

Marine

(1897)

Department.

G.O., No. 10 Mar

DATED 4 January

[Despatch Abstract.]

Keeper for the Madras Lighthouse. Directing
 the entertainment in anticipation of formal
 sanction to the creation of the post of a —
 on the new scale, is a man on Rs.
 40 per mensem.

Directing the entertainment, in anticipation of formal sanction to the creation of the post, of a — on the new scale, *i.e.*, a man on Rs. 40 per mensem.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper:—

From Commander H. A. STREET, R.I.M., Presidency Port Officer, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Marine Department, dated 16th November 1896, No. 1271/374 G.

In accordance with the instructions contained in endorsement, No. 1493 (reproduced in the margin), on current No. 470, dated 28th June 1895, the appointment of Superintendent of the Madras Light-house has not been permanently filled, and though, by a departmental arrangement made by Commander Powell, the Light-house Mechanic performs the duty of Superintendent in addition to his own particular duties, the inconvenience caused by the post remaining unfilled has not been lessened. The Light-house Mechanic has to be frequently sent away from Madras in connection with the requirements of apparatus at coast ports, and during these absences the valuable apparatus at Madras has to be left under the charge of a keeper on Rs. 15 per mensem, and this man complains that the pay he receives is not commensurate with the responsibilities which devolve on him while in charge of the light-house. In this view I concur, and as the keeper in question has performed satisfactorily the additional duty which has so frequently devolved on him, I would beg that, pending sanction being accorded to the establishment proposed by Mr. Ashpitel in table V, page 19, of G.O., No. 245, Marine, dated 8th April 1896, a charge allowance of one-tenth of the pay of the Superintendent of the Light-house according to the present scale, viz., Rs. 100 per mensem, be allowed on each occasion of the Light-house Mechanic being required for special duty elsewhere, and that this may have retrospective effect from the date from which the post of Superintendent was left unfilled in accordance with the Government endorsement quoted above.

2. I have consulted Mr. Ashpitel on the subject and he recommends that the establishment proposed by him for the Madras Light-house should be brought into force at once in order that he may have the services of the Light-house Mechanic available for work in connection with light-house matters generally.

No. 3734.

Returned with the remark that the proposed charge allowance to the light-house keeper is admissible under article 176 of the Civil Service Regulations, provided that the Acting Superintendent reverts to his substantive post of Light-house Mechanic and draws his pay as such during his absence from Madras.

2. As regards giving retrospective effect to this allowance, if sanctioned for the past years also, it is observed that this cannot be done as there was no vacancy in those years, Mr. Cotterill having drawn his pay as Acting Superintendent of the Light-house during his absence on tour.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
4th December 1896.

(Signed) F. C. HARRISON,
Offg. Accountant-General.

ORDER—No. 10, Marine, dated 4th January 1897.

His Excellency the Governor in Council considers that the Madras Light should not be left in charge of a low-paid keeper on Rs. 15 even for the short periods of the Light-house Mechanic's absence on duty. The Presidency Port Officer will accordingly, at once, and in anticipation of formal sanction to the creation of the post, entertain a keeper for this light-house on the new scale, i.e., a man on Rs. 40 per mensem. The present mechanic would thus be set free to attend to his more legitimate duties.

2. A proposition statement should be submitted accordingly for the formal sanction of the Government of India to the creation of the post on the new grading.

3. It will be seen from the Accountant-General's endorsement that charge allowance to the present keeper cannot be granted with retrospective effect. Charge allowance in the future will be unnecessary.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Presidency Port Officer.

" Accountant-General.

" Financial Department.

The Hon Mr J. C. E.

Marine
Public Works Department.

No 10 Mar of 4th Jan'y 1897

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

From the Presidency Port Officer, No. $\frac{1271}{374}$ of dated 16th November 1896.
Endt. from the Accountant-General, No. 3734 dated 4th December 1896

JG
22/12/96

His Excellency the Governor
in Council considers that the
Madras Light should not
be left in charge of a low
paid Keeper on Rs. 15 even
for the short periods of the
Lighthouse Mechanic's ab-
sence on duty. The Pre-
sidency Port Officer will
accordingly at once, and
in anticipation of formal
sanction to the creation
of the post, entertain a
Keeper for this Lighthouse
on the new scale, i.e. a mfr
on Rs. 40 per mensem. The
present Mechanic would
thus be set free to attend
to his more legitimate
duties.

