ,, 3rd ,, ...	40	400	
			~~[illegible]~~	~~1,350~~
30				
	Lightkeepers, 2nd Class.			
8	Lightkeepers, 2nd class, 1st grade	20	160	
4	,, ,, 2nd ,, ...	15	60	
8	,, ,, 3rd ,, ...	12	96	
9	,, ,, 4th ,, ...	10	90	
11	,, ,, 5th ,, ...	9	99	1855 ~~505~~
40				
	Inspection Staff and Relief.			
2	Inspectors 100-150.x 137.½ Each	137½ ~~150~~	275 ~~300~~	
4	Relief keepers, 1st class	40	160	435 ~~460~~
6				
	Additional Establishment.			
14	Lascars	7	98	
5	Boatmen	8	40	138
19				
	Total ..	..	..	~~3,303~~ [illegible]
	Provision Allowance.			
	~~Muttum (3 men)~~ for 24 men at Rs 5/- Each ..	- ~~5~~	- - ~~15~~	120
	~~Manapad (3 men)~~	~~5~~	~~15~~	
	~~Seven Pagodas (3 men)~~ House rent for 3 men ..	~~5~~	~~15~~	
	~~Armeghon (3 men)~~	~~5~~	~~15~~	
	~~Point Divi (3 men)~~ @ Rs 10/- Each	~~5~~	~~15~~	- - 30
	~~Sacramento (3 men)~~	~~5~~	~~15~~	
	~~Vakalapudi (3 men)~~	~~5~~	~~15~~	
	~~Santapalli (3 men)~~	~~5~~	~~15~~	~~120~~
	Total ..	..	..	~~3,423~~ 3428 -

The scale as thus drawn up will, it is hoped, secure an even flow of promotion and attract a better class of men than is now employed. It includes a reserve of 4 relief keepers and makes provision for an inspecting staff consisting of 2 Inspectors on Rs. 100-10-150 each. The more important lights would, as a matter of administrative convenience, fall to the charge of higher paid keepers, but the pay would be personal and not local as at present. It is not intended to give immediate effect to the revised scale. The commencing scale will be as follows:-

	Rs.
2 Head light keepers on Rs.70, with a personal allowance of Rs.30 each per mensem.	200
1 Head light keeper on Rs.70, with a personal allowance of Rs.10 per mensem.	80
~~5~~ 2 Head Light keepers, Rs.70 each. --- ---	~~350~~ 140
3 - do — do. - 65 with a personal all: of Rs 5. —	210
1 Head light keeper, Rs.60 --- ---	60
3 Light keepers, 1st class, Rs.40 each. ---	120
2 - do - 2nd class, Rs.20 each ---	40
2 Inspectors, Rs. 100 ~~150~~ each ---	200 ~~300~~
2 Relief-Keepers, Rs.40 each ---	80
~~Total~~ Total	~~1,230.~~ 1087
	2217

the remainder 96 being left as at present. The personal allowances are proposed in order to bring the ~~pay of~~ salaries of the recipients up to the rates they now draw

4. The men left ungraded will not be brought on the revised scale until (1) their fitness for employment under the new conditions is proved and (2) new and complicated apparatus are introduced necessitating more responsibility and a better class of men to work it. Each case ~~must~~ will be submitted separately for the special sanction of Government.

5. The Accountant General advises that the sanction of the Government of India to the proposed revision is necessary under Article 93(iii) of the C.S. Regulations. I am accordingly to request that the proposals may be laid before His Excellency the Governor General in Council and the orders thereon may be communicated as early as practicable.

6. I am to add that no special budget provision has been made for the excess cost of the new scale which, it will be seen, is only to be brought into effect gradually, no increase of pay being granted to light keepers until it is necessitated by the introduction of new lights for the working of which a better ~~of~~ class of men is requisite.

I have &c.

25/5/97

M.

Reorganization of
Light house Establishments

C No 616

Note for the Honourable Mr. Bliss, C.I.E.

With reference to the Honourable Member's remarks, dated 27th May 1897, on the revised draft order, a comparative statement × has now been drawn up showing what seems to be the intention as regards the commencing scale.

