

4/10/21

~~27/5/84/MS~~

Marine (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 519 Marine

DATED 23-7-96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Redistribution of charges on account of
Dredger "Wenlock": Pres. Financial Dept
stating that a decision on the question of
the — will be deferred pending the settle-
ment of other questions in connection with
the Port and Marine Funds which are at
present under consideration, recorded.

BACK PAPERS.

FURTHER PAPERS.

$$\left[\frac{G.}{15} \right]$$

Govt. of { 1896 } Madras.

To

The Maria Deft

Proceedings, dated 22nd July, 1896,
No. 436

Subject.

stating that the decision on
the point raised in the letter
from the Presidency Post Officer
re the distribution of charges on
account of Dredger Winlock will
be deferred pending the settlement
of other questions in connection with
Post and Marine Fund which are
at present under consideration.

1,000-20-2-93.

367 Ed 20.7.86
 311 C 2 10.6.86.
 3248 mar 2 16.5.86
 225 u 2 1-4.86.
 60 mar 2 1-2.86.
 334 mar 2 14-11.95
 200 104 mar 2 30.8.95
 u 41 u 2 9.4.95
 24 mar 2 27.1.80.
 513.14 mar 2 10.12.89.
 387-82 mar 2 28.8.89.
 323 mar 2 26.7.89
 324 mar 2 26.7.89.
 243-44 mar 2 10.6.89.
 No. 519 marine 23. July 1986

Recorded

23/7/86

The P.P.O.
C.S.
7

DESPATCHED
29 JUL. 91
Wally

307

NO. 177.9

Presidency Port Office,
Madras, 27th May, 1896.

From

Commander. H. A. Street, R.I.M.

Presidency Port Officer,

Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government,

Marine Department,

Chepak.

Sir,

with reference to G.O. No. 286 Financial, dated 15th August 1895, directing the redistribution of charges on account of Dredger "Wenlock" ab initio, I have the honor to point out, that G.O. No. 24 Marine dated the 27th January 1896 lays down, that all charges that cannot be properly debited to any particular port or ports shall be treated as General Charges and distributed among all the ports in the Presidency in proportion to the total tonnage of vessels entering each port during the official year in which such charges are incurred, whereas, in para 6 (iii) of the G.O. under reference, it is ordered, that the repayment of Provincial Contribution on account of Dredger Wenlock's charges, though treated as General Charges as per para 6, should be distributed among, Madras, Eastern and Western Group funds in proportion to their Opening Balances on the 1st April 1895. I have the honor to request, that I may be informed, whether there should not be one uniform principle of distributing General Charges.

2. The following is a statement shewing the result of the distribution of the net Provincial Contribution of Rupees 1,12,852 in proportion to the ^{average} ~~total~~ tonnage of vessels that entered Madras, Eastern and Western Groups during the

four years 1891-92 to 1894-95, and also in proportion to the Opening Balances on the 1st April 1895.

	E. Group	W. Group	Madras	Total
As per average tonnage of vessels.....	Rs. 60,533	33,855	18,464	1,12,852
As per Opening Balance on 1st April 1895	Rs. 13,175	56,799	42,878	1,12,852
	+47,358	-22,944	-24,414	...

3. From the above it will be seen, that if the charges are distributed in proportion to the tonnage of vessels, the share debitable to the Eastern Group comprising of 10 districts will be Rs. 47,358 more, and those of the Western Group districts and Madras will be Rs. 22,944 & 24,414 respectively less than what they would be if the charges in question were debited in proportion to their Opening Balances on the 1st April '95. The distribution on the latter principle would throw a heavy share on Madras and Western Group funds as their balances were large, although the Dredger "Wenlock" was not made use of either at Madras or at any port in the Western Group. It would on the other hand decrease the share of the Eastern Group a great deal where the vessel worked at two ports viz, Pamban and Cocanada.

4. Under these circumstances, it appears to me, that the distribution of Rs. 1,12,852 should be made in proportion to the average tonnage of vessels that entered Madras, Eastern and Western Groups respectively during the official years 1891-92 to 1894-95, and not in proportion to the Financial Balances on the 1st April 1895.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your Obedient Servant,

[Signature]

Commr. R. I. M.
Presidency Port Officer.

Financial Department

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following paper:-

Endorsement of the Marine Department, No. 511 B, dated 10th June 1896, on letter from the Presidency Port Officer, No. 177 G, dated 27th May 1896.

O R D E R, No. 456, dated 23rd July 1896.

The decision on the point raised in the letter from the Presidency Port Officer read above will be deferred pending the settlement of other questions in connection with Port and Marine Funds which are at present under consideration.

(TWO EXTRACTS)

[Signature]

A: Assistant Secretary,

for Chief Secretary.

To

The Marine Dept with Presidency Port Officer's letter

in original letter to be returned.

Accountant General