15/10/03
4.10.12

The original

MARINE DEPAI ENT.

FROM

THE CHAIRMAN,
HARBOUR TRUST BOARD,
MADRAS.

Recd: 10.4.96

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Marine Department.

No. 260 Marine, d/21st April 1896

DATED 9th

DESPATCHED 9th April 1896

RECEIVED

ENCLOSURE

No. 69(a)

Communicated to the Examiner Public Works Accounts.

16/4/96

SUBJECT.

Reports that the Collector of Income tax will be advised as soon as payments are made from Provident fund and that the system of accounts as now kept are simpler & the forms suggested are unsuitable the Trustees prefer not to make any alterations.

The Ex PW Ac.
Dft 11/4

CWP
11.4.96.

R.S.

The Acctt General for
Dft 11/5 96

R.S.

SCOT INK PRESS.

No. 1494 d/ 24-4-88
R " 53 " 6-3-94
" 224 " 15-6-94
" 291 " 23-7-94
" 427 " 6-11-94
" 252 " 16-8-95
" 23 " 16-1-96
" 202-3 " 24-3-96

No. 634 W d/ 7-3-94
" 1635 W " 2-7-94
" 1842 W " 24-7-94

No. 277 Mar. d/ 24-4-96

OLS.1

for discuss

15/10/03

Harbour Trust Office,

MADRAS, 9 April 1896.

No. 69(a)

From Wave Austin Esqre Isd

CHAIRMAN, HARBOUR TRUST BOARD,
MADRAS.

To

The Honble Col. D McNeil Campbell R.E

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Marine Department.

Sir

Referring to para 2. of G.O. No. 23 Marine dated 16th Jany 1896, I have the honor to report as follows.

Para 14 (d) That advice will in future be sent to the Collector of Income tax as soon as payments are made from Provident fund.

Para 14 (e) The accounts as now kept are simpler than as proposed and the forms as suggested are considered unsuitable, the Trustees therefore prefer not to make any alterations.

I have the honor to be
Sir.
Your most obdt Servant

W Austin
Chairman
A T B

Ag Secy

The original

470

the

What Mc Bio

i correct

15/10/03

Harbour Trust Office,

MADRAS, 9 April 1896.

No. 69(a)

FROM Wave Austin Esqre I.C.S.

CHAIRMAN, HARBOUR TRUST BOARD,
MADRAS.

TO The Honble Col. D McNeil Campbell R.E

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Marine Department.

Sir

Referring to para 2 of G.O. No 23 Marine dated 16th Jany 1896, I have the honor to report as follows.

Para 14 (d) That advice will in future be sent to the Collector of Income tax as soon as payments are made from Provident funds.

Para 14 (e) The accounts as now kept are simpler than as proposed and the forms as suggested are considered unsuitable. The Trustees therefore prefer not to make any alterations.

I have the honor to be
Sir.
Your most obdt Servant

W Austin
Chairman
H.T.B.

Ag Secy

---

the original

470

NOTE

Note for the

Mr. Sto

I disposed of the

I came here and from this file that

came from G.O. 378 Marine 24/10/93

1/4/93

P. se add the ... file to be ... marine office

obliged if

be plain this

for now put

and

whether the

be submitted

Hon: Mr. Garst

7.0.B
16/

For the

What Mr Bird

correct. You discuss

Wenlock

copy

Honor-

C. P. 13—420,743—27-10-26.

Harbour Trust Office,

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

Mr. Stolberg's Case

Please see G.O. No. 218, d/ 21.5.89. Apparently Govt: must do something. The man has not been dismissed & is fit for further service: He has 22 years already & cannot well be turned adrift

Has Mr Stolberg any lien upon employment under Govt.?

8/10

Note for the C.S.

Please see article 842 of the C.S. Regns. As far as the Govt: service is concerned Mr. Stolberg's appt: was abolished for it was transferred with its holder to the H. Trust Board. Mr. S. has no lien on any other appt: under Govt. but he has a lien on the appt: he held immediately before he went on furlo' for he has been drawing half pay from it & it has not been permanently filled up. - F.S.B. 8/x

---

The orig

service

-pensed with - and it is understood Mr Stolberg's appt: of Traffic Manager has not been permanently filled up tho' his furlo' ~~appt.~~ expired some time ago. The case, as it stands, would not be a nice one to put before India and it is a question whether it would not be preferable to the Board to give

S.A.

NOTE FOR THE ~~CHIEF SECRETARY.~~

You spoke to me about this case: & the only way out of the mess is the following —;

1. Govt. told the H.T. Bd. to act exactly as they liked. If they didn't care to retain him they might have dismissed him; — of course if they could maintain their ground against appeals up to the Privy Council — They however granted him a furlo with a lien on his appt.; so that he could claim to revert to some similar appt. under the very

15/10/83

Marine C. No. 971

C.A.

Office,

NOTE FOR THE ~~CHIEF SECRETARY.~~

The Chief Secy. has spoken about this. He is of opinion, I understand, that the Ct. No. should be submitted to the Hon. Mr Stokes with a short note (for orders) stating the case — how the matter was by mutual consent shelved at the time Mr S. was granted furlo' — how it has now come up again — how the inability of Mr S. to produce a medl. certificate (not his fault

for further [illegible]

53 [illegible]

and from [illegible]

to the [illegible]

I understood you to wish this to be put down in the note, & I also understood from what you said that the note was to be on the lines indicated in my slip to Mr Bird — The course (as you want

adoption undesirable in last degree — Mr. W.

Govt.

has no lien on any other appt: but he has a lien on the appt: he held immediately before he went on furlo' for he has been drawing half pay from it & it has not been permanently filled up. — F.S.B. 8/x

---

The orig

Marine Ctd 374/[illegible]

I cannot find out from this file what Mr Stolberg was the reason for a rather long [illegible] be made to take furlough & then retire

I cannot find [illegible] 378 Marine 22/10/83

1/2/83

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

Mr Stolberg's case is a very peculiar one. He was transferred not but to the Board and the Govt: approved of the action the Board took in granting him two years furlo' on the understanding that he re~~tired~~-tired at the end of it. But that is not the usual notice an individual gets when his app services are to be dis-

Office,

NOTE ~~FOR THE UNDER SECRETARY.~~

the remark that
if Govt. is inclined to
try & protect Mr Stolberg
the only way in which
it can be done (if at
all) is by the C.S.
seeing Mr Austen &
telling him that Govt.
expects him to try &
[illegible] matters by at
~~[illegible]~~ simply not
[illegible] for [illegible] till
he is 55

M.C.N° 718

C.O.:

The Despatch is in C.O.
60 of 94.
Mr. Stolberg has already
been told that further
comm^ns from him will
not be noticed
(C.O. 323 of 94)
This may be therefore
Lodged.

C.W.
17/9

permanently billed life - F.W.S. 7

I dispensed with. He
was a very troublesome
man and the Trustees
would not keep him on
any terms.

R.S.
2 ap 96.

15/10/03

Office,

NOTE ~~FOR THE~~ UNDER SECRETARY.

the remark that
if Govt. is inclined to
try & protect Mr Stolberg
the only way in which
it can be done if at
all is by the C.S.
seeing the Austrian &
telling him that Govt.
expects him to try &
settle matters by at
[illegible] employing [illegible]
for all [illegible] till
he is 55

M.C. No 718

C.S.:

The Despatch is in G.O.
60 of 94.
Mr. Stolberg has already
been told that further
comns. from him will
not be noticed
(G.O. 323 of 94)
This may be therefore
Lodged.

C.W.
17/9

permanently filled up. - [illegible]

11

A.C.A.
He seems to think
his case has not
been considered
by the D.M.S. Have
we the D.M.S.'s desp.
here on it?

JOB
17/9

55

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

The whole case is a
special one and has been
dealt with here. The
Marine Dept file will
be incomplete if these
papers are sent to
Pension Dept. It is not
an ordinary pension
case. I have marked the
original G.O. to the Pension
Dept on the draft. We say
"will be granted" - Pension
dept will say "is granted".
Subd. that the draft order
may issue if not object

15/10/03

Office,

NOTE ~~FOR THE UNDER SECRETARY.~~

the remark that
if Govt. is inclined to
try & protect Mr Stolberg
was in ship

470

Note for the Chief Asst.

Mr. Stolberg's case -
Please see last sentence
of the C.S.'s note of 9th inst. -
"The course suggested
in the Office Note would
I submit be both unde-
-sirable and improper".

The Office Note was based
on your slip of the 5th inst. wh.
I understood contained
the C.S.'s instructions -
I should certainly not
have suggested the course
myself. I did so under
orders, and had I seen
the C.S.'s note before it went
to the Hill, I would have
pointed this out to the
C.S. I shall be much
obliged if you will ex-
-plain this to the S. of S.

C.W.
17/9

11

A.C.A.
He seems to think
his case has not
been considered
by the S. of S. Have
we [illegible] desp
here on it?

