

Marine (1897) Department.

20/10/97

G.O., No. 327 *man*

DATED 3. April 1897.

3/11/97

[Despatch Abstract.]

Medical Inspection of Ships. Considering
that a vessel which has obtained pratique
at any port of the Madras Presidency
is not liable to further inspection during
the same voyage, under certain circumstances

*180
20*

3/4/97

Recd.	} 1897.	Enclosures
Regd.		Spare copies

G.O., No. 327, 3rd April 1897.

APRIL.

Medical Inspection of Ships

Considering that a vessel which has obtained pratique at any port of the Madras Presidency is not liable to further inspection during the same voyage, under certain circumstances.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From H. D. TAYLOR, Esq., I.C.S., Acting Collector of Ganjam, to the Secretary to Government, Marine Department, dated Chatrapur, 22nd March 1897, Ref. No. 495/Eng.

I have the honour to forward, for orders, reference from the Port officer, Gopalpur, enquiring whether it is necessary under Government notification, No. 51, dated 2nd March 1897, for the Health officer to visit every vessel that touches at that port, whether it has arrived from an affected port direct or touched at others *en route*.

2. Rule I of the Quarantine rules published in *Fort St. George Gazette* (No. 30, dated 16th February 1897) states that vessels arriving from Bombay, Karachee or Goa shall indicate their arrival by signal. The question is whether, after having touched at Madras and obtained pratique, such vessels are again compelled to notify their arrival by signal at subsequent ports.

3. Under rule 6 of G.O., No. 162, Marine, dated 26th February 1897, it is prescribed in regard to vessels sailing from Madras ports to Rangoon that when a vessel has received a health certificate at Madras, and all passengers at subsequent ports of call have been embarked after due examination by the officer prescribed in G.O., No. 182, Marine, dated 2nd March 1897, no further detailed inspection of the vessel by the Health officer is necessary.

4. On this analogy it would seem that vessels, wherever bound, from Goa, Bombay, Karachee, after touching at Madras or other Coast ports, and having received a health certificate, need not be re-inspected by the Health officer at a subsequent port of call.

5. I request instructions as to whether my interpretation of the rule is correct.

ENCLOSURE.

From W. S. CARLYON, Esq., Port officer, Gopalpur, to the Collector of Ganjam, dated 15th March 1897, No. 17/96 H.

With reference to your endorsement No. 453/Eng., dated 12th March 1897, I have the honour to state that the notification of Government, No. 51, dated 2nd March 1897, leaves it a doubtful matter in my mind as to whether the Health officer of the port is to proceed alongside each and every vessel which arrives here *direct* from ports declared to be affected or whether he should go off to vessels which have touched at ports on the East Coast (including Madras) since leaving the affected ports.

2. Under section 6 of the Indian Ports Act X of 1889, every Commander of a vessel which arrives at a port with a case or cases of epidemic disease on board is bound to intimate the same by signal, and it appears to me unnecessary for the only medical man in the place to go alongside a vessel, which has run the gauntlet of several East Coast ports, in order to make inquiries of the Commander concerning a matter which would be signalled by him were it not satisfactory.

ORDER—No. 327, Marine, dated 3rd April 1897.

His Excellency the Governor in Council is of opinion that a vessel which has obtained pratique at any port of the Madras Presidency is not liable to further inspection during the same voyage, provided there has been no case of plague on board meanwhile, or the ship has not subsequently touched at any port which has been declared infected, or taken up passengers at other than inspection ports.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Presidency Port Officer.
 „ the Surgeon-General with Government:
 „ Collectors of Maritime Districts.
 „ the Local and Municipal Department.
 „ the Public Department.

Ed. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

urgent

Marine DEPARTMENT.

N^o 327 Mar of 3-4-97

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

From the Collector of Ganjam (N^o 495/Eng 922.iii.97)

40

H. E. the Governor in Council
is of opinion that a vessel
which has obtained pratique
at any port of the Madras
Presidency is not liable
to further inspection during
the same voyage, provided
there has been no case of
plague on board mean-
while, or the ship has not
subsequently touched at
any port which has been
declared infected, or taken
up passengers at other than
inspection ports.

To the Hon. Secy: Port Officer
" Surgeon General with Govt.
" Collectors of Maritime
" L & M. Department
" Public Department.

