

8664779
Marine (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 622 war

DATED 14. Sept 1896.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Flag staff at Sallieberry: Correspondence
on the subject of the removal of certain
Coconut trees in front of the —, records.

Rev 27-7-86

Marine (1896) DEPARTMENT.

From

THE PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,

Madras,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

PUBLIC WORKS (MARINE) DEPARTMENT,

Madras.

No. $\frac{1747}{247} 9$

Dated 24

Received

} July 1896.

No.

Subject.

Requesting sanction for
payment of compensation
for removing Cocoanut trees
in front of the flagstaff
at Tellicherry.

Heading.

No. 1747

247

PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICE,

Madras, 24th July 1896.

From

Commander H. A. Street, R.M.,

PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,

Madras,

To

THE ~~CHIEF~~ SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

MARINE DEPARTMENT,

Fort Saint George,

Chepauk

SIR,

I have the honor to report that in June last one Varambaliath Mammali of Tellicherry planted (5) five coconut trees in front of the flagstaff at that port which, if allowed to grow, will in course of time completely intercept the view of the flagstaff from the shipping. The Collector was therefore communicated with in view to having the trees removed as the planting of these was an operation contrary to a notice issued by him that no lands within port limits are to be utilized for any purpose without the previous sanction of the Presidency Port Officer.

The

The Collector now informs me that the removal of the trees cannot be effected except by payment of compensation as the land in question though situated in port limits is Government land according to the Revenue accounts and has been in the undisturbed possession of Mamali's Tarawad on payment of assessment for a series of years.

2. Under the circumstances I beg that sanction of Government may be obtained to payment of such compensation as the Collector may fix.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,
your obedt. servant,

W. A. Theet
Commander, R. S. M.
Presidency Port Officer

Marine Dept

Memorandum

Letter from the Presy Port Officer No. 1747 dated
24 July 1896.

No. 380 Ed 30 July 1896

The undersigned is directed to request that the Presy. Port Officer will be so good as to report whether, if the five trees in front of the flagstaff are paid for and removed, there is any likelihood of the owner of the land planting others in a new position. It is necessary that this point should be made clear. At present there is nothing to show the extent of land available for planting.

The Presy Port Officer
W. A. Theet
28/7/96

28/7/96

M

C No 816

W

380 E
3078

There does not seem sufficient information to enable Gov^r to pass orders. There is nothing to show the extent of the land in front of the Flagstaff & whether, if these five trees are paid for and removed, the owner of the land may not be in a position to plant a great many more in another place. It probably is all right, but H. E. may wish to have further information.

N. S.
27 July 96

The above information is
supplied to & appears the
owner to be a planting trees
which it is not considered

27/7/96

No 380 E 30 July 1896

Marine (1896) Department.

CURRENT No.

979

Order

From

The Presidency Port Office,
Madras

No. $\frac{1747}{297}$ 9

Dated 8 September 1896.

Received 10 September 1896

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Informing that the owner C
has promised not to plant
any more trees which will
obstruct the view of the
Flagstaff at Tellicherry.

No. 1747
297

From

To

THE ~~CHIEF~~ SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

~~Fort Saint George~~

Chepak

SIR,

SIR,
With reference to Marine Department Memorandum No. 380 E, dated 30th July 1896 I have the honor to report that it has now been ascertained that it will be sufficient if only three trees are removed. This, the Sub Collector of North Malabar States, the owner is prepared to do without compensation. The other two trees are more inland and not likely to obstruct the view of the flagstaff from the sea when they grow up. If they do the question of removing them can then be raised, but it will not have to be done for some years to come.

2. The space on which these trees are growing is very limited and the owner has promised not-

not to plant any more trees on it.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,
your obedt. servant,

Wm. H. Street
Commander, R. I. M.,
Vesey. Fort Officer

Wm. H. Street

red
not