J.S.B.
17/9

55

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

The whole case is a
special one and has been
dealt with here. The
Marine Dept file will
be incomplete if these
papers are sent to
Pension Dept. It is not
an ordinary pension
case. I have marked the
original G.O. to the Pension
Dept on the draft. We say
"will be granted" - Pension
dept will say "is granted".
Subd. that the draft order
may issue if not object

arbour Trust Office,

Mr.
What is known of
this

East Lynne
Prominade Road
Bangalore 20th March

Harold Stuart Esqr C.S.
Private Secretary
to His Excellency Sir
Arthur Havelock G.C.M.G., G.C.I.E.
The Governor in Council
Fort St. George
Madras

Sir

I have the honour to bring to the notice of His Excellency the Governor that I addressed a letter to the late Governor Lord Wenlock on the 7th of September 1895, copy of the same with another copy of my memorial to the Right Honourable The Secretary of State dated 17th June 1895 is forwarded under another cover for His Excellency's perusal. These documents will speak for themselves of the hardships that I have borne for having done but my honest duty.

The

Ch. Sec
24/3

Excellency's special attention, *viz.*, to my repeated appeals against wrongful dismissal without hearing the whole of my letters stand unchallenged, uncontradicted and without a single word of censure. It is said that silence gives consent; if so,

The original
with Chief Secretary
to Govt. of Madras
C.

BANGALORE, *7th September, 1895.*

To

The Private Secretary
to His Excellency the Right Hon'ble
the Governor in Council,
FORT SAINT GEORGE,
OOTACAMUND.

SIR,

I have the honor to request that you will be pleased and lay my hard case, and the following facts before his Excellency the Governor, for his kind and favourable consideration.

That on the 28th of August last a copy of my Memorial, dated 17th June, 1895, (the original now with the Government of Madras) was returned to me from India Office, through the Government of Madras, and Bangalore Residency Office, with Memo. dated 27th August, 1895, which intimates, that "no representations from individuals in India will be entertained by the Home Government, unless they shall have been submitted through the Local Government."

I beg to submit that this is the fifth time that Home Government have condescended to return my appeals, since the 17th May, 1894—three originals and one by letter direct and one copy—the last is one of the three copies despatched on the 18th June, 1895. It was a surprise to me that a mere copy was noticed, and this only confirmed my humble opinion that there must be a mistake somewhere as Government Orders repeatedly state that my previous appeals had already been disposed of, and Government decline to forward any more. The last G. O. is dated the 11th July, 1895, No. 204. Now if it was so, probably the answer from Home Government would be most likely to the effect, that the Right Hon'ble the Secretary of State declines to re-consider the matter, as it is recorded that my Memorial has already been disposed of, and the question cannot be re-opened; but from first to last the action of the Home Government differs with that of Madras Government, and bears a strong doubt, now, that every criminal at a trial gets the benefit of a doubt. May I beg of His Excellency to grant me also that privelege, by allowing my appeal under reference to be forwarded to India Office for disposal, or dispose it at the Council Chambers; I would prefer the latter very much as it would save lots of time. I am quite confident that if His Excellency the Governor with the rest of the Members in Council would only go over the Demi-official letter written against me since the year 1889 and compare them with my letters of complaint they would find lots of doubts and statements that bear no light, and after few minutes consultation the unanimous verdict *would be not guilty on all counts,* thereby also see what I have had to suffer for being truthful and honest, for standing by my colours amidst shot and shell from officials of high standing in service.

There is another proof I beg to submit that goes in my favour and which corroborates the whole of my statements made to Government, to which I beg his Excellency's special attention, *viz.,* to my repeated appeals against wrongful dismissal without hearing the whole of my letters stand unchallenged, uncontradicted and without a single word of censure. It is said that silence gives consent; if so, then Government admit that they are in the wrong for not having instituted an inquiry when I appealed to them for help and justice against rascality and swindle that was committed in my department. (Traffic,) Government, instead of acting justly, joined the side of the swindle did not make the least inquiry, but passed orders and forced me against my will to go on two years furlough, with the understanding that I was to retire at the end of it. The Harbour Trust Board informed Government that they were willing and ready to contribute to my full pension, but the Accountant-General put a stop to that. Next, I was to appear before a Medical Board. This I did twice, and on both occasions the Board passed me fit for further and any service, and yet Government would not re-instate me. All this only goes to show that Government, in 1889, 1890 and 1891 were wanting in administration of justice. Although repeatedly I called the attention of Government to office records, as proofs these records were burnt; the guilty were upheld, the just crushed to starvation, with the mark of Cain. G. O's., passed about me six months after I was forced on leave, of which I am ignorant of to this day, and still against all this I am not allowed to appeal.

As to my compassionate allowance the orders passed by His Excellency the present Governor any one can understand it, His Excellency believing that justice was done to me by his predecessor, Lord Connemara. Yes, had it been so, there would not be occasion in writing this letter. Unfortunately I was left to the tender mercies of Sir Richard Barlow, Hon'ble Messrs. Stokes and Garstin. I may well quote Shakespeare's words.

> "Had I but served my God with half the zeal
> I served my king, he would not in mine age
> Have left me naked to my enemies."

In conclusion, I may add that certain confidence came over me which made me to sit and pen these lines that His Excellency the Governor will yet do justice before he will deliver over charge of his high office and depart for old England, and after all the suffering I have had to bear to be allowed to my *bona-fide* pension to what I would have been entitled to had Government acted justly from the first and allow my last appeal to be forwarded on to the Right Hon'ble the Secretary of State for disposal for which myself and family, consisting of wife and five children, will for the remainder of our lives always feel grateful.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
(Signed) C. STOLBERG.

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
OOTACAMUND, *10th September, 1895.*

SIR,

I am desired by His Excellency the Governor to acknowledge receipt of your Memorial. dated 7th inst., which has been transferred to the Chief Secretary to Government for disposal.

Yours faithfully,
(Signed) C. F. MACCARTIE,
(Private Secretary.)

To
C. STOLBERG, ESQ.,
BANGALORE.

(True Copies).

---

original
with Chief
Secretary to
Government Madras
cl

To

The Right Honorable Her Majesty's Principal Secretary of State for India in Council.

The humble Memorial of CHARLES STOLBERG, *late of the Marine Department of the Madras Government, and now residing on enforced retirement at Bangalore in the province of Mysore.*

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH

That your Memorialist was entertained in the service of Government in the Marine Department in March, 1869, and served in that office faithfully up to the 31st May, 1886. On the day following, 1st June, he was lent to the Harbour Trust Board, Mr. R. W. Barlow, C. S., being the first Chairman of the Board at the time, and Mr. Pinsent was appointed Secretary on the 1st August, 1886, by the recommendation of the Chairman.

That Memorialist took service under the Board with full intention, and hope of earning from his superiors the same measure of appreciation for zeal, honesty and ability as he had obtained from his former office (*vide* Appendix marked **T.**), his past services affording a guarantee that he would have done so had it not been for circumstances beyond his control. Twenty (20) Government orders, and the Harbour Trust Board are appended. These documents from first to last make a glaring show of gross injustice done towards your humble Memorialist.

That Memorialist as a public servant was in duty bound to bring irregularities to the notice of his superiors, and especially as these were connected with his own department (Traffic) your Memorialist in his letters, dated 21st and 25th March, 1889, brought to the notice of Mr. R. W. Barlow, C. S., the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, the misdoings of his Secretary, and requested inquiry, but this request was not complied with.

That on the 5th and 6th April, 1889, your Memorialist received letters Nos. 603 and 611, in which he was informed that his services were not required, and he was directed to retire that very afternoon from the charge of the Traffic Department, and to consider himself on furlough from and inclusive of to-morrow, Sunday, the 7th of April, 1889, subject to the approval of Government, and to this a rider was appended, "that it was to be distinctly understood that it is the desire of the Trustees of the Board that you (Memorialist) should retire at the end of it, and that the sanction of Government will be requested to that arrangement," *vide* Appendix **A.** & **B.**

That on the 8th of April, 1889, your Memorialist addressed a letter to the Chairman, requesting that he may be furnished with the charge or charges standing against him, and why he had, contrary to the Civil Leave Code, Rules 74-1ch.V., forced him to go on furlough after signing the declaration in January, 1889, prior to the privilege leave which Memorialist availed himself of at the time, and to retire at the end of it, which is also contrary to Government regulations.

That on the 19th April, 1889, your Memorialist received a reply, *vide* Appendix **C.**, in which the Board declines to furnish the charges, &c., as the matter is fully reported to Government. Nearly seven years has now elapsed, and still your Memorialist has not been furnished with the reasons from the Board's Proceedings against him.

That on the 25th April, 1889, your Memorialist appealed to Government against the gross injustice done to him by the Harbour Trust Board by being forced to go on furlough, and retire at the end of it, contrary to the rules of Government, and praying for strict inquiry into his case, as the facts were given in detail in the charges brought by Memorialist against the Board's Secretary (Mr. Pinsent) *viz., extravagance, wilful waste and wrongful payments for goods never supplied, and referring to the Board's books in support of these charges.*

That after the lapse of two months your Memorialist received a reply from Government in G. O., dated 22nd June, 1889, No. 279, in which he is informed that Government see no reason to interfere in his behalf, *vide* Appendix **D.**

That while on enforced furlough and smarting under the lash of unjust punishment, your Memorialist received several orders from the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, and Government directing him to appear before several doctors for the purpose of obtaining an invalid certificate to enable him to retire before and after the expiry of the enforced furlough. *vide* Appendix **E. K L. & M.** Eventually, Surg.-Major Hackett, on the 29th August, 1889, examined your Memorialist very carefully and pronounced him fit for another 10 years' service. His opinion was subsequently confirmed by the Medical Board sitting on the 12th September, 1891, by order of Government in G. O., dated 31st August, No. 391. The Board also in this instance pronounced your Memorialist fit for further service. The resolution of the Medical Board was recorded in G. O., dated 23rd October, 1891, *vide* Appendix **N.**

That on the 21st February, 1891, your Memorialist informed the Board that his enforced furlough expires on the 6th April, 1891, and that he wished to resume his appointment as Dr. Hackett had pronounced Memorialist fit for 10 years' further service.

