

Marine (1897) Department.

874
G.O., No. 577 *mar*

DATED 25 June 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Quay at Hangar Kotta: The cost of repair and improvements to the — ordered to be met from Port Funds to which fund will be credited all future collections. And the correspondence on the subject communicated to the P. P. officer.

90/23
5595
1067
1900
16-7-97
H. C. 697

100
100
29/6/97

The cost of repair and improvements to the — ordered to be met from Port Funds, to which fund will be credited all future collections; and the correspondence on the subject communicated to the Presidency Port Officer.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers:—

From Commander H. A. STREET, R.I.M., Presidency Port Officer, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Marine Department, dated 22nd February 1897, No. 1222/52 G.

I have the honour to acquaint you, for the information of Government, that I have received from the Collector of South Canara an estimate amounting to Rs. 500 for widening the southern portion of the quay at the port of Hangarkotta, and another from the Port officer of Mangalore, amounting to Rs. 189-8-10, for repairing this quay wall at the expense of Port Funds.

2. Being doubtful whether the proposed expenditure would be a legitimate charge on Port Funds, I made enquiries as to the source from which the original cost of construction of the quay was met. This does not appear to have been met from Port Funds. The Collector states that it is not known whether it was met from Customs Revenues, but points out that in March 1884 the then Master Attendant of Madras sanctioned Rs. 194-5-7 for repairs to this same structure, which I find is so.

3. I find, however, that the quay wall is treated as a Customs wharf, and fees under the Customs Act charged on goods left on it for over four days and credited to Customs. It seems to me, therefore, that either the repairs and improvements necessary should be met from Customs in view of the revenue it derives, or that the revenue from fees should be credited to Port Funds if the repairs, &c., are to be met from those funds. I beg that the matter may be referred to the Revenue Department for consideration.

4. Meanwhile, as the repairs proposed by the Port officer, Mangalore, are of an urgent nature and should be carried out at once to prevent the quay wall being washed away during the ensuing monsoon, I have, at the instance of the Collector, sanctioned the estimate of Rs. 189-8-10 from Port Funds, pending the orders of Government on paragraph 3 of this letter.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue (Separate Revenue), Mis. No. 2029, dated 15th May 1897.

Read—the following letter from the Collector of South Canara, dated 10th April 1897, Dis. No. 544 Eng. :—

In reply to Board's Proceedings, No. 1090 (S.R.), dated 19th March last, I beg to submit the following remarks.

2. With reference to the question raised by the Presidency Port Officer in paragraph 3 of his letter read in the above Proceedings, I am of opinion that the cost of extension and repair to the quay in question should properly be met from the Port Fund, as the outlay on its repair in previous years was debited to that fund, and there is now no particular reason for diverging from this precedent. It may be mentioned that similar charges in respect of the Mangalore quay also appear to have been met from the Port Fund, and the sale-proceeds of land reclaimed by the extension of the foreshore, amounting to Rs. 10,210, were under G.O., No. 198, Marine, dated 16th September 1865, credited to that fund.

3. As regards the wharfage fees referred to by the Presidency Port Officer the average annual collections of which amount to Rs. 4-7-7, there is no objection to the same being credited to the head of revenue from which the quay is maintained and improved. The Presidency Port Officer's letter is herewith returned as directed.

Resolution—Mis. No. 2029, dated 15th May 1897.

Submitted to Government with reference to its endorsement, Mis. No. 1874, Revenue, dated 11th March 1897.

2. The Board agrees with the Collector and recommends that the repair and maintenance of the quay be debited as hitherto to Port Funds. There is no objection to the wharfage fees collected being credited to the Port Fund.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) EDMUND MILLETT,
Assistant Secretary.

Mis. No. 2916, Revenue.

Transferred to the Marine Department with an expression of concurrence in the Board's remarks in paragraph 2 of its Proceedings.

(Signed) A. R. KNAPP,
for Ag. Secretary to Government.

OOTACAMUND,
10th June 1897.

ORDER—No. 577, Marine, dated 25th June 1897.

Communicated to the Presidency Port Officer.

2. The cost of repair and improvements to the quay at Hangarkotta will be met from Port Funds, to which fund will be credited all future collections.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Presidency Port Officer.
" Accountant-General.
" Revenue Department.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

at Hangarkotta

Marine DEPARTMENT.

Henry Philip R.C.S.I.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

From the Presidency Port Officer, Madras, No. $\frac{1222}{52}$ G. dated
22nd February 1897.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, Separate Revenue,
Mis. No. 2029 dated 15th May 1897.

Endt. thereon in the Revenue Department, Mis. No. 2916
dated 10th June 1897.

577 Maria 8 25 June 1897
Communicated to the

Presidency Port Officer.

2. The cost of repair and
improvements to the quay at
Hangarkotta will be met from
Port Funds, to which fund will
be credited all future collections.

S.A.

W.H.

The Presy. Port Officer. 8 11/1897
" Accountant General.
" Revenue Department.
[P. W. 40.
1,000-26-2-97.]

Marine
Revenue (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. ~~4379~~ 874

From

The Secretary to the Commis-
sioner of Salt Abkari & Sepa-
rate Revenue. Madras.