9/4/97

Marine

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

urgent

Read the following:—

Medical Inspection of Ships

From the Collector of Ganjam, Ref. No. 495/Eng. of 1897, dated 22nd March

327

The Hon: Mr Bliss. C. I. E.

FOR ORDERS.

Proposed to inform the Collector, and all other officers concerned, that a vessel which has obtained pratique at any port of the Madras Presidency is not liable to further inspection during the same voyage, provided there has been no case of plague on board meanwhile, or the ship has not subsequently touched at any port which has been declared infected, or taken up passengers at other than inspection ports.

2. Passengers will now be examined at all ports at which there are medical officers. It would be an additional safeguard if embarkation of passengers was restricted to such ports. Inquiry is now being made of the Surgeon-General and the Local & Municipal Department as to what medical subordinates are available at

the

only

the smaller ports, and on the lists
being sent up, it is proposed to
gazette these men health officers
under the Epidemic Diseases Act.

FOR ORDERS.

1/4/97
M.

Urgent
Marine (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 472

23

Order

From

*The Ag. collector of
Ganjam*

Ref No. *495* of 1897
Eng

Dated 22nd March 1897

Received 29 — — —

Enclosures *one*

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

*Enquiring whether
his interpretation of the
quarantine rules re-
garding reinspection
of vessels is correct.*

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
182		Marine	2-3-97
162-63		"	26-2-97
151		"	23-2-97
116-17-18		"	12-2-97

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
------	-----	-------------	-------

Port Office - Gopalpore
15th March 1897.

No 17 H
96

2 S.P

From

Mr. S. Carlyon Esquire,
Port Officer

To

The Collector of Ganjam
Chattrapur

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement No 453
Eng dated 12-3-97, I have the honor to state that the
Notification of Government No 51 dated 2nd
March 1897 leaves it a doubtful matter in my
mind as to whether the Health Officer of the
Port is to proceed alongside each and every vessel
which arrives here direct from the Ports declared
to be affected or whether he should go off
to vessels which have touched at Ports on the
East Coast (including Madras) since leaving
the affected Ports.

2. Under section 6 of the Indian Ports
Act I of 1889, every Commander of a
vessel which arrives at a Port with a
Case or Cases of epidemic disease on board
is bound to intimate the same by signal,
and it appears to me unnecessary for the
only

No 182
2-3-97

only medical man in the place to go alongside
a vessel, which has run the gauntlet of several
East Coast Ports, in order to make enquiries
of the Commander concerning a matter which
would be signalled by him were it not
satisfactory.

I have &c
(Signed) W. S. Carlyon
Port Officer.

True Copy

Srinivasan

for ag: (Director 23-3-97)

Due
COZ

Quels!
March 24/97

Gajam Collector's Office
Dated Chatrapur 22nd March 1897

From

H. D. Taylor Esquire, I.C.S.
Acting Collector of
Gajam.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Marine Department
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to forward for orders
reference from the Port Officer, Gopalpore, enquiring
whether it is necessary under Government Notification
No 51 dated 2nd March 1897, for the Health
officer to visit every vessel that touches at that
Port, whether it has arrived from an affected Port
direct or touched at others en-route.

2. Rule I of the Quarantine rules —
published in Fort Saint George Gazette (No 30
dated 16th February 1897) states that vessels arriving
from Bombay, Karachi or Goa shall indicate
their arrival by signal. The question is whether,
after having touched at Madras and obtained pratique,
such vessels are again compelled to notify their
arrival by signal at subsequent ports.

3. Under rule 6 of G. O No 162 Marine
dated 26th February 1897 it is prescribed in regard
to vessels sailing from Madras ports to Rangoon
that when a vessel has received a health certificate
at Madras, and all passengers at subsequent ports

W. 182
23-97

Go 116-111
12-2-97

of call have been embarked after due examination by the officer prescribed in G. O. 182 Marine dated 2nd March 1897, no further detailed examination inspection of the vessel by the Health officer is necessary.

4. On this analogy, it would seem that vessels, wherever bound, from Goa, Bombay, & Karachi, after touching at Madras or other Coast ports, and having received a health certificate, need not be re-inspected by the Health officer at a subsequent Port of call.

5. I request instructions as to whether my interpretation of the rule is correct.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

J. Muniraxadore

23-3-97

For: ag: Collector.

107