That on the 4th March your Memorialist received true extracts of the Board's Proceedings of the 24th March, 1891, *vide* Appendix **F.**, *viz.*, Read various proceedings of the Board and Orders of Government in reference to the conditions under which the Trustees consented to furlough being granted to Mr. Stolberg, and resolved not to re-employ him, and the Chairman being invited to address Government on the matter.

That your Memorialist prays your Lordship's attention to the fact that he had not applied for the furlough as the Board would infer from their proceedings, but that it was forced upon him against his will. It was also officially reported and published in the daily newspapers that the whole of the records were burnt to ashes with the Harbour Trust Board Office, on the 19th October, 1890. In that case, where did the records come from which were read at the meeting? They must have been especially preserved, and the fire in the Harbour Office anticipated.

That in accordance with the Board's resolution of the 24th February, 1891, Mr. W. P. Austin, C. S., the Chairman (Mr. R. W. Barlow had retired by this time) addressed Government regarding your Memorialist in his letter, dated 3rd March, 1891, No. 1990, *vide* Appendix **G.**, and the same was forwarded with G. O. No. 87, dated 10th March, 1891, to the Accountant-General for report. That gentleman reports in his letter, No. 951, dated 2nd April, 1891, *vide* Appendix **I.**

That Accountant-General's reply was forwarded to the Chairman with G. O., No. 149, dated 18th April, 1891, *vide* Appendix **H.**, the same reached Memorialist on the 23rd July, 1891, after several applications.

That your Memorialist again most respectfully begs to point out that G. O., No. 475, dated 11th November, 1889, from which the Accountant-General frames his report, was never communicated to your Memorialist, although he was the party concerned. It is evident that the letter from the Harbour Trust Board to Government on which this order was passed for the enforced furlough must have been mere misrepresentations that can bear no light. Furlough leave was never known to be granted six months after a person is turned out of office.

That your Memorialist was put off from day to day and not allowed to resume his appointment by the Harbour Trust Board, and having no claim seemingly on Government, judging from Appendix **J. K. O. P. Q. & S.**, these orders show Memorialist's position resembling that of a shuttlecock between two battledoors; certainly not a very enviable position to be in after 20 years of stainless service under Government.

That eventually, after several appeals, your Memorialist received G. O., dated 6th February, 1893, No. 55, granting him a compassionate allowance of Rs.60 per mensem by His Excellency the Governor in Council from the date of the expiry of the (enforced) furlough from 7th April, 1891.

That on the 27th February, 1893, your Memorialist addressed Government against the granting of this reduced pension and was informed that

> "*The Secretary of State sanctioned the grant to him only of reduced pension less in amount than what would have been admissible, if he had been eligible, for an 'invalid or superannuation pension,' and that it was left to this Government (Madras) to fix the amount. This was done on full consideration of the circumstances of the case and with the knowledge that the full pension, if admissible would have been Rs. 97-11-10. Mr. Stolberg's request for full pension cannot be acceded to.*"

That Memorialist is constrained under the peculiar and special circumstances of his case to appeal most respectfully to your Lordship in Council against the exceptionally arbitrary manner in which he was treated, especially would he lay stress on the fact that as not a single charge of any kind has been brought against him, he has consequently been condemned unheard. The only reason he could assign for this action against him is, in consequence of facts of fraud brought to the notice of the Chairman of the Harbour Trust Board, committed by the Board's Secretary. Your Memorialist would respectfully point out that, having all along courted inquiry, which was never accorded to him, although he was ready to prove his allegations by office vouchers long before the 19th October, 1890, when the office was burned down and the records presumably destroyed. These documents would have been the means of proving the statements made by your Memorialist in his report to the Chairman of the Harbour Trust Board and Government. Why was your Memorialist not asked to prove his statements and proceeded against according to law if he was unable to do so?

That had there been the slightest flaw in your Memorialist's official record in the Marine Department it might have been an excuse for Government concurring (as it obviously did) with the Board and dispensing with Memorialist's future services, unheard.

That in the face of such an arbitrary exercise of authority, even if justifiable under the terms of instruction received from your Lordship in Council, your Memorialist is, of course, staggered and unable to realise on what ground he has been so harshly dealt with. Not a single rule of the Pension Code warrants your Memorialist being dealt with as he has been, nor can any reason or authority be found for starving Memorialist after serving Government faithfully and well for the past 20 years, and who has been the means of saving to Government thousands of rupees yearly as testified by the Port Officer prior to his transfer to the Harbour, Trust Board *vide* Appendix **T.**

That your Memorialist most respectfully prays that if the pension rules are to be broken in his case, and a pensionary allowance is prematurely forced on him, such allowance should be liberal and equitable, and should exceed rather than fall short of full pension due at date of such enforced retirement without the slightest cause.

Wherefore your Memorialist most humbly and respectfully prays that your Lordship in Council will be graciously pleased, in consideration of all the circumstances above recited and the exceptional hardship of his case, to direct that a special pensionary allowance be granted to him from the date of the expiry of the two years' enforced furlough, and that the two years may be taken to account as service towards pension, as he was forced to retire although a Medical Board pronounced him fit for further ten years' service as no charge whatsoever was brought against him.

And your Memorialist as in duty bound will ever pray.

(Signed) C. STOLBERG,
Late Traffic Manager,
Harbour Trust Board,
Madras.

No. 10, Promenade Road,
Bangalore. 17 June
1895

True copy

APPENDIX.

A.

No. 603-D.
Harbour Trust Board,
Madras, 5th April, 1889.

From
R. W. BARLOW, Esq., C.S.,
Chairman,
Harbour Trust Board, Madras.

To
TRAFFIC MANAGER,
Harbour Trust Board.

Sir,—In reply to your No. 56, of this date, I have the honor to refer you to the closing sentence of 74-i, Chapter V., Civil Leave Code, quoted by you.

2. In applying for Furlough, if you elect to do so—instead of immediately retiring, you have merely to mention that you do so under the orders of the Trust, when the objection which exists from your declaration will be waived.

I have, &c.,
R. W. BARLOW,
Chairman, Harbour Trust Board.

B.

No. 611-D.
Harbour Trust Board,
Madras, 6th April, 1889.

From
R. W. BARLOW, Esq.,
Chairman,
Harbour Trust Board, Madras.

To
Mr. C. STOLBERG,
Traffic Manager,
Harbour Trust Board.

Sir,—Referring to your letter, No. 56, dated 5th instant, I have the honor to forward for your information Extract of Proceedings of the Board in reference thereto passed at their meeting last evening, and to request that you will, this afternoon, retire from the charge of the Board's Traffic Department, making over charge to Mr. Hutchence, and that you consider yourself as on Furlough from and inclusive of to-morrow, Sunday, 7th April, subject to the approval of Government.

2. In granting you this Furlough, it must be distinctly understood that it is the desire of the Trustees that you Retire at the end of it, and the sanction of Government will be requested to that arrangement.

I have, &c.,
R. W. BARLOW,
Chairman, Harbour Trust Board.

C.

Extract of Proceedings of the Trustees of the Harbour of Madras, at the meeting held at the Office of the Board on Monday, the 15th day of April, 1889.

* * * * * *

8. Read letters from Mr. C. Stolberg, Traffic Manager, (on furlough), dated 8th instant, with reference to the Board's resolution No. 4, of the 5th idem and 10th April, 1889, requesting that he may be supplied with a complete file of letters read at the Board's Meetings, connected with his furlough.

Read minutes by the Chairman, Capt. W. L. C. Baddeley, R. E., and Mr. Cowasjee Eduljee Panday.

Resolved that the Trustees decline to grant copies of any further correspondence. The matter has been fully reported to Government.

True Extract forwarded to Mr. C. Stolberg for his information.

(By order)

C. PINSENT,
Secretary,
Harbour Trust Board.

(*True Copy.*)

D.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS. MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read following papers:—

From Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, dated 12th April, 1889, No. 1426.

From Mr. C. Stolberg, Traffic Manager, Harbour Trust Board (through the Chairman), dated 25th April, 1889.

From the Accountant-General, Madras, dated 6th June, 1889, No. 1856.

ORDER—*22nd June*, 1889, No. 279.

The Government having considered Mr. Stolberg's Memorial "sees no reason to interfere in his behalf." The Board may pass such final orders in his case as they think fit.

* * * * * *

(True Extract)
(Signed) W. L. C. BADDELEY, CAPT., R. E.,
Under-Secretary. P. W. D.,
for Assistant Secretary.

E.

No. 3047.
HARBOUR TRUST BOARD OFFICE,
MADRAS, *26th August*, 1889.