× A

2. Special attention is invited to the appointments and note marked (d) There are no men on the existing scale whose pay corresponds exactly with the pay of these appointments, and there is nothing to show whether the Presidency Port Officer intends to absorb into them, men now on the establishment, or whether he wishes to entertain new men. The commencing scale is drawn up on the latter assumption.

Better ask the P.P.O. – Denting didn't intend to sanction any increase of pay to any of the existing men or any increased pay to any one well selected for the charge of apparatus requiring higher qualification
B.S

3. (f) shows the remaining men now on the establishment on the supposition that the men marked (d) are all new men.

4. The commencing scale also assumes that the two appointments on Rs. 20 will be filled by the present men (b i) on the same rate of pay; but whether this is the intention of the Presidency Port Officer ~~[illegible]~~ is not clear.

W.H.

For M. 3.6.97

Better ask
B.S
5/6/97

R.S.

H. 3.6.97 R. 9.6.97

A

Lighthouse Establishments - Comparative Statement.

Present scale				Revised scale				Commencing scale			
No.	Designation	Rate of pay	Total	No.	Designation	Rate of pay	Total	No.	Designation	Rate of pay	Total.
		Rs	Rs			Rs	Rs			Rs	Rs
3	(a) Superintendents 1st class	100	300	5	Head Lightkeepers 1st class	70	350	2	(a) Head Lightkeepers 1st class	70	
6.	(b) Do 2nd Do	70	420						Personal allowance each	30	200
2	Do 3rd Do	50	100					1.	(b) Head Lightkeeper 1st class	70	
6	Head Lightkeepers 1st class	15	90						Personal allowance	10	80
7	Do 2nd Do	12	84					2	(b) Head Lightkeepers 1st class	70	140
15	Do 3rd Do	10	150					3	(b) Do 2nd class	65	
12	Lightkeepers 1st Do	9	108	4	Do 2nd class	65	260		Personal allowance each	5	210
17	Do 2nd Do	9	153	4	Do 3rd class	60	240	1	(d) Head Lightkeeper 3rd class	60	60
3	Do 2nd Do	8	24	10	Lightkeepers 1st class 1st grade	50	500	2	(a) and (c) Inspectors (100-150)	(e) 100	200
8	Do 3rd Do	9	72	10	Do 1st Do 2nd Do	45	450	3	(d) Lightkeepers 1st class 3rd grade	40	120
4	Do 3rd Do	8	32	10	Do 1st Do 3rd Do	40	400	2	(b i) Do 2nd Do 1st Do	20	40
13	Do 3rd Do	7	91	8	Do 2nd Do 1st Do	20	160	2	(d) Relief Keepers	40	80
2	(b.i) Head Lightkeepers & Signallers	20	40	4	Do 2nd Do 2nd Do	15	60				1130
1	Light Keeper & Signaller	11	11	8	Do 2nd Do 3rd Do	12	96				
1	Do	10	10	9	Do 2nd Do 4th Do	10	90	96	(f) add Cost of the remaining establishment under the present scale which remains unaffected		1087
3	Do	9	27	11	Do 2nd Do 5th Do	9	99				
1	Do	8	8	2	Inspectors (100-150)	137½	275				
2	Water boat lascars	7	14	4	Relief Keepers 1st class	40	160				
1	Flag signaller	8	8	14	Lascars	7	98				
1	(c) Lighthouse mechanic	80-100	95	5	Boatmen	8	40				
	Charge allowance		42	*	Provision allowance for 24 men at Rs 5 each		120				
	Provision allowance		38		House rent for 3 men at Rs 10 each		30				
	Signalling allowance		10								
	House rent		25								
108	Total		1952	108	Total		3428	114	Total		2217
									Net increase per mensem Rs		265

Note (a) There are at present 3 Superintendents on Rs 100 each per mensem. Two of these will be absorbed in the revised scale as Head Lightkeepers on Rs 70 with a personal allowance of Rs 30 each, and the third man will, it is presumed, be appointed as one of the Inspectors on Rs 100-150 in accordance with para 15 of G.O. 733 Marine d/ 24th October 1896.

(b) The six Superintendents on Rs 70 under the present scale will be absorbed in the revised scale thus:- one on Rs 70 with personal allowance of Rs 10, two on Rs 70 each, and three on Rs 65 with personal allowance of Rs 5 each.

(b.i) The two men on Rs 20 should also, it is thought, be absorbed in the new appointments on the same rate of pay.

