

Marine (1897) Department.

✓ G.O., No. 554 Mar

DATED 18 June 1897

[Despatch Abstract.]

Article 1191 (a) C.S. Regulations: ^{that} ~~specifying~~ ^{in the regulations} ~~the~~ ^{as to whether}
the crew of the Govt of India be obtained
— covers the case of a Lightkeeper in this Presi-
dency who before joining his appointment at a
distance, receives a technical training under
skilled supervision at Maori.

Approved
/ /

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Inamine
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

HS the Governor

Read the following

From the Presidency Port Officer, Madras, No. 165 G. dated 8th May 1897.

-do- Accountant General, No. 1632 dated 26th May 1897.

No 554 Mar 2, 1897
Ordered that the Government of

India be addressed,

by the Finl. Dept
To

The P.P.O.
,, Acctt. General.

Finl. Dept. with
draft letter for issue.

To
The Secretary to the
Government of India,
Finance & Commerce Dept.

Sir,

I am directed to request that the orders of the Government of India as to whether Article 119 (a) C.S.R. covers the case of a Light Keeper in this Presidency who, before joining his appointment at a distance, receives a technical training under skilled supervision at Madras. The Accountant General, to whom the question has been referred, states that it has been the practice of his office to apply the Article in question only in cases where the special training has been in "a recognised institution", and embraced "a definite curriculum", and that the note to the

Article

Note to Inamine
draft
The letter to India shd.
be: with the Governor under
the rules
circ
10492

17697

The Chief Secy, Finl Dept.
for favour of countermanding

Article supports this limitation.

2. It may, however, be pointed out that the cases coming under (b), (c),

(d) and (e) give a wider scope to the rule than the Accountant General is disposed to allow.

3. The case which has given rise to this reference is that of one E. Fransz who has been appointed Head Light Keeper of the Sacramento Light house after acting as a Light Keeper in the Madras Light house where he received the requisite technical training to fit him for independent charge of a light. A charge of Rs. 11 has been incurred for a steamer passage from Madras, which the Accountant General has disallowed.

4. I am to add that the question is likely to attain some prominence in the future as the scheme for a better class of light keeper which the Madras Government has had under consideration for some time contemplates the regular training of men before they are put in charge of important lights; and as no "recognised institutions" with "a definite curriculum" are in existence, the requisite technical training must be given by other means.

I have &c.

W.H.

9.6.97

12/6/97

Travelling expenses for joining first appointment

From the Presidency Port Office, Madras, No. 165 G. dated 8th May 1897.

the Accountant General, No. 1632 dated 26th May 1897

The Hon. Mr. Bliss &c.

For orders.

The interpretation placed on Art. 119(a) C.S.R. by the Accountant General has the grant of travelling allowance for joining first appointments to all Light Keepers, and it will be hard on men posted to distant stations who will

Of course the men can't possibly pay their t.a. to join their first app'ts - Ref. to India as proposed

BN

8/6/97

probably be unable to pay their own travelling expenses. Light Keepers seem as much entitled to the benefit of the rule as the persons specially provided for by clauses (b)(c)(d) & (e) even if they do not actually fall under clause (a) when "specially trained" in the manner indicated by the Presidency Port office.

A reference to the Govt of India may perhaps be made, and the Accountant General authorised, meanwhile, to pass as a special case, the charge already incurred.

RS

W.H.

2.6.97

M

Marine
Revenue

(1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 774

From The Acct General
Grades

Order

No. 1632

Dated 26th May 1897

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies -

SUBJECT.

Explaining the circumstances
by which one Franz who
was acting as a light-keeper
was disallowed the travelling
allowance to join his acting
appointment and interpreting
article 1191 (a) C. S. Regulations

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
337	29	4-5-97	
407	9	8-6-96	
166	9	7-5-94	
240	9	12-2-93	
409	9	8-9-91	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
-	5002	Mar.	5-8-97

No. 1654

Heading.

PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICE,

Madras, 8th May 1897.