From

SIR RICHARD BARLOW, *Bart*, C. S.,
Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, Madras.

To

MR. C. STOLBERG,
Traffic Manager,
Harbour Trust Board, on furlough.

SIR,—You will be good enough to attend upon Surgeon-Major Hackett, Surgeon, 2nd District, at the Women and Children's Hospital, Egmore, at 8 A.M., on Thursday, 29th instant.

(Signed) R. W. BARLOW.

F.

Proceedings of the Trustees of the Harbour of Madras at a Special Meeting held at the Office of the Sea Customs, Tuesday, the 24th February, 1891.

Read Letter from Mr. C. Stolberg, dated 21st February, 1891, intimating his desire to resume the office of Traffic Manager to the Board on the 6th April next, when his furlough would expire. Read various proceedings of the Board and orders of Government in reference to the conditions under which the Trustees consented to furlough being granted to Mr. Stolberg.

Resolved that the Board decline to re-employ Mr. Stolberg, and that the Chairman be invited to address Government.

* * * * * *

(True Extract)
(Signed) C. PINSENT,
Secretary.
Harbour Trust Board.

G.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS. MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read following paper:—

Letter from the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, Madras, 3rd March, 1890, No. 1990.

* * * * * *

3. Mr. Stolberg is one of those Government servants who were transferred to the Harbour Board from the Marine Department on the constitution of the Trust, and while the Trustees decline to re-employ him as Traffic Manager, they are willing to contribute to his pension from Trust funds to such extent as Government may consider would be equitable in consideration of his services in the Marine Department.

Order 10th March, 1891, No. 87.

* * * * * *

2. The Accountant-General will be requested to report on the subject of para. 3 of the foregoing letter.

(True Extract)
(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

(True Copy.)

H.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS. MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following paper:—

FROM MR. C. STOLBERG, BANGALORE, *dated 2nd July*, 1891.

Order 13th July, 1891, No. 311.

Mr. Stolberg will be informed that G. O. No. 77, dated 17th March, 1891, furnishing him with a copy of G. O. No. 87, dated 10th March, 1891, was passed on his petition of the 4th March last. The Accountant-General's reply to para. 2, of G. O. No. 87, dated 10th of March, 1891, was communicated to the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, in G. O. No. 149, dated 18th April, 1891, and any communication Mr. Stolberg may have to make regarding it should be addressed to the last named officer.

O. V. BODDY, MAJOR, R. E.,
Under Secretary, P. W. D.,
for Chief Secretary.

I.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following paper:—

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
Dated the 2nd April, 1891, No. 951.

With reference to the Proceedings of Government No. 87, dated the 10th March, 1891, I have the honor to state that Mr. Stolberg was granted two years' furlough with effect from 7th April, 1889, upon the understanding that on the expiry of the leave he should retire on whatever pension might be due to him, *viz.*, G. O. No. 475, dated the 11th November, 1889. The Harbour Trust Board declines to re-employ him as Traffic Manager, but is willing to contribute to his pension.

(2) Mr. Stolberg being an officer lent to Foreign service, cannot be retired on pension unless his retirement is supported by a Medical Certificate of permanent incapacity for further service. If such a certificate be furnished, the entire amount of his pension will be borne by Port Fund and Provincial Revenues according to the Rule of Proportion, no portion of his pension being charged to the Harbour Trust Funds as surmised by the Chairman of the Board in para. 3 of his letter recorded in Proceedings under reply.

Order 18th April, 1891, No. 149.

Communicated to the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, with reference to G. O. No. 87, dated the 10th March, 1891.

(True Extract)
(Signed) O. V. BODDY, CAPT., R. E.,
Under-Secretary, P. W. D.,
for Chief Secretary.

(True Copy)
(Signed) C. PINSENT,
Secretary, Harbour Trust Board.

N.B.—Copy of this order came to hand only on the 23rd July, 1891, from the Harbour Trust Board Office.

G. O. No. 475, dated 11th November, 1889, has never been communicated to Memorialist.

J.

Extract of Proceedings of the Trustees of the Harbour of Madras, at a Meeting held at the Offices of the Board on Friday, the 31st day of July, 1891.

Read G. O., No. 311, dated the 31st July, 1891, directing that any communication Mr. Stolberg may have to make regarding the Accountant-General's reply to para. 2 of G. O., No. 87, dated 10th of March, 1891, in reference to his pensionary allowances, should be addressed to the Harbour Trust Board, the Accountant-General's reply having been communicated to the Chairman in G. O., No. 149, dated 18th April, 1891.

Read letter from Mr. Stolberg, dated Bangalore, 25th July, 1891, stating that he is "still the property of the Harbour Trust Board" and "will be so until transferred back to Government" and contending that he should have been so transferred in April, 1891, when on the expiration of his furlough, he would have been provided with another appointment, but he considers Government is of opinion that he is attached to the Harbour Trust Board still.

Read Minute by Mr S. R. Turnbull. Resolved that Mr. Stolberg's letter be forwarded to Government with the remark that as he has ceased to be a servant of the Trust and claims to be still a Government servant, the Board has no further control over him. The Trustees consider that on the expiration of his service under them Mr. Stolberg should have reported himself to Government for its orders.

* * * * * *

(True Extract)
(Signed) C. PINSENT,
Secretary,
Harbour Trust Board.

(True Copy.)

K.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Madras Government. MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Read the following paper :—

From the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, Madras, dated 3rd August, 1891, No. 1216.

ORDER—*dated 12th August*, 1891, *No.* 356.

The Government has no need of Mr. Stolberg's services, nor can any further employment be offered him at the time he was granted furlough. Mr. Stolberg was informed that he would be retired at the end of it. He will have learnt from G. O. No. 149, dated 18th April 1891, that any claim on his part to pension must be supported by a Medical Certificate of permanent incapacity for further service. The Government is not aware that Mr. Stolberg has made any endeavour to procure such a certificate, and no further orders in his case can therefore be passed at present.

(True Extract)

(Signed) W. C. LEWIS,
Under-Secretary, P. W. D.,
for Chief Secretary.

L.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Read the following paper :—

From the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, Madras, dated 25th August, 1890, No. 1453, submitting letter from Mr. C. Stolberg, dated Bangalore, 22nd August, 1891, in reference to the Medical Certificate alluded to in G. O. No. 356, Marine, dated 12th August, 1891.

Order, 31st August, 1891, No. 391.

Communicated to the Surgeon-General with the request that he will arrange for the Medical Examination of Mr. Stolberg to ascertain whether he is permanently incapacitated for further service. Attention is invited to Part IV., Chapter XVIII., Section III., of the Civil Service Regulation.

(True Extract)

W. C. LEWIS,
Under-Secretary to Government, P. W. D.,
for Chief Secretary.

M.

From
THE SURGEON-GENERAL
with the Government of Madras,

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL
WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
MADRAS, *9th September*, 1891.

To
THE CHAIRMAN,
Harbour Trust Board, Madras.

SIR,—With reference to G. O. No. 391, dated 31st August, 1891, I have the honour to request you will be so good as to instruct Mr. Stolberg to appear before the Civil Medical Board meeting at this Office, on Saturday, the 12th instant, at 9-30 A.M.

I have the honor to be, &c. &c.,
(Signed) F. C. REEVES, SURGEON,
Ag. Secy., Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

N.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Madras Government,

Read the following paper :—

From the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras, dated 14th Sept., 1891, No. 519.

With reference to G. O. No. 391, Marine, dated the 31st August, 1891, I have the honour to enclose for the information of Government a copy of the Proceedings of the Medical Board on Mr. Stolberg declaring him to be fit for further service.

Order 23rd October, 1891, No. 470.

Recorded.

(True Extract)

(Signed) N. S. BRODIE,
Ag. Assistant Secretary.

O.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Madras Government. MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Read the following paper :—

From Mr. C. Stolberg, Bangalore, dated 27th October, 1891.

Order 7th November, 1891, No. 494.

Mr. Stolberg is entitled to no pay or sustenance allowance from Government, and he has already been informed that the Government has no further employment for him.

(True Extract)

(Signed) N. S. BRODIE,
Ag. Assistant Secretary.

(True Copy)

C. Stolberg

P.

To
THE CHIEF SECRETARY,
Government, Fort St. George,
Madras.

BANGALORE, *18th November*, 1891.

SIR,—I have the honour to acknowledge receipt of G. O. No. 494, Marine, dated the 7th November, 1891, on the 14th instant, under which I am denied pay and sustenance allowance from Government.

I beg most respectfully to submit that my case is as singularly a hard one as ever appeared before Government. A perusal of the records connected therewith will amply show that my position is the most uniquely painful, it is possible to conceive from the fact that I am deprived without a stated cause of my permanent appointment, having been forced to proceed on two years' furlough on the 7th April, 1889, and being now precluded from resuming it at the expiration thereof. Absolutely no act of misconduct has been laid to my charge, and it is sought to remove me from office in what seems an arbitrary manner. I have never been asked to defend my position against any assertion as to my misbehaviour, and it is thus exceedingly unjust that a stain should be cast on my meritorious service as recorded from time to time for the past 20 years.

