Marine (1897) Department.

G.O., No. 114-115 Encl

Dated 11th February 1897

11/2/97

[Despatch Abstract.]

Deputation Allowance: Orlnd the Govt of India be addressed on the subject of the admissibility of — to Marlyon for the period he was on deputation to Bombay in 1892 in charge of dispr "Woolock" in addition to the shore allowance and Table money passed to him.

Deputation allowance: Enquiring whether — may now be admitted for the period of deputation of Lieut Earlyon to Bombay in 1892 in charge of Dispatch "Woolock" in addition to the shore allowance and the Table money passed to him.

Recommend

T.C. 11/2/97

11/2/97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
go.	160	marine	1-5-94				

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
1430 97							
588 97							
G.O. 383-4		marine	28-4-97				

Government {1897} of Madras.

MARINE.

Recd. } 1897. *Enclosures*

Regd. } *Spare copies*

Letter, No. 115, 11th February 1897.

FEBRUARY.

Deputation Allowance

Enquiring whether — may now be admitted for the period of deputation of Mr. Carlyon to Bombay in 1892 in charge of dredger "Wenlock," in addition to the shore allowance and the table money passed to him.

No. 115, Marine, 11th February 1897.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Letter—from

The Honourable Colonel D. McNEIL CAMPBELL, R.E.,
Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Marine Department,

To

The SECRETARY to the GOVERNMENT of INDIA,
Finance and Commerce Department.

Sir,

I am directed to invite attention to Mr. Price's letter, No. 250, Marine, dated 19th July 1892, in which the sanction of the Government of India was requested to the grant to Mr. Carlyon of a shore allowance of Rs. 4 per diem for the period of his stay in Bombay while on deputation in charge of the dredger "Wenlock." I am to say that the intention of this Government was, that Mr. Carlyon should receive the shore allowance in addition to a deputation allowance of Rs. 60 per mensem, which he was entitled to under article 98 of the Civil Service Regulations. This was not, however, stated in Mr. Price's letter. I am accordingly to inquire whether deputation allowance may now be admitted for the whole period of deputation, in addition to a shore allowance of Rs. 4 per diem for the time Mr. Carlyon spent ashore in Bombay, and Rs. 3 per diem for the number of days on which he took his meals on board.

2. His Excellency the Governor in Council observes that a deputation allowance is granted in consequence of a "decided increase of work or responsibility" or a "change of station," whereas the grant of the shore allowance was, in Mr. Carlyon's case, recommended on the ground of extra expense to which he was put. It would therefore seem that the question of giving or withholding a shore allowance should be considered as distinct from that of granting a deputation allowance.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

Fort St. George,
11th February 1897.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

Government {1897} of Madras.

MARINE.

Recd. } 1897. Enclosures

Regd. } Spare copies

G.O., No. 114, 11th February 1897.

FEBRUARY.

Deputation Allowance

Ordered that the Government of India be addressed on the subject of the admissibility of — to Mr. Carlyon for the period he was on deputation to Bombay in 1892 in charge of dredger "Wenlock," in addition to the shore allowance and table money passed to him.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From F. C. HARRISON, Esq., Officiating Accountant-General, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Marine Department, dated 25th January 1897, No. 265.

With reference to G.O., No. 25, Marine Department, dated the 9th of January 1897, enquiring whether the deputation allowance of Rs. 60 per mensem stated to be admissible to Mr. Carlyon in this office endorsement No. 792, dated 16th March 1892, is admissible in addition to the shore allowance of Rs. 4 per diem sanctioned to him by the Government of India, I have the honour to point out that the allowance of Rs. 4 a day sanctioned to Mr. Carlyon by the Government of India for the period of his deputation to Bombay in charge of the dredger "Wenlock" appears to include deputation allowance, and under G.O., No. 295, Marine, dated 16th August 1892, it was passed for those days only for which Mr. Carlyon did not receive table money. An additional deputation allowance for the same period would require Government of India sanction; but there is no objection to Government sanctioning the usual deputation allowance under article 98, Civil Service Regulations, for those days for which table money was passed under 1117, Civil Service Regulations.

ORDER—No. 114, Marine, dated 11th February 1897.

Ordered that the Government of India be addressed.

[Here enter No. 115, Marine, dated 11th Feb. 1897.]

(True Extract.)

(Signed) D. McNEIL CAMPBELL, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.

The Hon'ble Mr. Bhashyam Iyengar

2 8

Marine DEPARTMENT.

Mr. Carlyon's allowances

No. 114 Mar. of 11.2.97

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

Letter from the Accountant General to the Govt. of Madras, No. 265, d/ 25th January 1897.

Ordered that the Government of India be addressed.

To No. 115 Mar. of 11.2.97

The Secretary to the
Government of India,
Finance & Commerce
Deptt.

