

22.3-11 ✓

Marine (1897) Department.

Encl

G.O., No. 948 *Mar*

DATED 19. November 1897

20/11/97

[Despatch Abstract.]

Jetty at Cochin within port limits.

Sanctioning the erection by Messrs Pierce Leslie & Co. of a — to the west side of the one they now own on the condition that if need should arise the Jetty may be removed by order of Government without compensation on six months previous notice; and stating that all sanctions to the erection of private Jetties within port limits will carry this condition.

10/11

Dr 18/11/97

[111-21-10-97]

19/11/97

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following papers:—

From Commander H. A. STREET, R.I.M., Presidency Port Officer, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Marine Department, dated 16th September 1897, No. 956/335 G.

I have the honour to acquaint you, for the information of Government, that Messrs. Pierce, Leslie & Co. of Cochin have requested permission to erect a new jetty to the west side of the one they now own to meet the present larger requirements of their trade. I enclose copy of their letter to the local Port officer on the subject and a plan showing the position of the present and proposed jetties, and as I see no objection to the new jetty, I recommend sanction of Government being accorded to its erection on the condition that Messrs. Pierce, Leslie & Co. will pay to credit of Port Funds a rent of Rs. 50 (fifty) per annum—*vide* G.O., No. 144, Marine, of 3rd May 1893, and my letter of 27th June 1893, read in G.O., No. 250, Marine, of 21st July 1893.

ENCLOSURE.

From Messrs. PIERCE, LESLIE & Co. to the Port Officer, Cochin, dated 8th September 1897.

As you are aware, our operations during the busy season are much hampered for the want of another jetty in addition to the one we have at present, and we have the honour to request you will be good enough to grant permission to us to erect another one to the west of our present one, where there is at present a fishing net, we undertaking to settle with the owner thereof.

The proposed jetty would be somewhat similar to our present one, which is 59' 9" long and 18' 9" wide, and if you wish it, we will agree not to build it wider or longer than this.

We enclose a plan showing the locality of the proposed jetty and as our busy season is now approaching, we shall be much obliged if you will give the matter your early consideration.

Thanking you in anticipation.

From Commander H. A. STREET, R.I.M., Presidency Port Officer, Madras, to the Secretary to Government, Marine Department, dated 4th November 1897, No. 956/388 G.

With respect to Marine Department memorandum No. 613 E., dated 5th October 1897, I have the honour to acquaint you, for the information of Government, that the condition on which a new jetty is permitted to be erected would be that specified in the concluding portion of this office letter, No. 956/403 G., dated 11th November 1892, recorded in G.O., No. 11, Marine, dated 14th January 1893, namely, that the structure shall be subject to removal on six months' previous notice, and this condition is always made known to the parties concerned before any land within port limits is assigned for private use.

2. I have, however, to point out that at Cochin there are as many as eighteen private jetties already in existence. These appear to have been erected without any conditions as to removal, and the majority of them are reported to be at least 50 years old, but there is nothing forthcoming in the records either in the Cochin Port office or in this office as to the particular year in which they were put up. At Cochin the mercantile houses are mostly located by the foreshore, and the jetties in question have apparently been run out at the convenience of the firms and without anybody's intervention or permission.

3. In 1895, Messrs. Pierce, Leslie & Co. applied for permission to erect godowns on land within port limits opposite their office premises. This, however, was refused—*vide* G.O., No. 291, Marine, dated 2nd October 1895.

ORDER—No. 948, Marine, dated 19th November 1897.

His Excellency the Governor in Council sanctions the erection by Messrs. Pierce, Leslie & Co. of a jetty at Cochin within port limits, to the west side of the one they now own, on the condition that if need should arise the jetty may be removed by order of Government, without compensation, on six months' previous notice.

2. It must be clearly understood that all sanctions to the erection of private jetties within port limits will carry this condition.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Presidency Port Officer.

Exd. Md. Pahlavan.

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Again G.O., dated

189 , No.

Current No. 1257

of 1897, dated 16.9.97, from Presy, Port Officer.

" " 1420

" 1897, " 4.11.97, " 80

" "

" 189 , " , "

10-948 Mar 19-11-97

ORDER.

His Excellency, the Governor in Council sanctions the erection by Messrs Pierce Leslie & Co. of a jetty at Cochin, within port limits, to the west side of the one they now own, on the condition that if need should arise the jetty may be removed by order of Government, without compensation, on six months previous notice.

2. It must be clearly understood that all sanctions to the erection of private jetties within port limits will carry this condition.

The Presy Port Officer

26/11/97
R.S.
19.11.97

C. No. 5 1257 + 1420.

~~HIS EXCELLENCY THE GOVERNOR.~~

SUBJECT.

THE HON'BLE SIR HENRY BLISS, K.C.I.E.

~~THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.~~

Private jetty at Cochin

FOR ORDERS.

x Flag C.

With his letter No. ^x 956 ³³⁵ dated 16 September 1897, the Presidency Port Officer brought forward a request made by Messrs Pierce Leslie & Co. for the erection of a new jetty at Cochin to the west side of the one they now own. The Hon'ble Member having asked for further information, the Presidency Port Officer was called on to report the conditions on which private jetties are allowed to be built within port limits at Cochin, and whether it is clearly understood that, if need should arise, all these jetties may be ordered to be removed, on reasonable notice, without

compensation.

In reply, the Presidency Port Officer states that the condition on which a new jetty is permitted to be erected is that specified in the concluding portion of his letter recorded with [#]Co. N^o. 11, Marine, dated 14th January 1893. As there stated, the condition is as follows:-

"All lands rented * * shall be subject to reappropriation by Government when necessary on six months previous notice."