If there be any matter against me to justify the action taken by the late Chairman, Sir Richard Barlow, I did and still claim my right to be charged publicly and allowed to defend myself in the same manner.

In compliance with orders received from the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, dated the 26th August, 1889, (while on furlough) I appeared before Surgeon-Major Hackett at the Women's and Children's Hospital, Madras, on the 29th August, 1889, for a certificate as to fitness for further Service. According to this examination, I was considered fit for 10 years' further service, and he could not grant the certificate needed by Sir Richard Barlow to guarantee my retirement at the end of my furlough. This opinion was confirmed on the 12th September last, by the Medical Board in Madras, as per G. O. No. 470, Marine, dated the 23rd October, 1891. Thus it is clear that in point of physical fitness I am eligible for further employment, and I plead that the ground upon which my retirement is pressed by the Harbour Trust Board is not apparent at all in the absence of any definitely stated reason.

I earnestly pray that His Excellency the Governor in Council may be pleased to pass such final orders in my case to the Harbour Trust Board to disburse the salary due to me from the 7th of April last and allow me to take up my permanent appointment as the Traffic Manager of the Board, as it is still vacant.

It is surmisable that the orders of Government are awaited as to my disposal.

I have the honor to be, &c.,
(Signed) C. STOLBERG.

Q.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Madras Government. MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Read the following paper :—

Petition from Mr. C. Stolberg, dated 18th November, 1891.

Order 26th November, 1891, No. 520.

The Government is unable to comply with the petitioner's request.

(True Extract)

(Signed) N. S. BRODIE,
Acting Assistant Secretary.

R.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Madras Government. MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Read the following paper :—

From Mr. C. Stolberg, late Traffic Manager, Harbour Trust Board, Bangalore, dated 5th April, 1892.

Order 13th April, 1892, R. No. 83.

Mr. Stolberg will be referred to the orders already passed in his case and communicated to him :—

G. O. dated 26th November, 1891, No. 520.
„ „ 7th November, 1891, „ 494.
„ „ 23rd October, 1891, „ 470.
„ „ 12th August, 1891, „ 356.

(True Extract)

(Signed) A. BUTTERWORTH,
Acting Under-Secretary.

To
C. STOLBERG, ESQ.,
Bangalore.

(True Copy.)

C. Stolberg

S.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Read following :—

Petition from Mr. C. Stolberg, late Traffic Manager of the Harbour Trust Board, dated 8th April, 1892.

Order 29th April, 1892, R. No. 91.
Petitioner's request is inadmissible.
(True Extract)

To
MR. C. STOLBERG,
Bangalore.

A. BUTTERWORTH,
Acting Under-Secretary.

T.

TESTIMONIALS.

—:o:—

MADRAS, *12th May*, 1869.

The bearer, Mr. Stolberg, was in the employ of this Company as Manager, for a period of about 15 months, and gave universal satisfaction.

I may further add that he has been known to myself and partner for the past two years, and we have always found him honest, steady, attentive, and diligent in his duties.

(Signed) JOHN MAXWELL,
Honorary Secretary,
Madras Boat Company.

Mr. Stolberg, the Emigration Agent for the Government of Jamaica at Madras, has always shown himself ready to meet my wishes in regard to treatment of Emigrants, and his Depôt was on the whole well-managed.

MADRAS, *24th March*, 1873.

(Signed) D. ARBUTHNOT,
Acting Protector of Emigrants.

I have the pleasure to certify that Mr. C. Stolberg has been employed as the Traffic Manager of the Madras Pier since March, 1870. He is a highly respectable person, and has conducted his duties in a most creditable manner. He is a most energetic, hardworking and trustworthy public servant.

MARINE OFFICE,
MADRAS, *21st February*, 1874.

(Signed) H. D. E. DALRYMPLE,
Master Attendant,
Administrative Department.

Certified hereby that during the seven-and-half years that Mr. C. Stolberg has served under my orders, I have always found him to be steady, hardworking, and very attentive to his duties, carrying on same in a satisfactory manner.

MADRAS, *30th August*, 1877.

(Signed) J. B. CROWTHER,
Deputy Master Attendant.

Mr. Stolberg has been in the Marine Department under me for twelve years, during that time he has given me every satisfaction, and in his position of Pier Master, has devoted himself to the repairs and upkeep of the Pier showing a practical knowledge that could not have been obtained in Madras, though the Pier from age and decay has needed and received constant repairs yet with a small establishment, Mr. Stolberg has kept the traffic going without any interruption—a work which has saved Government many thousand rupees. The pier is now made over to the Port Trust and my connection with it ceases, but I trust those who succeed me will entertain Mr. Stolberg's services at their proper value.

MADRAS, *1st June*, 1886.

(Signed) J. H. TAYLOR,
Master Attendant.

No. 2010.

It was with extreme regret that I found Mr. C. Stolberg's connection with the Marine Department cease on the 1st of June, 1886. I have known Mr. Stolberg now for many years, since he left the sea. Being a German by birth and scarcely able to speak the English language at the time he joined the Marine Department, he with wonderful perseverance studied English until in a short time, he was able to speak very correctly and write an official letter grammatically. For many years he personally kept all the books and accounts of the Madras Pier, as Pier Master, conducted the correspondence, supervised the traffic and the constant repairs to the Pier personally, and in addition undertaking a great deal of extraneous work of all kinds both willingly and cheerfully. He has moreover rendered very important services to Government in connection with the Harbour Dues Act and the collection of the Dues, requiring constant attention from daylight to dusk and more frequently to 10 P.M. The strict supervision he exercised personally over each individual in his Department and the scrutiny with which all applications were examined by him, I feel sure, have been instrumental in adding thousands of rupees to the Harbour Dues collections. In conclusion, I hope that Mr. Stolberg's strict honesty and his merits will be rewarded by promotion either in his present sphere or in the Marine Department.

MASTER ATTENDANT'S OFFICE, EXECUTIVE DEPT.,
MADRAS, *19th June*, 1886.

(Signed) T. E. MARSHALL,
Dy. Master Attendant.

(True Copy.)

Bangalore, *7th September, 1895.*

To

The Private Secretary
to His Excellency the Right Hon'ble
the Governor in Council,
FORT SAINT GEORGE,
OOTACAMUND.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will be pleased and lay my hard case, and the following facts before his Excellency the Governor, for his kind and favourable consideration.

That on the 23th of August last a copy of my Memorial, dated 17th June, 1895, (the original now with the Government of Madras) was returned to me from India Office, through the Government of Madras, and Bangalore Residency Office, with Memo. dated 27th August, 1895, which intimates, that "no representations from individuals in India will be entertained by the Home Government, unless they shall have been submitted through the Local Government."

I beg to submit that this is the fifth time that Home Government have condescended to return my appeals, since the 17th May, 1894—three originals and one by letter direct and one copy—the last is one of the three copies despatched on the 18th June, 1895. It was a surprise to me that a mere copy was noticed, and this only confirmed my humble opinion that there must be a mistake somewhere as Government Orders repeatedly state that my previous appeals had already been disposed of, and Government decline to forward any more. The last G. O. is dated the 11th July, 1895, No. 204. Now if it was so, probably the answer from Home Government would be most likely to the effect, that the Right Hon'ble the Secretary of State declines to re-consider the matter, as it is recorded that my Memorial has already been disposed of, and the question cannot be re-opened; but from first to last the action of the Home Government differs with that of Madras Government, and bears a strong doubt, now, that every criminal at a trial gets the benefit of a doubt. May I beg of His Excellency to grant me also that privelege, by allowing my appeal under reference to be forwarded to India Office for disposal, or dispose it at the Council Chambers; I would prefer the latter very much as it would save lots of time. I am quite confident that if His Excellency the Governor with the rest of the Members in Council would only go over the Demi-official letter written against me since the year 1889 and compare them with my letters of complaint they would find lots of doubts and statements that bear no light, and after few minutes consultation the unanimous verdict *would be not guilty on all counts*, thereby also see what I have had to suffer for being truthful and honest, for standing by my colours amidst shot and shell from officials of high standing in service.

There is another proof I beg to submit that goes in my favour and which corroborates the whole of my statements made to Government, to which I beg his Excellency's special attention, *viz.*, to my repeated appeals against wrongful dismissal without hearing the whole of my letters stand unchallenged, uncontradicted and without a single word of censure. It is said that silence gives consent; if so, then Government admit that they are in the wrong for not having instituted an inquiry when I appealed to them for help and justice against rascality and swindle that was committed in my department. (Traffic,) Government, instead of acting justly, joined the side of the swindle did not make the least inquiry, but passed orders and forced me against my will to go on two years furlough, with the understanding that I was to retire at the end of it. The Harbour Trust Board informed Government that they were willing and ready to contribute to my full pension, but the Accountant-General put a stop to that. Next, I was to appear before a Medical Board. This I did twice, and on both occasions the Board passed me fit for further and any service, and yet Government would not re-instate me. All this only goes to show that Government, in 1889, 1890 and 1891 were wanting in administration of justice. Although repeatedly I called the attention of Government to office records, as proofs these records were burnt; the guilty were upheld, the just crushed to starvation, with the mark of Cain. G. O's., passed about me six months after I was forced on leave, of which I am ignorant of to this day, and still against all this I am not allowed to appeal.

