Marine (1897) Department.

G.O., No. 613 mar

DATED 6 July 1897

13/7/97

[Despatch Abstract.]

Grant to Marlow of a deputation allowance:

Govt of India letter stating with remarks that they will not object to the proposed grant of Rs 60 per mensem to the period he was in charge of the dredger "Hemlock" in addition to a shore allowance of Rs. 4 per diem for the time spent ashore in Bombay and table money at Rs. 3 per diem for the number of days he took his meals on board, Comptr & the officers concerned.

20/4

[illegible] 13.7.97 13/7/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Government {1897} of Madras.

MARINE.

Recd. } 1897. *Enclosures*

Regd. *Spare copies*

G.O., No. 613, 10th July 1897.

JULY.

Grant to Mr. Carlyon of a Deputation allowance

Government of India letter stating, with remarks, that they will not object to the proposed — of Rs. 60 per mensem for the period he was in charge of the dredger "Wenlock" in addition to a shore allowance of Rs. 4 per diem for the time spent ashore in Bombay and table money at Rs. 3 per diem for the number of days he took his meals on board, communicated to the officers concerned.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper:—

From W. S. MEYER, Esq., Officiating Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Marine Department, dated Simla, the 30th June 1897, No. 2883 Ex.

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 384 (Marine), dated 28th April 1897, I am directed to say that, in the circumstances explained, the Government of India will not object to the proposed grant to Mr. Carlyon of a deputation allowance of Rs. 60 per mensem for the period, 27th November 1891 to 18th February 1892, during which he was in charge of the dredger "Wenlock," in addition to a shore allowance of Rs. 4 per diem for the time spent ashore in Bombay, and table money at Rs. 3 per diem for the number of days that he took his meals on board.

2. With reference to paragraph 1 (*c*) of Revenue Department Proceedings, Mis. No. 5056, dated 10th December 1896, a copy of which accompanied your letter quoted above, I am to point out that, as Mr. Swann had apparently not taken charge of the post of Agent for Government Consignments and Personal Assistant to the Presidency Port Officer (on Rs. 300 per mensem) at the time of his deputation to the Laccadives, he was not entitled, under article 98, Civil Service Regulations, to a salary of Rs. 300 per mensem, with deputation allowance calculated thereon for the period of his deputation. Article 98, Civil Service Regulations, covers the pay or salary which an officer would have *continued* to draw but for his deputation; but not the grant of extra pay (otherwise than by deputation allowance as therein provided) on the ground that he would have acted in a higher appointment had he not been placed on special duty. I am, however, to add that the Government of India do not desire to raise any objection to the effect of the order in question.

Copy forwarded to the Accountant-General, Madras, for information, in continuation of endorsement No. 3242 Ex., dated 5th August 1892.

Order—No. 613, Marine, dated 10th July 1897.

Communicated to the officers concerned and the Revenue Department.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Presidency Port Officer.
" Accountant-General.
" Revenue Department.

Exd. Shaik Karim.

Marine (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 946

II List UP

Order 613 Marine 10. July 1897

Communicated to the officers concerned and the Revenue Departments.

10/7/97

From The Govt of India Finance & Commerce Dept

No. 2883 Ex.

The P.S.O. ✓
" Accte Genl ✓
" Rev. Dept.

dest'd 27/7/97

Dated 30-6-97

Received 8-7-97

Enclosures -

Spare copies -

Entered ✓

SUBJECT.

Allowances to Mr Carlyon

Sanctioning the grant of certain allowances during which he was in charge of the dredger "Wenlock"

M 4.
1,000—8-8-96.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
558	9	Cy	6-97
557	9	Cy	6-97
421	9	4	5-97
303	4	9	28-4-97
114-15	9	11	2-97
25	9	7	1-97
170	9	11	6-95
158	9	12	1-95
160	9	1	5-94
112-139		3	4-94
295	9	16	8-92
249-50	9	19	2-92
164	9	17	5-92
117	9	16	4-92
~~#8#~~			
930W	9	29/4	/85

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 2883. Ex.

Government of India.

Finance and Commerce Department.

Simla, the 30th June 1897.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Madras
Marine Department.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 384 (marine), dated 28th April 1897, I am directed to say that in the circumstances explained the Government of India will not object to the proposed grant to Mr. Carlyon of a deputation allowance of Rs. 60/- per mensem for the period, 24th November 1891 to 18th February 1892, during which he was in charge of the dredger "Wenlock", in addition to a shore allowance of Rs 4/- per diem for the time spent ashore in Bombay and Calcutta, working at Rs 3/- per diem for

for the number of days that he
took his meals on board. 2P
2. With reference to paragraph
(c) of Revenue Department
Proceedings No. 5056 misc. dated
16th December 1896, a copy of which
accompanied your letter cited
above, I am to point out that
as he, Datuvan has apparently not
taken charge of the post of Agent
for Government Consignments
and Personal Assistant to the
Presidency Port Officer on Rs
300/- per mensem at the time of
his deputation to the Laccadives
he was not entitled, under Article
98 Civil Service Regulations, to
a salary of Rs 300/- per mensem
with deputation allowance calcu-
lated thereon, for the period of his
deputation. Article 98, Civil
Service Regulations, covers the
pay or salary which an officer
would have continued to draw
but for his deputation, but not
the grant of extra pay (otherwise
than by deputation allowance)
as

This is exactly
the case taken
by the Accountant
Genl under
No 117 2nd
15 Feb 97 - under
file - The Genl
Accountant
is now
have been wrong

9.1.97

10 July 97

as therein proposed) on the ground that he would have acted in a higher appointment had he not been placed on special duty. I am however to add that the Government of India do not desire to raise any objection to the effect of the order in question.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

[illegible]
Offg. Deputy Secy to the Govt. of India

Copy forwarded to the Accountant General Madras for information in continuation of endorsement No 3242 Ex. dated 5th August 1892.

195 Aug.
16-8-92

[illegible]