Order Mis. No. 2916

Transferred to the Marine Dep-
artment with an expression of concurrence
in the Board's remarks in paragraph
2 of its Proceedings.

No. 2029 Mis:

Dated 15th May 1897.

Received 24th " " 19-6-97

Registered 26th " " 19-6-97

Enclosures 2, 3

Spare copies

A. H. M. S. P.
For Ag. Secretary to Govt.

Ootacamund,

10th June 1897.

SUBJECT.

To

The Marine Dept:

Submitting to Government with re-
gard to a reference from it letter
from the Collector of S. Canara sta-
ting that the cost of extension and
repair to the quay at Hangarakotta
should be met from Port Funds and
that the wharfage fees may be credit-
ed to the same funds, with the remark
that the Collector's proposals are
reasonable.

Marine

✓ 154 885-3-97
 721 8722-10-96
 128810-87126-26
 ✓ 298 11-12-96
 ✓ 126 87 12-3-96
 ✓ 82 87 12-2-96
 x 60 87 1-2-96
 ✓ 19 87 11-1-96
 ✓ 381 87 14-12-95
 ✓ 214 87 17-7-95
 ✓ 123 87 3-5-95
 ✓ 438 87 12-11-94
 ✓ 431 87 12-11-94
 ✓ 381 87 24-9-94
 ✓ 369 87 14-9-94
 ✓ 198 87 16-9-94 vol

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Separate Revenue.)

Dated 15th May 1897.

His No. 2029

Read the following letter from the Collector of South Canara Dioposal No. $\frac{544}{\text{Exp}}$ 497 dated 10th April 1897

In reply to Board's Proceedings No. 1090 (S.R.) dated 19th March last I beg to submit the following remarks:-

2. With reference to the question raised by the Presidency Post office in paragraph 3 of his letter read in the above Proceedings I am of opinion that the cost of extension and repair to the quay in question should properly be met from the Post Fund, as the outlay on its repair in previous years was debited to that fund and there is now no particular reason for diverging

diverging from this precedent. It may be mentioned that similar charges in respect of the Mangalore quay also appear to have been met from the Post Fund and the sale proceeds of land reclaimed by the extension of the foreshore, amounting to Rs 10,210, were under government order No 198 Marine dated 16th September 1865 credited to that fund

3. As regards the wharfage fees referred to by the Presidency Post offices the average annual collections of which amount to Rs 4.7.7, there is no objection to the same being credited to the head of revenue from which the quay is maintained and improved. The Presidency Post office's letter is herewith returned as directed

Resolution

Submitted to Government
with

with reference to its endorsement ^{page 4} No 1374 Revenue dated 11th March 1877.

2. The Board agrees with the Collector and recommends that the repair and maintenance of the quay be debited as hitherto to Post Funds - There is no objection to the wharfage fees collected being credited to the Post Fund.

(True Copy and Exact)

Commandant
Assistant Secretary

To The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department

Sl. No. ~~With Government~~ Enclosure returned
Collector of South Canara

21/5/97.

In No. 633
Aug 1897

No. 1222
52

Heading.

PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICE,
Madras, 22nd February 1897.

From

Commander H. A. Street R. I. M.

PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,

Madras,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

MARINE DEPARTMENT,

Chepak.

SIR,

I have the honor to acquaint you, for the information of Government, that I have received from the Collector of South Canara an estimate amounting to Rs 500/- for widening the Southern portion of the Quay at the port of Mangalore, and another from the Port Officer of Mangalore amounting to Rs 189-8-10 for repairing the quay wall, at the expense of Port Funds.

2. Being doubtful whether the proposed expenditure would be a legitimate charge on Port Funds, I made enquiries as to the source from which the original cost

1897
MARINE DEPARTMENT.
Enclosure to
a Current No. 874

Mar 3
874 (97)

2. cost of construction of the Quay was met.
3. This does not appear to have been met from
Port Funds. The Collector states that it is
not known whether it was met from
to Customs Revenues, but points out that in
March 1884 the then Master Attendant
of Madras Sanctioned Rs 194-5-7 for re-
pairs to this same structure, which I find
is so.

3. I find, however, that the Quay
wall is treated as a Customs Wharf and
fees under the Customs Act charged on
goods left on it for over 4 days and credited
to Customs. It seems to me therefore that
either the repairs and improvements necessary
should be met from Customs in view of the
revenue it derives or that the revenue from
fees should be credited to Port Funds if
the repairs &c are to be met from those
funds. I beg that the matter may be
referred to the Revenue Department for
consideration.

4. Meanwhile as the repairs pro-
posed by the Port Officer, Mangalore,
are of an urgent nature and should be

be carried out at once to prevent the Quay
wall being washed away during the
ensuing monsoon, I have at the instance
of the Collector, sanctioned the estimate
of Rs 189-8-10 from Port Funds, pending
the Orders of Government on para 3 of
this letter.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your obedient Servant,
H. A. Theet
Commander R. I. M.
Presidency Port Officer.

No. 154 E

Government of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Embodiment
MEMORANDUM.

Dated Madras, 5th March 1897.

Letter from the Presidency
Post office, Madras, No 1222/52 C.,
dated 22 February 1897.

Referred to the Revenue
Department.

J. H. P. Col. R. L.,
Secretary.

The Revenue Department.