2. A proposition state-
ment should be submit-
ted accordingly for the
formal

2.
The Chief Secretary to Govt.
Financial Dept. for favour
of counter initials.

formal sanction of the Government of India to the creation of the post on the new grading.

3. It will be seen from the Accountant-General's endorsement that charge allowance to the present Keeper cannot be granted with retrospective effect. Charge allowance in the future will be unnecessary

11/12/96

L.S.

B. C. B.

2 15/11/97

The Presy. Port Officer.
" Accountant-General
" Financial Dept.

S. 12/12.

[G.]
73
300-39-7-96.

NOTE FOR THE MARINE DEPARTMENT.

The necessity for obtaining the sanction of the Govt. of India to the appointment of a Light-keeper for Madras in anticipation of the introduction of the general scheme would, it is thought, be obviated if the post were sanctioned by the local Govt. as a temporary measure. If the Govt. of India is to be addressed on the point now, its sanction should not apparently be anticipated. The post forms portion of the general scheme and it appears to be advisable to address India once for all on the subject.

C. S. B.
14.12.96

M. A.
16.12.96

I have framed it.
J. G.
22/12/96

13/12/96
W.

The Hon. Mr. G. J. E.
The draft order is submitted without the Chief Secretary's counter-initials. I believe it would be inadmissible for the local government to sanction as a "temporary measure", an appointment which they have no power to sanction as a permanency. I, therefore, prefer the

10 received
18/12/98
M.

READ the following:—

From the Presidency Post Office n^o $\frac{1271}{374}$ of 16 November /96
and to: from the Accto: - General n^o 3734 of 4 December /96.

The Presidency Port
officers proposes

- (1) That the Light Keeper at madras on the 15 he given a charge allowance of $\frac{1}{10}$ of the pay, Rs 100, of the Lighthouse Supt. on occasions of absence from madras of the mechanic (acting Supt.).
- (2) That the charge allowance be drawn with retrospective effect from the date on which the post of Superintendent was left unfilled, &

H. m. 19/12.

(3) That the new scale for the Madras Light house be brought into effect at once, so as to set free the mechanic for general duty.

2. The Accountant-General reports that (1) is admissible under Article 176. C.S.R., provided the ag. Superintendent reverts to his substantive post of mechanic, and draws his pay (Rs 80-100) as such, during his absence from Madras; & that (2) is inadmissible.

3. No (3) is for Government to decide. In regard to this, it may be remarked that it cannot be a satisfactory arrangement to leave the most important light in the Presidency in charge of a man on Rs 15, even for the

7/1 - That is, a man w.
be put in on Rs 40, the
lowest pay of the new scale
BS

the short periods of absence of the ag. Supt. The Presidency Post Officer may thus, perhaps, be authorised to give immediate effect to the revised scale, so far as the Madras Light and mechanic are concerned.

4. If this be done, it will not be necessary to consider (1) & (2) above.

5. The present mechanic would, it is supposed, be given one of the new Inspectorships on Rs 100-150.

If fit - not otherwise
BS
10/12/96

8/12/96
m

R.S.

FOR ORDERS.

W 54 C No 1342

10 March

The Chief Secretary
returns this draft order
with what seems an
incoherent suggestion -
If the Local Council
sanction an appointment
as a permanent, I do not
see how it can do so "as
a temporary measure" -

The Chief Secretary may
either be asked under
which rule his suggestion
can be adopted, or the
draft order can be sent up
to the Hon member in that
counterintuitive, with an
explanation of the confusion -
Hansard -

L.S.
18/10/06

The latter course will
be the better

18/12/06

but elsewhere.

The local people. The case is
 (I believe) that of a local
 that the question of the
 of the local people
 is a very serious
 matter, but if it is
 of a general nature
 it would be of the
 of the local people
 to the local people
 of a general nature
 of the local people
 of the local people

W Please see the first
report of the Acad General
May 2 - This is against

Marine (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 1342

Order

From

The accountant General
Madras.