Nothing is said as to refusal of compensation, and the condition itself has not been embodied in the notification issued by Government. Vide Co. N^o. 250, Marine, dated 21 July 1893. The Presidency Port Officer states, however, that this condition is always made known to the parties concerned before any land within port limits is assigned for private use. The exact manner in which the condition is made known is not

& flag E.

flag D.

* flag H.

stated; it is not therefore certain that the notice is actually binding in law.

It would further appear that there are 18 private jetties of older dates, in regard to which there are no conditions as to removal. It may be difficult to enforce a law that has not yet been made with regard to these, but it seems necessary to frame

for - This must be made quite clear. Intend to this understanding. I think it is in future we as far as possible

BS
17/11/97

15.11.97

Marine (1897) Department.

CURRENT No. 1420

Grice No. 1

From

The Presidency Port Office,
Madrado

Order

No. $\frac{956}{388}$ 9

Dated 4th November 1897.

Received } 6 - 11 - 97
Registered }

Enclosures "

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Informing as to the condition
on which private jetties are
allowed to be built within
port limits at Lochin.

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
6134	5-10-97
311	30-3-27
291	2-10-95
250	21-7-93
144	3-5-93
"	14-1-93

FURTHER PAPERS.

No. 956/388 *g*

Presidency Port Office,
Madras, ¹⁴ November, 1897.

From

Commander H. A. Street, R.I.M.,
Presidency Port Officer,
Madras

TO

The Secretary to Government,
Marine Department,
Chepak.

Sir,

With respect to Marine Department Memorandum, No. 613 E dated 5th October 1897, I have the honour to acquaint you, for the information of Government, that the condition on which a new jetty is permitted to be erected would be that specified in the concluding portion of this office letter No. 956/403 G dated 11th November 1892 recorded in G. O. Marine No. 11 dated 14th January 1893, namely, that the structure shall be subject to removal on six months' previous notice, and this condition is always made known to the parties concerned before any land within port limits is assigned for private use.

2 I have, however, to point out that at Cochin there are as many as 18 private jetties already in existence. These appear to have been erected without any conditions as to removal, and the majority of them are reported to be at least 50 years old, but there is nothing forthcoming in the records either in the Cochin Port office or in this office as to the particular year in which they were put up. At Cochin the mercantile houses are mostly located by the foreshore, and the jetties in question have apparently been run out at the convenience of

the

the Firms and without anybody's intervention or permission.

3. In 1895 Messrs. Pierce Leslie & Co. applied for permission to erect godowns on land within port limits opposite their office premises. This, however, was refused, vide G. O. Marine No. 291 dated 2nd October 1895.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your Obedient Servant,

H. A. Theet

Commr.: R.I.M.,

Presidency Port Officer.

Marine (1897) Department.

CURRENT No.

1251

The Hon Sir Henry Pollock K.C. J.C.

From

*The Presidency Port Officer,
Madras*

Order

*Sanctioned on the
terms proposed by the Presi-
dency Port Officer.*

No. *956*
335 9

Dated *16th September 1897*

Received

Registered

Enclosures

Spare copies

20-9-97
2. (1 plan)

*The papers put up don't show, but I
suppose it is clearly understood that, if need
should arise, all these jetties may be ordered to be
removed on reasonable notice, without compensation?*

The P.O. with 1 sketch
" Acct. Genl."

R.S.

SUBJECT.

*Recommending sanction
for Messrs Pierce Leslie
& Co of Cochin to erect a
new jetty nearer to their
present one.*

H. M. 21-9-97 R 23-9-97

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

Scale 10 cables or 1 sea mile



Montreal
October

STATE -

BRITISH COCHIN

Partrolia

Trans
Bum

Leave him
Whatsoever

5111

Neurotransmitter - the messenger

4-Vollblatt-1706-Markense

π

7

Mr. Raymond J.

Wentworth's J:

2
Brenton: T.

Public J.

Part of Decline of Medicine - showing the
preparation of the - and the 3 on the

人
 人
 人
 人
 人
 人

Cochin September 8th 1897

The Port Officer,
Cochin.

Sir

As you are aware, our operations during the lusey season are much hampered for the want of another jetty in addition to the one we have at present. We have the honor to request you will be good enough to grant permission to us to erect another one to the west of our present one, where there is at present a fishing net, we undertaking to settle with the owner thereof.

The proposed jetty would be somewhat similar to our present one, which is 59' 9" long and 18' 9" wide, and if you wish it, we will agree not to build it wider or longer than this.

We enclose a plan showing the locality of the proposed jetty and as our lusey season is now approaching, we shall be much obliged if you will give the matter your



your early consideration.

Thanking you in anticipation.

We have &c

/s/ Pierce Leslie & Co

(True copy)

A. S. Street

Commander R. I. M.,
Presidency Port Officer

recd
125/19

Heading.

No. 956 G
335

PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICE,
Madras 16th September 1897.

From

Commander A. S. Street, R. I. M.,
PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,

Madras,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

MARINE DEPARTMENT,

Chepak.

SIR,

I have the honor to acquaint you for the information of Government, that Messrs. Pierce Leslie & Co of Cochin have requested permission to erect a new jetty to the west side of the one they now own to meet the present larger requirements of their trade. I enclose copy of their letter to the local Port Officer on the subject and a plan shewing the position of the present and proposed jetties, and as I see no objection to the new jetty, I recommend sanction of Government being accorded to its erection on the condition that Messrs Pierce Leslie & Co will pay to credit of Port Funds a rent of Rupees (50) fifty per annum (vide G.O. Marine, No 144 of 3rd May, 1893 and my letter of

27th

27th June 1893 read in G.O. Marine, No. 250,
of 21st July 1893.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your obedt. servant,
A. Street
Commander, R.N.M.
Piercy Port-officer.