From

Commander H. A. Street, R. I. M.,
PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,

Madras,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

MARINE DEPARTMENT,

Chennai

SIR,

I have the honor to acquaint you, for the information of Government, that one E. Franz who was acting as a lightkeeper in the Madras Lighthouse was appointed as Head lightkeeper of the Sacramento Lighthouse, the technical training he received in the Madras Lighthouse being considered insufficient for his employment as such. He was granted passage to joining his appointment under Article 1191(a) of the Civil Service Regulations, and Rs(11) eleven was charged therefor by the Steamer Agents. The Accountant General paid the bill of the Steamer Agents but has asked me to recover the sum from the lightkeeper in question on the ground that the special training referred to in Article 1191(a), Civil Service Regulations, should be training in a recognised institution and should embrace a definite curriculum, and as no

such training exists in the case of light-keepers before appointment, the travelling allowance drawn is inadmissible. The lightkeeper has since left the Government service and the recovery cannot be therefore effected from him. I recommend that the sum be written off.

2. If the view taken by the Accountant General, that is, the special training for lightkeepers should be in a recognised institution and should embrace a definite curriculum, is considered correct, I submit there will be a great difficulty in obtaining men for lighthouse service at out-ports, and I would suggest that the power of determining whether a candidate for lighthouse service possesses sufficient technical training be left to the Presidency Port Officer as separate training institutions do not at present exist for lightkeepers.

I have the honor to be,
sir,
your obt. servant,
W. A. Threlk
Commander, R.S.M.,
Presidency Port Officer

E. M. H.

W. A. Threlk
7/4/97

No. 337E

Government of Madras.

Marine
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.



Memorandum.

Dated, Coimbatore, 14th May 1897.

From the Presidency Port Officer,
Madras, No 165-G, dated 8th May 1897.

Referred to the Accountant General who is requested to be so good as to state whether there is any authority for this construction of the rule which contains no reference to a "recognised institution", and a "definite curriculum". All that the rule says is that the person must have been "specially trained".

J. W. P. L. Col. R. E.,
Secretary to Govt.

The Accountant General
to be returned.

2282

in
ke
at
the
in
be
re
of

go
ly
in
de
co
de
le
L
de
fo
su
be
as
de

Godwin

Passy Sum 9798

Mr. 337 E 14.5.97

Treasury Account. DEPARTMENT.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
Accountant-General's Office,
26th May 1897.

No. 1632

From

F. C. Harrison Esq
Off^r ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Secretary to Government
Marine Department.

SIR,

In returning the papers received with your Endorsement No. 337 E dated 14th May 1897 regarding the interpretation put on "special training" referred to in Article 1191 (a) of the Civil Service Regulations, in the case of travelling allowance of a light keeper for joining his first appointment, I have the honour to state that it has been the practice of this office to apply the article in question only in cases where the special training has been in a recognised institution and embraced a definite curriculum, and that the note to the article supports this limitation.

File 602
724/57

The special training necessary for the head light-
keeper is not in my opinion such as to entitle
him to the privilege allowed by the rule. The
travelling allowance claimed was therefore dis-
allowed.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedt. servant,

William

Off^r Accountant General.

Wm

(189) DEPARTMENT.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

MADRAS,

To

THE

No.

Dated

Received

No.

Subject.

Marine (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.

679

Endh

No 337 E. of 14 May 1897

Referred to the
Accountant General who
is requested to be so good
as to state whether there
is any authority
~~Order~~ for this construction of
the rule which contains
no reference to a "recognized
institution" and a "definite
curriculum". All that the
rule says is that the
person must have been
"specially trained".

From

The Presidency Post Office,
Madras

No. 1659

Dated 8 May 1897

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Requesting that roll for
passage provided to me
E. Frauz from Madras to
Sacramento Lighthouse
may be written off for
reasons stated.

To The Acct. Genl. to be returned

R.B.

13/5/97
M

14/5/97

2
k
2
n
n
b
u
of

g
c
n
a
c
c
t
•