

870  
Marine (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 557 Marine

DATED 19 August 1896.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Indian Ports Act (X of 1889): directing  
the publication of a Notification in the Fort St. George  
and South Arcot District Gazettes withdrawing the  
from the port of Allacanam and referring for  
consideration in the Revenue Dept. the inquiry of  
the Govt. of India as to whether trade has entirely  
ceased at the port of Pundicherry in Ganjam District.

Directing the publication of a notification in the Fort St. George and South Arcot District Gazettes withdrawing the — from the Port of Merkanam, and referring, for consideration in the Revenue Department, the enquiry of the Government of India as to whether trade has entirely ceased at the Port of Púndi in Ganjámb district.

No. 557, MARINE, 19TH AUGUST 1896.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper:—

From J. E. O'CONOR, Esq., Assistant Secretary to the Government of India, Finance and Commerce Department, to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Marine Department, dated Simla, the 6th August 1896, No. 3363 S.R.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 446, dated the 19th June 1896, requesting the sanction of the Government of India to the withdrawal of the Indian Ports Act, X of 1889, from the Ports of Púndi and Merkanam in the Ganjám and South Arcot districts respectively.

2. As regards Merkanam, where there is no trade, I am to say that the Governor-General in Council sanctions the withdrawal of the Act under section 4 (c) thereof.

3. At Púndi, it appears that there was trade, though inconsiderable until and during the year 1895-96. Before, therefore, sanctioning the withdrawal of the Act from that port, the Government of India desire to be informed whether trade has since entirely ceased there.

ORDER—No. 557, Marine, dated 19th August 1896.

Ordered that the following notification be published in the Fort St. George and South Arcot District Gazettes:—

NOTIFICATION.

"In exercise of the power conferred by section 4 (1) (c) of the Indian Ports Act (X of 1889), and with the previous sanction of the Governor-General in Council, the Governor of Fort St. George in Council is pleased to withdraw the said Act from the Port of Merkanam in the South Arcot district, at which port the said Act is now in force."

2. Paragraph 3 of the letter from the Government of India will be considered in the Revenue Department.

(True Extract.)

Under Secretary to Government.

To the Presidency Port Officer.

" Collector of South Arcot.

" Revenue Department, with a copy of G.O. No. 445, Marine, dated 19th June 1896.

" Superintendent, Government Press.

Exd. C. M. Brisson.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following

Letter from the Govt of India F.C. (H.E. No. 3363 SR d. Aug 6. 1896)

No 554 Marine d. 19<sup>th</sup> August 1896

Ordered that the following Notification be published in the Int S. George Gazette and South Arcot District Gazette:—

Notification.

In exercise of the power conferred by section 4 (1) (c) of the Indian Ports Act (X of 1889) and with the previous sanction of the Governor-General in Council, the Governor of Int S. George in Council is pleased to withdraw the said Act from the port of Mercanum in the South Arcot District, at which port the said Act is now in force.

2. Para 3 of the letter  
from the Government of  
India will be considered in  
the Revenue Dept.

13/8/96

MS 5.896.

Indian Ports Act withdrawn  
from the port of Merkhanam

(The Secretary to Government,  
Revenue Dept for favour of  
countermanding)

Received  
2/9/96

The Presidency Port Officer.  
" Collector of S. Coast.  
" Revenue Dept. with a  
copy of G.O. 445 Marine, of  
19 June 1896.  
" Supt. Gov. Press.

No. 3363 I.R.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

FINANCE AND COMMERCE DEPARTMENT.

Simla, the 6<sup>th</sup> August 1896.

To

The Secretary to the  
Government of Madras  
Marine Department.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the  
receipt of your letter No. 446 dated  
the 19<sup>th</sup> June 1896, requesting the sanc-  
tion of the Government of India to  
the withdrawal of the Indian Ports  
Act, x of 1889, from the ports of Pindi  
and Merkhanam in the Tanjore and  
South Arcot districts respectively.

2. As regards Merkhanam where  
there is no trade, I am to say that  
the Governor General in Council  
sanctions the withdrawal of the  
Act under section 4 (c) thereof.

2

8  
2  
to

3. At Pindi, it appears that there was trade, though inconsiderable until and during the year 1895-96. Before, therefore, sanctioning the withdrawal of the Act from that point, the Government of India desire to be informed whether trade has since entirely ceased there.

I have the honour to be,  
Yours most obedient servant.

J. R. Carr

Assistant Secy. to the Govt. of India.

1900  
er  
-  
a  
dy

Government of Madras.

1896.

DISPOSAL.

870.  
Recd 12-8-96

*Moine*

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

No.

dated

CURRENT No. 870

From

*The Govt of India  
Finance & Commerce Dept*

ENCLOSE.

No. 3363. S.R.

Dated 6-8-96

Received 12-8-96.

SUBJECT.

*Sanctioning the withdrawal of  
the Indian Ports Act from  
the Port of Mercanum  
and requesting that it may  
be reported whether trade  
has since entirely ceased  
at the Port of Pundi.*

*D. 445-6. mar 19.6.96 at  
3.0 776 Rev. 28-11-95 at  
Act X of 1889*