

Marine (1897) Department.

G.O., No. 361 mar

DATED 20. april 1897.

Removed from R.O. 472 mar
~~449 mar~~ 21-59
14-59

[Despatch Abstract.]

Errata in G.O. No. 190 marine of
3^d March 1897 approving and forwarding
to the Financial Dept for sanction the
revised and budget Estimates of receipts
& charges of the Port & Marine Funds of
the Madras Pres.

✓

6/23/97

23/4/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	449	Mar	14. 5-97				

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
690	303	Mar	26. 3-97				

Marine DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following
 No 361 Marine 7 20 April 1897
 Errata

In G.O. 190 Marine
 dated 3rd March 1897.

(1) Appendix B, page 18, Column

18

For

Tanjore - 2250

Read -

Tanjore - 2250

(2) Appendix B, page 19

Column 31

(a) Expunge -

- 205943 against Tanjam

Introduce -

(b) A minus sign before

19805 against Madura.

(3) Appendix B page 20

Column 14

For -

Vizagapatam - 20,000

Read -

Vizagapatam - 20000 }
 + 100905 }

The Presidency Post Office

" Finl. Dept.

" P.W. Dept.

20/4/97

12/4/97

190 Nov 8 3-3-97 (original)

C.A.W.

The errors in L.O. No. 190 Marine of 3.3.97 have all crept in ⁱⁿ printing and are due to faulty examining in the press.

The press sent a proof with certain queries, and this in accordance with the usual practice, being a budget matter, was sent to B. All the points about which the press were doubtful, were answered by B who no doubt assumed that the press had correctly ~~press~~ printed the rest of the matter regarding which they had no doubts.

W

Rur
11/4/97

Submitted with Enata's statement. The Press are responsible for the correction of printed matter and have proof examiners specially sanctioned to examine "proofs". When in doubt, they refer to the Department, where their doubts removed. The office cannot do more than this.

R.S.
12.4.97

190 Mar 8 3-3-97 (orig)

H.C.

Kindly say whether
you checked "proof" of
G.O. 190 Marine, d/ 3-3-97
I remember you passed it
to me to answer certain
queries from press which
I complied with. C.A. W.
wants to know who is
responsible for the errors
in print. No 2 (a) was
left in pencil in the
original & it would have
probably misled the press.
A note has to be put
up with these errata on this
matter

CMB
7.4.95

B

Please let me see
the original. Rur

7/4/97

H.C.

Herewith put up

CMB
10.4.97