(c) The present Lighthouse mechanic on Rs 80-100 will be one of the Inspectors on Rs 100 under the commencing scale.

(d) For the following appointments under the commencing scale, viz 1 Head Lightkeeper on Rs 60, 3 Lightkeepers on Rs 40 each and 2 Relief Keepers on Rs 40 each there are no corresponding appointments under the present scale, and there is nothing definite to show how the Presidency Port Officer intends to fill these appointments i.e whether by men now on the establishment or by the entertainment of new men. The above commencing scale however, provides for new men in these appointments.

(e) Both the Inspectors will start on Rs 100 each.

(f) These are the remainder now on the establishment.

R J 2 June 97

W.H

A

C u 964

Not to print

G.
125
1,000—8-5-97.

Marine DEPARTMENT.

C. No.s. 964 and 972 of 1897.

~~H. E. THE GOVERNOR.~~

THE HON'BLE ~~MR.~~ Sir Henry BLISS K.C.I.E.

~~THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.~~

SUBJECT.

Revision of Lighthouse Establishment

FOR ORDERS.

The final result of the correspondence with the Presy. Port Officer in regard to the Commencing Scale of the Lighthouse Establishments is embodied in Statement B attached to C. No. 964. The red ink corrections have been made in the Secretariat and are explained as follows:-

Column C - 3 Head Light Keepers-~~3rd~~ 2 class. The Presy. Port Officer proposes to fix the pay of these men at Rs. 60 and to give them a personal allowance of Rs. 10 each. They draw Rs. 70 at present, and as the revised scale provides for 4 men on Rs. 65, it seems right that they should be put into this grade and their personal allowance reduced to Rs. 5.

Column B - 2 Superintendents 3rd class. The Superintendent on Rs. 50 at Manapad is unaffected. He should therefore be brought down, and shown under "Present Scale unaffected by Commencing Scale".

H. M. 20.7.97 R 22-7-97

Scale". The "unaffected" portions of the Scales in columns B and C now balance-viz 93 men costing Rs. 962.

2. The result is a net increase under Commencing Scale of 3 men costing Rs. 170.

3. As a fact 4 new men are to brought in - viz,

1 Inspector on Rs. 100

2 men for Madras on Rs. 40+10 house rent, and

1 man for Calingapatam on Rs. 40.

4. The second Inspectorship falls to Mr. Cotterill who now holds one of the Superintendentships on Rs. 100.

5 The appointment of Lighthouse Mechanic will be abolished.

6. The following promotions are also provided for under the Commencing Scale-

2 men on Rs. 15 rise to Rs. 20 and are posted to Calingapatam.

2 men on Rs. 20 rise to Rs. 40 and are made Relief Keepers.

7. The Presy. Port Officer recommends that the two Inspectors on Rs. 100-150 should start on the maximum pay of their grade, but pending orders of Govt. the Commencing Scale does not provide for these maximum rates of pay.

8. In the second current read above, No. 972, the Presy. Port Officer offers to come to Ootacamund, if necessary, to give personal explanation in regard to this matter of establishment and the distribution of oil, the subject of which is dealt with in the linked file.

20/7/97

M.

This seems to me absurd — It is useless to talk of the pay being 100—10—150, if the men are to be put in on Rs 150

KS

This is a d.o. — But d.o.s are not brought on the current+ register — I don't think he need come up here

KS

22/7/97

+ A separate Register for d.o.s will be opened — They have not hitherto ++

++ been brought on the Current Register in the P.W. Dept. and no inconvenience has resulted from the practice. The [illegible] never appears in Gov: of Fort. but on a separate series

23 July 97

R.S.

Sir Henry Bliss K.C.I.E.

[G/24.]
1,000—14-6-97.

Chr
B 29 July 97

MARINE **DEPARTMENT.**

Papers to be read in proceedings :—

Again G.O., No.	dated	189 .
Again G.O., No.	dated	189 .
Current No. 616	of 1897, dated 30th April 1897, from	the Presy. Port Officer & Acct. General
„ „ 888	„ 1897, „ 23rd June 1897, „	the Presy. Port Officer.
„ „ 964	„ 1897, „ 13th July 1897, „	- do -

of Lighthouse
ishment

ORDER. 679 Mar 29th July 1897

2817/97
L.C.