Sir,

I am directed to invite attention to Mr. Price's letter No. 250, Marine, dated 19th July 1892, in ---- which the sanction of the Government of India was requested to the grant to Mr. Carlyon of a shore allowance of Rs. 4 per diem for the -- period of his stay in Bombay while on deputation in charge of the dredger "Wenlock". I am to say that the intention of this Government was that Mr. Carlyon should receive the shore allowance in addition to a deputation allowance of Rs. 60 per mensem which he was entitled to --- under Art. 98 of the C.S.R. This was not however stated in Mr. Price's letter

Send with the Separate file

I do not allow this. Dep. allce was passed for those days for which Mr. Carlyon did not receive table money. The A.G. now says "there is no objection to Govt. sanctioning ---- for those days for which table money was passed" — Why the necessity of a ref. to India? The A.G. seems to be prepared to pass the addl. payment

BS
5/2/97

letter. I am accordingly to inquire whether deputation allowance may now be admitted for the whole period of deputation, in addition to a shore allowance of Rs. 4 per diem for the time Mr. Carlyon spent ashore in Bombay, and Rs. 3 per diem for the number of days on which he took his meals on board.

2. His Excellency the Governor in Council observes that a deputation allowance is granted in consequence of a "decided increase of work or responsibility" or a "change of station", whereas the grant of the shore allowance was in Mr. Carlyon's case recommended on the ground of extra expense to which he was put. It would therefore seem that the --- question of giving or withholding a shore allowance should be considered as distinct from that of granting a deputation allowance.

I have &c.

4/2/97

M.

Hon. 4/2/97 R 6-2-97.

The Hon'ble Mr Bliss C.I.E

Mr. Carlyon's allowances

11 14 15 17 18

Very well - Let the letter go

9/2/97

Letter to India issued - 10/2/97

C. No 107.

Note for the Hon. Mr Bliss C.I.E

With reference to the Hon. Member's note dated 5/2/97 the following explanation is submitted -

The allowance passed by the Accts General for the days that Mr. Carlyon "did not receive table money" was the shore allowance of Rs 4 per diem, and not the "deputation allowance" admissible under Art. 98 C.S.R.

What the Accts Genl. actually passed was.

1. Table money for days spent afloat -
2. Shore allowance for days spent ashore

What the Govt of India is now asked to sanction is

3. Deputation allowance for "the whole period of deputation" -

Mr. Carlyon would then receive

3+1 for the period spent afloat, and

3+2 for the period spent ashore.

This is what the order in the Rev. Dept. seems to contemplate -

The

The Accountant General objects to 3+2 - He considers that 2 includes 3 for the above period - but sees no objection to 3+1. 6/2/97

RS

H.E. 6-2-97 R 9/2/97

Marine (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 107 7.

Order

From The Accountant General, Madras

No. 265

Dated 25th January '97

Received 27.1.97

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Offering remarks upon the admissibility of the deputation allowance referred to to Mr. Carlyon

M 4.
1,000—8-5-96.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
G.O.	25	Marine	9-1-97 ✓
memo	581 E	"	20-11-96 ✓
"	565 E	"	10-11-96 ✓
"	533 E	"	2-11-96 ✓
"	521 E	"	23-10-96 ✓
"	511 E	"	5-10-96 ✓
"	357 E	"	9-7-96 ✓
G.O.	374	"	27-5-96 ✓
"	351	"	16-5-96 ✓
"	328	"	13-5-96 ✓
"	257 E	"	8-5-96 ✓
"	252	"	16-4-96 ✓
"	159 E	"	17-3-96 ✓
"	155	"	11-3-96 ✓
"	388	"	19-12-95 ✓
"	17	"	18-11-95 ✓
"	346	"	21-11-55 ✓
"	328	"	8-11-95 ✓
"	476	"	13-12-94 ✓
"	160	"	1-5-94 ✓
"	112-13	"	3-4-94 ✓
"	295	"	16-8-92 ✓
"	164	"	17-5-92 ✓
"	117	"	16-4-92 ✓
"	552	"	21-12-91 ✓
"	556	"	31-12-91 ✓
"	423	"	31-8-88 ✓

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Gazetted audit DEPARTMENT. 10

FORT ST. GEORGE,
Accountant-General's Office,
25th January 1897.

No. 265

From

H. C. Harrison Esquire,
Offg: ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Secretary to Government,
Marine Department.

SIR,

With reference to G.O. No. 25 Marine Department dated the 9th of January 1897 enquiring whether the deputation allowance of Rs 60 per mensem stated to be admissible to Mr. Carlyon in this office Endorsement No. 792 dated 16th March 1892 is admissible in addition to the Shore allowance of Rs 4 per diem sanctioned to him by the Government of India. I have the honour to point out that the allowance of Rs 4/- a day sanctioned to Mr. Carlyon by the Government of India for the period of his deputation to Bombay in charge of the dredger Wenlock appears to include deputation allowance and under Government Order No. 295 Marine dated 16 August 1892 it (i.e. Shore allowance?) was passed for those days only for which Mr. Carlyon did not receive table money. (i.e. for the days spent ashore?) An additional deputation allowance for the same period would require Government of India sanction but there is

G.O. P. 117 Mar 16-4-92 Hay. J

Hay E

is no objection to Government sanctioning the usual deputation allowance under Article 98 Civil Service Regulations for those days for which Table money was passed under 1117 Civil Service Regulations.

This could include deputation allowance for the period spent on Shore- L.P.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt: servt:,
Illegible
Offg: Accountant General.

R 25/