As to my compassionate allowance the orders passed by His Excellency the present Governor any one can understand it, His Excellency believing that justice was done to me by his predecessor, Lord Connemara. Yes, had it been so, there would not be occasion in writing this letter. Unfortunately I was left to the tender mercies of Sir Richard Barlow, Hon'ble Messrs. Stokes and Garstin. I may well quote Shakespeare's words.

> "Had I but served my God with half the zeal
> I served my king, he would not in mine age
> Have left me naked to my enemies."

In conclusion, I may add that certain confidence came over me which made me to sit and pen these lines that His Excellency the Governor will yet do justice before he will deliver over charge of his high office and depart for old England, and after all the suffering I have had to bear to be allowed to my *bona-fide* pension to what I would have been entitled to had Government acted justly from the first and allow my last appeal to be forwarded on to the Right Hon'ble the Secretary of State for disposal for which myself and family, consisting of wife and five children, will for the remainder of our lives always feel grateful.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
(Signed) C. STOLBERG.

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
OOTACAMUND, *10th September, 1895.*

SIR,

I am desired by His Excellency the Governor to acknowledge receipt of your Memorial. dated 7th inst., which has been transferred to the Chief Secretary to Government for disposal.

Yours faithfully,
(Signed) C. F. MACCARTIE,
(Private Secretary.)

To
C. STOLBERG, ESQ.,
BANGALORE.

(True Copies).

To

The Right Honorable Her Majesty's Principal Secretary of State for India in Council.

The humble Memorial of CHARLES STOLBERG, *late of the Marine Department of the Madras Government, and now residing on enforced retirement at Bangalore in the province of Mysore.*

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHEWETH

That your Memorialist was entertained in the service of Government in the Marine Department in March, 1869, and served in that office faithfully up to the 31st May, 1886. On the day following, 1st June, he was lent to the Harbour Trust Board, Mr. R. W. Barlow, C. S., being the first Chairman of the Board at the time, and Mr. Pinsent was appointed Secretary on the 1st August, 1886, by the recommendation of the Chairman.

That Memorialist took service under the Board with full intention, and hope of earning from his superiors the same measure of appreciation for zeal, honesty and ability as he had obtained from his former office (*vide* Appendix marked **T.**), his past services affording a guarantee that he would have done so had it not been for circumstances beyond his control. Twenty (20) Government orders, and the Harbour Trust Board are appended. These documents from first to last make a glaring show of gross injustice done towards your humble Memorialist.

That Memorialist as a public servant was in duty bound to bring irregularities to the notice of his superiors, and especially as these were connected with his own department (Traffic) your Memorialist in his letters, dated 21st and 25th March, 1889, brought to the notice of Mr. R. W. Barlow, C. S., the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, the misdoings of his Secretary, and requested inquiry, but this request was not complied with.

That on the 5th and 6th April, 1889, your Memorialist received letters Nos. 603 and 611, in which he was informed that his services were not required, and he was directed to retire that very afternoon from the charge of the Traffic Department, and to consider himself on furlough from and inclusive of to-morrow, Sunday, the 7th of April, 1889, subject to the approval of Government, and to this a rider was appended, "that it was to be distinctly understood that it is the desire of the Trustees of the Board that you (Memorialist) should retire at the end of it, and that the sanction of Government will be requested to that arrangement," *vide* Appendix **A.** & **B.**

That on the 8th of April, 1889, your Memorialist addressed a letter to the Chairman, requesting that he may be furnished with the charge or charges standing against him, and why he had, contrary to the Civil Leave Code, Rules 74-1ch.V., forced him to go on furlough after signing the declaration in January, 1889, prior to the privilege leave which Memorialist availed himself of at the time, and to retire at the end of it, which is also contrary to Government regulations.

That your Memorialist most respectfully prays that if the pension rules are to be broken in his case, and a pensionary allowance is prematurely forced on him, such allowance should be liberal and equitable, and should exceed rather than fall short of full pension due at date of such enforced retirement without the slightest cause.

Wherefore your Memorialist most humbly and respectfully prays that your Lordship in Council will be graciously pleased, in consideration of all the circumstances above recited and the exceptional hardship of his case, to direct that a special pensionary allowance be granted to him from the date of the expiry of the two years' enforced furlough, and that the two years may be taken to account as service towards pension, as he was forced to retire although a Medical Board pronounced him fit for further ten years' service as no charge whatsoever was brought against him.

And your Memorialist as in duty bound will ever pray.

(Signed) C. STOLBERG,
Late Traffic Manager,
Harbour Trust Board,
Madras.

No. 10, Promenade Road,
Bangalore.

APPENDIX.

A.

No. 603-D.

Harbour Trust Board,
Madras, *5th April*, 1889.

From
R. W. BARLOW, Esq., C.S.,
Chairman,
Harbour Trust Board, Madras.

To
TRAFFIC MANAGER,
Harbour Trust Board.

Sir,—In reply to your No. 56, of this date, I have the honor to refer you to the closing sentence of 74-i, Chapter V., Civil Leave Code, quoted by you.

2. In applying for Furlough, if you elect to do so—instead of immediately retiring, you have merely to mention that you do so under the orders of the Trust, when the objection which exists from your declaration will be waived.

I have, &c.,
R. W. BARLOW,
Chairman, Harbour Trust Board.

B.

No. 611-D.

Harbour Trust Board,
Madras, *6th April*, 1889.

From
R. W. BARLOW, Esq.,
Chairman,
Harbour Trust Board, Madras.

To
Mr. C. STOLBERG,
Traffic Manager,
Harbour Trust Board.

Sir,—Referring to your letter, No. 56, dated 5th instant, I have the honor to forward for your information Extract of Proceedings of the Board in reference thereto passed at their meeting last evening, and to request that you will, this afternoon, retire from the charge of the Board's Traffic Department, making over charge to Mr. Hutchence, and that you consider yourself as on Furlough from and inclusive of to-morrow, Sunday, 7th April, subject to the approval of Government.

2. In granting you this Furlough, it must be distinctly understood that it is the desire of the Trustees that you Retire at the end of it, and the sanction of Government will be requested to that arrangement.

I have, &c.,
R. W. BARLOW,
Chairman, Harbour Trust Board.

C.

Extract of Proceedings of the Trustees of the Harbour of Madras, at the meeting held at the Office of the Board on Monday, the 15th day of April, 1889.

* * * * * *

8. Read letters from Mr. C. Stolberg, Traffic Manager, (on furlough), dated 8th instant, with reference to the Board's resolution No. 4, of the 5th idem and 10th April, 1889, requesting that he may be supplied with a complete file of letters read at the Board's Meetings, connected with his furlough.

Read minutes by the Chairman, Capt. W. L. C. Baddeley, R. E., and Mr. Cowasjee Eduljee Panday.

Resolved that the Trustees decline to grant copies of any further correspondence. The matter has been fully reported to Government.

True Extract forwarded to Mr. C. Stolberg for his information.

(By order)

C. PINSENT,
Secretary,
Harbour Trust Board.

(True Copy.)

D.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.
Proceedings of the Madras Government.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Read following papers :—

From Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, dated 12th April, 1889, No. 1426.

From Mr. C. Stolberg, Traffic Manager, Harbour Trust Board (through the Chairman), dated 25th April, 1889.

From the Accountant-General, Madras, dated 6th June, 1889, No. 1856.

ORDER—22*nd June*, 1889, No. 279.

The Government having considered Mr. Stolberg's Memorial "sees no reason to interfere in his behalf." The Board may pass such final orders in his case as they think fit.

* * * * * * *

(True Extract)
(Signed) W. L. C. BADDELEY, CAPT., R. E.,
Under-Secretary, P. W. D.,
for Assistant Secretary.

E.

No. 3047.

From
SIR RICHARD BARLOW, *Bart*, C. S.,
Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, Madras.

To
MR. C. STOLBERG,
Traffic Manager,
Harbour Trust Board, on furlough.

HARBOUR TRUST BOARD OFFICE,
MADRAS, 26*th August*, 1889.

SIR,—You will be good enough to attend upon Surgeon-Major Hackett, Surgeon, 2nd District, at the Women and Children's Hospital, Egmore, at 8 A.M., on Thursday, 29th instant.

(Signed) R. W. BARLOW.

F.

Proceedings of the Trustees of the Harbour of Madras at a Special Meeting held at the Office of the Sea Customs, Tuesday, the 24th [illegible]*uary*, 1891.

Read Letter from Mr. C. Stolberg, dated 21st February, 1891, intimating his desire to resume the office of Traffic Manager to the Board on the 6th April next, when his furlough would expire. Read various proceedings of the Board and orders of Government in reference to the conditions under which the Trustees consented to furlough being granted to Mr. Stolberg.

Resolved that the Board decline to re-employ Mr. Stolberg, and that the Chairman be invited to address Government.

* * * * * * *

(True Extract)

(Signed) C. PINSENT,
Secretary.
Harbour Trust Board.

G.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.
Proceedings of the Madras Government.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Read following paper :—

Letter from the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, Madras, 3rd March, 1890, No. 1990.