The proposition statement is approved, and will be forwarded to the Government of India for sanction. On such sanction being received, the Presidency Port Officer will be authorised to introduce the scheme only so far as the following Commencing Scale admits:-

o.	Designation	Rate of pay	Total	Remarks
2	Hd. Light Keepers - 1st Class.	70	200	
	Personal allowance Muttom 70+30 = 100 Armeghon 70+30 = 100	30		
2	Inspectors (100–⑩–150)	100	200	Lighthouse Mechanic abolished; 2 Inspectors newly created.
1	Hd. Light Keeper - 1st Class	70	80	~~Personal allowance of Rs. 10 is to prevent loss to present man.~~
	Personal allowance For Vakalapudi.	10		
2	Hd. Light Keepers - 1st Class Seven Pagodas Pulicat	70	140	
3	Hd. Light Keepers - 2nd Class	65	210	These Personal allowances ~~of Rs. 5~~ are to prevent loss to present ~~men.~~ incumbents
	Personal allowance Point Divi, Hope Island and Saptopilly	5		
		4	4	

21

1	Hd. Light Keeper - 3rd Class House rent For Madras.	60 10	70	Increase of pay 10 House rent 10 The Calingapatam man now gets Rs. 50 plus charge allowance of 20 (=70). By bringing him to Madras on 60+10 his emoluments will be the same.
2	Light Keepers-1st Class, 3rd grade House rent For Madras	40 10	100	New man has to be appointed.
1	Light Keeper-1st Class, 3rd grade	40	40	For Calingapatam, a new man has to be appointed.
2	- do - 2nd Class, ~~3rd~~ grade	20	40	Two 15-rupee men promoted to Rs 20 each. For Calingapatam.
2	Relief Keepers	40	80	Two twenty-rupee men promoted to Rs 40 each.
18			1160	
93	Add unaffected portion of the present scale		962	
1 11	Total Commencing Scale		2122	
1 08	Deduct Present Scale		1952	
3	Net increase		170	

2. It will be observed from the order quoted in the margin* that so far as future increases are concerned, it is not intended to give more pay until it is necessitated by the introduction of new lights for the working of which a better class of men is requisite, and that each case must be submitted separately for the sanction of Govt.

* G.O.733 Marine dated 24th Oct. 1896, para 8. Flag-X

3. His Excellency the Governor in Council is not prepared to accept the Presy. Port Officer's recommendation that the two Inspectors provided for in the reorganised Establishment ~~on the revised scale~~ should start on the maximum pay of their grade. They must start on the minimum in accordance with rule.

21/7/97

37

M.

To

The P.P.O.
,, Accountant General, through
the Financial Dept.

& 21/8/97

H.E. No. 680 Marine dated 29 July 1897

To

The Secretary to the
Government of India,
Finance & Commerce Dept.

Sir,

I am directed to forward for the sanction of the Government of India, the accompanying Proposition Statement which provides for the reorganization of the Lighthouse Establishments of this Presidency at an ultimate increased cost of Rs. 1476 per mensem.

2. The question of improving the coast lights of this Presidency and reorganizing the establishment has long engaged the attention of the Madras Government. In August 1895, Mr. F. W. Ashpitel, Executive Engineer P. W. Department, who had recently studied lighthouse construction and illumination in Europe, was specially deputed to inspect and report on the lights and on the organization and

housing of the establishment employed in working them. Mr. Ashpitel submitted a very elaborate report, a copy of which was laid before the Government of India with my Endorsement No. 246, Marine, dated 8th April 1896. He considered the existing establishment generally inefficient, underpaid, and ill-organized; and he proposed that men of a better class and on higher salaries should be entertained, and that they should be brought on two separate lists according to the class of light they were to take charge of. His Excellency the Governor in Council, while agreeing as to the necessity for the reorganization of the establishment and the improvement of the pay and qualifications of light keepers, preferred to bring the men on one list for purposes of promotion. The scheme now sent up is the result of prolonged and careful consideration of details as will be seen on reference to Proceedings of this Government quoted in the margin,* copies of which are attached for reference.

* 733 Marine dated 24-10-96.
75 - do - dated 27-1-97.

