

Marine (1897) Department.

G.O., No. 628 Mar

DATED 15 July 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Travelling allowance! Directing that the
clerk on account of — for the Port Surgeon
at Pamban proceeding to Rameswaram to
grant pratique to the S.S. "Patrick Stewart" of the
East India, be admitted against Port duties.

22-47

22/7/97

[illegible]

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Read the following:—

Letter from the Presidency Bot Office, Madras, No 249 B, d/ 6 July 1897

628 May 9 15 July 1897
The charge will be

The charge will be
admitted against Port
Funds under No 625, Sablii.
at 18 June 1888. Ramiswaran
being a ^{daily constable} Sabporch.

RS

15/7/99

Thrupp O. 20/7/97
- Anti G. 1

C No 950.

Department.

C.A.M.

Kindly see the notes
under section 12 of the
Sea Customs Act (Act
No VIII of 1878) in regard to
the formation of a sub-port.
The Act has been obtained
from the Revenue Dept.
for reference.

CMR
14.7.97

Is Rameswaram a Sub-
port? ~~Yes~~ This
is the information required.

R.S.
14 July 97

C.A.M.

Rameswaram is a Sub-
port. ~~There is no information~~
available as to the port to
which it is a sub-port (vide
page 24 of G.O. 776 Revenue, of
28th November 1895 herewith put up)

CMR
14.7.97

and as against the
Government of India-

Marine (1897) Department.

The Hon Secretary ~~British India~~ **CURRENT No.** 950

For orders.

The charge would
be made to fall against Port
Funds under para 1 of
G.O. 389 Marine of 28 April The Presidency Port office,
Madras
Order 1897.

From

No. 2494

R.S.

10/7/97

Is Ramerworn a port or
Sub Port? Just, the Health
Office no doubt proceeded
there for the convenience of the
vessel, which should pay - if
so, but funds should pay

Dated 6th July 1897

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

5-7-97

SUBJECT.

Requesting orders whether the
claim for travelling allowance
of the Port Surgeon at Pamban
for the purpose of granting
pratique to the S.S. "Patrick
Stewart" belonging to the
Public Works Department of
the Government of India should
form a charge against Port
Funds or against P.W.D. of the
Government of India:-

W.S.

12/7/97

It is a sub port. vide
G.O. 776 Rev. of 28 Nov 95.

15 July 97

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

No.	Date.
389 828-4-97	
195 84-3-97	
776 Rev. 828-11-95	

No.	Date.
	8

No. 2499

Heading.

PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICE,

Madras, 6th July 1897.

From

Commander H. A. Stuart R.I.M.

PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,

Madras,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

MARINE DEPARTMENT,

Chepak.

SIR,

The Port Surgeon at Panbaw pro-
-ceeded to Ramswaram and back a dis-
-tance of 8 miles both ways on the 13th and
14th March 1897 for the purpose of inspecting
and granting Pratique to the s.s. "Patrick
Stewart" belonging to the Public Works De-
-partment of the Government of India
and claimed a travelling allowance of
Rs. 8 for the journeys in question. As the vessel
was employed on service connected with the
department to which she belongs, I have
the honor to request that orders of Government
may be obtained as to whether the claim
for travelling allowance made should form

20 195
4397.

a charge against Port Funds or against
the Public Works Department of the Go-
-vernment of India.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your Obedient Servant

H. B. Threlkeld

Commander R.M.
Presidency Port Officer

9.11.18