* * * * * * *

3. Mr. Stolberg is one of those Government servants who were transferred to the Harbour Board from the Marine Department on the constitution of the Trust, and while the Trustees decline to re-employ him as Traffic Manager, they are willing to contribute to his pension from Trust funds to such extent as Government may consider would be equitable in consideration of his services in the Marine Department.

Order 10th March, 1891, No. 87.

* * * * * * *

2. The Accountant-General will be requested to report on the subject of para. 3 of the foregoing letter.

(True Extract)

(Signed) J. F. PRICE,
Chief Secretary.

(True Copy.)

H.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.
Proceedings of the Madras Government.
Read the following paper :—

FROM MR. C. STOLBERG, BANGALORE, *dated 2nd July*, 1891.

Order 13th July, 1891, No. 311.

Mr. Stolberg will be informed that G. O. No. 77, dated 17th March, 1891, furnishing him with a copy of G. O. No. 87, dated 10th March, 1891, was passed on his petition of the 4th March last. The Accountant-General's reply to para. 2, of G. O. No. 87, dated 10th of March, 1891, was communicated to the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, in G. O. No. 149, dated 18th April, 1891, and any communication Mr. Stolberg may have to make regarding it should be addressed to the last named officer.

O. V. BODDY, MAJOR, R. E.,
Under Secretary, P. W. D.,
for Chief Secretary.

I.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.
Proceedings of the Madras Government.
Read the following paper :—

From
THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
Dated the 2nd April, 1891, No. 951.

With reference to the Proceedings of Government No. 87, dated the 10th March, 1891, I have the honor to state that Mr. Stolberg was granted two years' furlough with effect from 7th April, 1889, upon the understanding that on the expiry of the leave he should retire on whatever pension might be due to him, *viz.*, G. O. No. 475, dated the 11th November, 1889. The Harbour Trust Board declines to re-employ him as Traffic Manager, but is willing to contribute to his pension.

(2) Mr. Stolberg being an officer lent to Foreign service, cannot be retired on pension unless his retirement is supported by a Medical Certificate of permanent incapacity for further service. If such a certificate be furnished, the entire amount of his pension will be borne by Port Fund and Provincial Revenues according to the Rule of Proportion, no portion of his pension being charged to the Harbour Trust Funds as surmised by the Chairman of the Board in para. 3 of his letter recorded in Proceedings under reply.

Order 18th April, 1891, No. 149.

Communicated to the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, with reference to G. O. No. 87, dated the 10th March, 1891.

(True Extract)
(Signed) O. V. BODDY, CAPT., R. E.,
Under-Secretary, P. W. D.,
for Chief Secretary.

(True Copy)
(Signed) C. PINSENT,
Secretary, Harbour Trust Board.

N.B.—Copy of this order came to hand only on the 23rd July, 1891, from the Harbour Trust Board Office.

G. O. No. 475, dated 11th November, 1889, has never been communicated to Memorialist.

J.

Extract of Proceedings of the Trustees of the Harbour of Madras, at a Meeting held at the Offices of the Board on Friday, the 31st day of July, 1891.

Read G. O., No. 311, dated the 31st July, 1891, directing that any communication Mr. Stolberg may have to make regarding the Accountant-General's reply to para. 2 of G. O., No. 87, dated 10th of March, 1891, in reference to his pensionary allowances, should be addressed to the Harbour Trust Board, the Accountant-General's reply having been communicated to the Chairman in G. O., No. 149, dated 18th April, 1891.

Read letter from Mr. Stolberg, dated Bangalore, 25th July, 1891, stating that he is "still the property of the Harbour Trust Board" and "will be so until transferred back to Government" and contending that he should have been so transferred in April, 1891, when on the expiration of his furlough, he would have been provided with another appointment, but he considers Government is of opinion that he is attached to the Harbour Trust Board still.

Read Minute by Mr S. R. Turnbull. Resolved that Mr. Stolberg's letter be forwarded to Government with the remark that as he has ceased to be a servant of the Trust and claims to be still a Government servant, the Board has no further control over him. The Trustees consider that on the expiration of his service under them Mr. Stolberg should have reported himself to Government for its orders.

* * * * * * *

(True Extract)
(Signed) C. PINSENT,
Secretary,
Harbour Trust Board.

(True Copy.)

K.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Read the following paper :—

From the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, Madras, dated 3rd August, 1891, No. 1216.

ORDER—*dated 12th August*, 1891, *No.* 356.

The Government has no need of Mr. Stolberg's services, nor can any further employment be offered him at the time he was granted furlough. Mr. Stolberg was informed that he would be retired at the end of it. He will have learnt from G. O. No. 149, dated 18th April 1891, that any claim on his part to pension must be supported by a Medical Certificate of permanent incapacity for further service. The Government is not aware that Mr. Stolberg has made any endeavour to procure such a certificate, and no further orders in his case can therefore be passed at present.

(True Extract)

(Signed) W. C. LEWIS,
Under-Secretary, P. W. D.,
for Chief Secretary.

L.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Read the following paper :—

From the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, Madras, dated 25th August, 1890, No. 1453, submitting letter from Mr. C. Stolberg, dated Bangalore, 22nd August, 1891, in reference to the Medical Certificate alluded to in G. O. No. 356, Marine, dated 12th August, 1891.

Order, 31st August, 1891, No. 391.

Communicated to the Surgeon-General with the request that he will arrange for the Medical Examination of Mr. Stolberg to ascertain whether he is permanently incapacitated for further service. Attention is invited to Part IV., Chapter XVIII., Section III., of the Civil Service Regulation.

(True Extract)

W. C. LEWIS,
Under-Secretary to Government, P. W. D.,
for Chief Secretary.

M.

From
THE SURGEON-GENERAL
with the Government of Madras,
MADRAS.

To
THE CHAIRMAN,
Harbour Trust Board, Madras.

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL
WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
MADRAS, *9th September*, 1891.

SIR,—With reference to G. O. No. 391, dated 31st August, 1891, I have the honour to request you will be so good as to instruct Mr. Stolberg to appear before the Civil Medical Board meeting at this Office, on Saturday, the 12th instant, at 9-30 A.M.

I have the honor to be, &c. &c.,
(Signed) F. C. REEVES, SURGEON,
Ag. Secy., Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

N.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Madras Government,

Read the following paper :—

From the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras, dated 14th Sept., 1891, No. 519.

With reference to G. O. No. 391, Marine, dated the 31st August, 1891, I have the honour to enclose for the information of Government a copy of the Proceedings of the Medical Board on Mr. Stolberg declaring him to be fit for further service.

Order 23rd October, 1891, No. 470.

Recorded.

(True Extract)

(Signed) N. S. BRODIE,
Ag. Assistant Secretary.

O.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Read the following paper :—

From Mr. C. Stolberg, Bangalore, dated 27th October, 1891.

Order 7th November, 1891, No. 494.

Mr. Stolberg is entitled to no pay or sustenance allowance from Government, and he has already been informed that the Government has no further employment for him.

(True Extract)

(Signed) N. S. BRODIE,
Ag. Assistant Secretary.

(True Copy)

P.

BANGALORE, *18th November*, 1891.

To
THE CHIEF SECRETARY,
Government, Fort St. George,
Madras.

SIR,—I have the honour to acknowledge receipt of G. O. No. 494, Marine, dated the 7th November, 1891, on the 14th instant, under which I am denied pay and sustenance allowance from Government.

I beg most respectfully to submit that my case is as singularly a hard one as ever appeared before Government. A perusal of the records connected therewith will amply show that my position is the most uniquely painful, it is possible to conceive from the fact that I am deprived without a stated cause of my permanent appointment, having been forced to proceed on two years' furlough on the 7th April, 1889, and being now precluded from resuming it at the expiration thereof. Absolutely no act of misconduct has been laid to my charge, and it is sought to remove me from office in what seems an arbitrary manner. I have never been asked to defend my position against any assertion as to my misbehaviour, and it is thus exceedingly unjust that a stain should be cast on my meritorious service as recorded from time to time for the past 20 years.

If there be any matter against me to justify the action taken by the late Chairman, Sir Richard Barlow, I did and still claim my right to be charged publicly and allowed to defend myself in the same manner.

In compliance with orders received from the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board, dated the 26th August, 1889, (while on furlough) I appeared before Surgeon-Major Hackett at the Women's and Children's Hospital, Madras, on the 29th August, 1889, for a certificate as to fitness for further Service. According to this examination, I was considered fit for 10 years' further service, and he could not grant the certificate needed by Sir Richard Barlow to guarantee my retirement at the end of my furlough. This opinion was confirmed on the 12th September last, by the Medical Board in Madras, as per G. O. No. 470, Marine, dated the 23rd October, 1891. Thus it is clear that in point of physical fitness I am eligible for further employment, and I plead that the ground upon which my retirement is pressed by the Harbour Trust Board is not apparent at all in the absence of any definitely stated reason.

I earnestly pray that His Excellency the Governor in Council may be pleased to pass such final orders in my case to the Harbour Trust Board to disburse the salary due to me from the 7th of April last and allow me to take up my permanent appointment as the Traffic Manager of the Board, as it is still vacant.

It is surmisable that the orders of Government are awaited as to my disposal.

I have the honor to be, &c.,
(Signed) C. STOLBERG.

Q.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following paper :—

Petition from Mr. C. Stolberg, dated 18th November, 1891.