3. The following is an abstract of the revised scale:-

No.	Designation	Rate of pay	Total
2	Inspectors (100-10-150)	137½	275.
	Head Light Keepers		
5	1st Class	70	350
4	2nd -do-	65	260
4	3rd -do-	60	240
13			850
	Light Keepers		
40	1st Class, 1st grade	50	500
10	- do - 2nd grade	45	450
10	- do - 3rd grade	40	400
8	2nd -do- 1st grade	20	160
4	" -do- 2nd grade	15	60
8	" -do- 3rd grade	12	96
9	" -do- 4th grade	10	90
11	" -do- 5th grade	9	99
70			1855
4	Relief Keepers	40	160
14	Lascars	7	98
5	Boatmen	8	40
~~4~~	~~Relief Keepers~~	~~40~~	~~160~~
~~2~~	~~Inspectors (100-150)~~	~~137½~~	~~275~~
19			
108	Provision allowance for 24 men	5	120
	Allowance in lieu of free quarters for 3 men at Madras	10	30
			~~72[illegible]~~ 288
	Total proposed		3428

29-2 The scale as thus drawn up will, it is hoped, secure an even flow of promotion and attract a better class of men than is now employed. It includes a reserve of 4 Relief keepers and makes provision for an inspecting staff consisting of 2 Inspectors on Rs. 100-10-150 each. The more important lights ~~would~~ will, as a matter of administrative convenience, fall to the charge of the higher paid keepers, but the pay ~~would~~ will be personal, ~~and~~ not local as at present. It is not intended to give immediate effect to the revised scale. It will be ~~brought~~ introduced ~~into effect~~ gradually as new and complicated apparatus is ~~are~~ installed ~~introduced~~ necessitating more responsibility on the part of the Light Keepers. Each case of increase of pay or new appointment on the graded scale will be considered separately and specially sanctioned by Government.

4. The Commencing Scale will be as follows:- l.c.

No.	Designation	Rate of pay	Total	Remarks
2	Hd. Light Keepers - 1st Class Personal allowance Muttam 70+30=100 Agneghon 70+30=100	70 30	200	
2	Inspectors (100-150)	100	200	Lighthouse Mechanic abolished; 2 Inspectors newly created.
1	Hd. Light Keeper - 1st Class Personal allowance For Vakalapudi.	70 10	80	~~Personal allowance of Rs.10 is to prevent loss to present man.~~
2	Hd. Light Keepers - 1st Class Seven Pagodas Pulicat	70	140	
3	Hd. Light Keepers - 2nd Class Personal allowance Point Divi, Hope Island, and Santopilly	65 5	210	these Personal allowan~~ce of~~ ~~Rs.5~~ are to prevent loss to present ~~man.~~ incumbents
1	Hd. Light Keeper - 3rd Class House rent ~~This is~~ For Madras. ~~Keeper is left unaffected.~~	60 10	70	Increase of pay 10 House rent 10 ~~This is for Madras. Now~~ The Calingapatam man now gets Rs.50 plus charge allowance of 20 (=70). By bringing him to Madras on 60+10 his emoluments will be the same.
2	Light Keepers-1st Class, 3rd grade House rent ~~This is~~ For Madras	40 10	100	~~[illegible]~~ new men ~~are~~ to be appointed.
	Light Keeper-1st Class, 3rd grade	40	40	~~This is~~ For Calingapatam ~~and~~ a new man ~~is~~ to be appointed.
2	do - 2nd Class, 3rd grade	20	40	2 15 rupee men promoted to 20 each. ~~These are~~ For Calingapatam.
2	Relief Keepers	40	80	2 twenty rupee men promoted to 40 each.
18			1160	
9	Add unaffected portion of the present scale		962	
11	Total Commencing Scale		2122	
108	Deduct Present Scale		1952	
3	Net increase		170	

5. The Accountant General advises that the sanction of the Government of India to the proposed revision is necessary under Article 93(iii) of the C.S. Regulations. I am accordingly to request that the proposals may be laid before His Excellency the Governor General in Council, and that orders thereon may be communicated as early as practicable.

6. I am to add that no special budget provision has been made for the excess cost of the new sacle ~~xx~~ which, it will be seen, is only to be brought into effect gradually.

I have &c.

26/7/17

M.

[illegible] 26/8/17