No. 3734

Dated 4. 12. 96

Received 5. 12. 96

Enclosures 1

Spare copies .

SUBJECT.

Charge allowance :
Reporting on the proposal
to the grant of — to the
Light-keeper, Madras Light-
house, on each occasion
of the Lighthouse mechanic
being required for special
duty elsewhere.

R.S.

the Local Govt. He said
subsequently, on another
paper (Flag D. linked file)
that the creation of a single
appointment of Inspector
would not require Indian
sanction, but if it formed
part of a general scheme
it would. Each of these
appointments - Inspector &
Lighthouse light keeper - is
part of a general scheme -
Therefore, the Local Govt. will
have to go to India for
sanction.

N.S.

18 Nov 96

C. No. 1365

seen

10/12/96

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	5988	man	23-11-76
133	~~607~~	- bo -	24-10-76
245-6	bo -	- bo -	8-4-76

FURTHER PAPERS.

No. 1271/374 4

Presidency Port Office,
Madras, 16th November, 1896.

From

Commander H. A. Street, R.I.M.,

Presidency Port Officer,

Madras,

To

The Secretary to Government,

Marine Department,

Chepak.

Sir,

In accordance with the instructions contained

in Endorsement No.1493 (reproduced in the margin) on Cur-

rent No. 470, dated 28"

June 1895, the appoint-

ment of Superintendent

of the Madras Lighthouse has not been permanently filled.

and though, by a departmental arrangement made by Com-

mander Powell, the Lighthouse Mechanic performs the duty

of Superintendent in addition to his own particular

duties, the inconvenience caused by the post remaining

unfilled has not been lessened. The Lighthouse Mechanic

has to be frequently sent away from Madras in connection

with the requirements of apparatus at coast ports, and

during these absences the valuable apparatus at Madras

has to be left under the charge of a keeper on Rupees 15

per mensem, and this man complains that the pay he re-

ceives is not commensurate with the responsibilities 5

which devolve on him while in charge of the lighthouse.

In this view I concur, and as the keeper in question has

performed satisfactorily the additional duty which has

so frequently devolved on him. I would beg that, pending

sanction being accorded to the establishment proposed by

Mr. Ashpitel in Table V page 19 of G.O. No. 245, Marine.

dated 8th April 1896, a charge allowance of 1/10" of the

pay of the Superintendent of the Lighthouse according to

the

the present scale, viz, Rupees 100 per mensem, be allowed on each occasion of the Lighthouse Mechanic being required for special duty elsewhere, and that this may have retrospective effect from the date from which the post of Superintendent was left unfilled in accordance with the Government Endorsement quoted above.

2. I have consulted Mr. Ashpitel on the subject and he recommends that the establishment proposed by him for the Madras Lighthouse should be brought into force at once in order that he may have the services of the Lighthouse Mechanic available for work in connection with Lighthouse matters generally.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Obedient Servant,

H. A. B. T. T.

Commander, R.I.M.,

Presidency Port Officer.

*Encls 1
Mar 13 42/26*

no 3734

Print
P 1502
Fort St. George,
Accountant General's Office,
4th December 1896.

Returned with the remark that the proposed charge allowance to the Light House Keeper is admissible under Article 176 of the Civil Service Regulations provided that the Acting Superintendent reverts to his substantive post of Light House Mechanic and draws his pay as such during his absence from Madras.

2. As regards giving retrospective effect to this allowance, if sanctioned for the past years also, it is observed that this cannot be done as there was no vacancy in those years, Mr. Cotterill having drawn his pay as Acting Superintendent of the Light House during his absence on tour.

U. A. B. T. T.

Offg. Accountant General.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Marine Department.

112

No. 5982

M. V. M.
Government of Madras.
~~PUBLIC WORKS~~ DEPARTMENT.

MEMORANDUM.

24/11
Dated Madras, 23rd November 1896.

*Letter from the Presidency Port
Officer, Madras, No 1271/1374 S.,
dated 16th November 1896.*

*Referred to the Accountant
General for report on the
proposed charge allowance.*

*J. M. P. S. Col. R. E.,
Secretary.*
Yours

*The Accountant General,
— to be returned.*