Order 26th November, 1891, No. 520.

The Government is unable to comply with the petitioner's request.

(True Extract)

(Signed) N. S. BRODIE,
Acting Assistant Secretary.

R.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following paper :—

From Mr. C. Stolberg, late Traffic Manager, Harbour Trust Board, Bangalore, dated 5th April, 1892.

Order 13th April, 1892, R. No. 83.

Mr. Stolberg will be referred to the orders already passed in his case and communicated to him :—

G. O. dated 26th November, 1891, No. 520.
,, ,, 7th November, 1891, ,, 494.
,, ,, 23rd October, 1891, ,, 470.
,, ,, 12th August, 1891, ,, 356.

(True Extract)

(Signed) A. BUTTERWORTH,
Acting Under-Secretary.

To
C. STOLBERG, ESQ.,
Bangalore.

(True Copy.)

S.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

Proceedings of the Madras Government. MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Read following :—

Petition from Mr. C. Stolberg, late Traffic Manager of the Harbour Trust Board, dated 8th April, 1892.

Order 29th April, 1892, R. No. 91.

Petitioner's request is inadmissible.

(True Extract)

To
MR. C. STOLBERG,
Bangalore.

A. BUTTERWORTH,
Acting Under-Secretary.

T.

TESTIMONIALS.

:o:

MADRAS, 12*th May*, 1869.

The bearer, Mr. Stolberg, was in the employ of this Company as Manager, for a period of about 15 months, and gave universal satisfaction.

I may further add that he has been known to myself and partner for the past two years, and we have always found him honest, steady, attentive, and diligent in his duties.

(Signed) JOHN MAXWELL,
Honorary Secretary,
Madras Boat Company.

Mr. Stolberg, the Emigration Agent for the Government of Jamaica at Madras, has always shown himself ready to meet my wishes in regard to treatment of Emigrants, and his Depôt was on the whole well-managed.

MADRAS, 24*th March*, 1873.

(Signed) D. ARBUTHNOT,
Acting Protector of Emigrants.

I have the pleasure to certify that Mr. C. Stolberg has been employed as the Traffic Manager of the Madras Pier since March, 1870. He is a highly respectable person, and has conducted his duties in a most creditable manner. He is a most energetic, hardworking and trustworthy public servant.

MARINE OFFICE,
MADRAS, 21*st February*, 1874.

(Signed) H. D. E. DALRYMPLE,
Master Attendant,
Administrative Department.

Certified hereby that during the seven-and-half years that Mr. C. Stolberg has served under my orders, I have always found him to be steady, hardworking, and very attentive to his duties, carrying on same in a satisfactory manner.

MADRAS, 30*th August*, 1877.

(Signed) J. B. CROWTHER,
Deputy Master Attendant.

Mr. Stolberg has been in the Marine Department under me for twelve years, during that time he has given me every satisfaction, and in his position of Pier Master, has devoted himself to the repairs and upkeep of the Pier showing a practical knowledge that could not have been obtained in Madras, though the Pier from age and decay has needed and received constant repairs yet with a small establishment, Mr. Stolberg has kept the traffic going without any interruption—a work which has saved Government many thousand rupees. The pier is now made over to the Port Trust and my connection with it ceases, but I trust those who succeed me will entertain Mr. Stolberg's services at their proper value.

MADRAS, 1*st June*, 1886.

(Signed) J. H. TAYLOR,
Master Attendant.

No. 2010.

It was with extreme regret that I found Mr. C. Stolberg's connection with the Marine Department cease on the 1st of June, 1886. I have known Mr. Stolberg now for many years, since he left the sea. Being a German by birth and scarcely able to speak the English language at the time he joined the Marine Department, he with wonderful perseverance studied English until in a short time, he was able to speak very correctly and write an official letter grammatically. For many years he personally kept all the books and accounts of the Madras Pier, as Pier Master, conducted the correspondence, supervised the traffic and the constant repairs to the Pier personally, and in addition undertaking a great deal of extraneous work of all kinds both willingly and cheerfully. He has moreover rendered very important services to Government in connection with the Harbour Dues Act and the collection of the Dues, requiring constant attention from daylight to dusk and more frequently to 10 P.M. The strict supervision he exercised personally over each individual in his Department and the scrutiny with which all applications were examined by him, I feel sure, have been instrumental in adding thousands of rupees to the Harbour Dues collections. In conclusion, I hope that Mr. Stolberg's strict honesty and his merits will be rewarded by promotion either in his present sphere or in the Marine Department.

MASTER ATTENDANT'S OFFICE, EXECUTIVE DEPT.,
MADRAS, 19*th June*, 1886.

(Signed) T. E. MARSHALL,
Dy. Master Attendant.

(True Copy.)

a **Petition from** Mr. C. Stolberg, late of Marine Department, Madras Govt. now at Bangalore.

DATED 20th March 1896.

TRANSFERRED to the Chief Secretary to Government for disposal.

(By Order.)

H A Stuart
Private Secretary.

GOVERNMENT HOUSE,
Madras
25th March 1896.

Marine Current No: 374

Encls 3

Ans: 31-3-96

(189) DEPARTMENT.

From H. A. Stuart Esqr I.C.S

~~THE~~ PRIVATE SECRETARY TO THE

RIGHT HONORABLE THE GOVERNOR.

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY

TO GOVERNMENT,

DEPARTMENT.

Dated 25th
Received } March 1896.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
27 MAR 1896
ENCLOSURE 2

Subject.

TRANSFERS a petition from Mr C. Stolberg, Bangalore, praying that he may either be granted a pension or that his appeal may be forwarded to the Secretary of State for India.

No. 279 d/ 22-6-89 marine
" 53 " 17-2-88 "
" 199 " 18-5-88 "
" 324 " 13-7-88 "
" 325 " 13-7-88 "
" 37 " 29-1-89 "
" 60 " 13-2-89 "
" 218 " 21-5-89 "
" 475 " 11-11-89 "
" 82 " 31-1-77 "
" 241 " 7-6-87 "
" 289 " 8-7-87 "
" 334 " 27-7-87 "
" 436 " 28-9-87 "
" 489 " 1-11-87 "
" 536 " 30-11-87 "
" 87 " 10-3-91 "
" 356 " 12-8-91 "
" 470 " 23-10-91 "
" 494 " 7-11-91 "
" 520 " 26-11-91 "
R " 83 " 13-4-92 "
" " 91 " 29-4-92 "
" " 141 " 23-6-92 "
" 222-4 " 23-6-92 "
" 288 " 6-8-92 "
" 55 " 6-2-93 "
" 72 " 17-2-93 "

" 378-9 d/ 24-10-93
" 60 d/ 19-2-94 "
" 281 " 13-7-94 "
" 323 " 14-8-94 "
" 204 " 11-7-95 "
" 349 " 25-11-95 "
" 359 " 28-11-95

Marine C No.374.

Note for H.E. the Governor:-

The petitioner in this case, Mr. C. Stolberg, has taken up a great deal of the time of public officers after his case, on which there is quite a voluminous record, had been finally decided. He comes up again and again without noticing frequent answers which he has had to his petitions.

2. In the present connection it will probably suffice to go back no further than the 24th October 1893 when the petitioner was informed that his memorial to the Secretary of State appealing against the order of Local authorities had been transmitted x through the Government of India.

x Vide G.O.378 Marine d/ 24.10.93.

3. In due course the decision of the ~~Government of India~~ Secy. of State declining to interfere with previous decisions was communicated to Mr. Stolberg by G.O. No. 60 Marine dated 19th February 1894.

4. Mr. Stolberg again appealed to the Secretary of State in July 1894 and his memorial was, in accordance with the rules, withheld, there being no grounds for a reconsideration of the

the case. He was informed accordingly in G.O. No. 281 Marine dated 13th July 1894.

5. Mr. Stolberg was still unsatisfied. He submitted another appeal, in reply to which he was told that x "the Government declines to reopen his case" and that "further communications in regard to it will not be noticed".

x G.O. 323 Marine d/14.8.94.

6. Notwithstanding this last order the Govt. acknowledged the receipt of another memorial on the 11th -- July 1895 Vide G.O. No. 204 Marine dated 11.7.95, repeating the former intimation that the memorial was withheld under Rule XII (3) of the Memorial Rules.

Note. This rule is to the effect that no second memorial will be noticed when no new facts or circumstances are adduced -- which afford grounds for a reconsideration of a case.

7. Mr. Stolberg has since lodged three other appeals to which no replies have been sent; he now submits a fourth of which, it is submitted, no notice should be taken, as replies to appeals from this petitioner only seem to encourage him in vain attempts to have his case reopened.

2/4/96
W.

Mr. C. Stolberg's appeal

7723/96

B
Fm orders

No. 261 Marine, d/ 21st april 189[illegible]

Recorded.

18/4/96

16.4.96.

Removed from [illegible]
471 Marine 2-7-96

A.S.H.
15.4.96

Harbour Trust Office,

MADRAS, 13th April 1896.

No. 162

FROM

Ware. Austin Esq I.C.S.

CHAIRMAN, HARBOUR TRUST BOARD,
MADRAS.

TO

The Hon'ble Col: D. McNeil Campbell. R.E.

Joint SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Marine Department.

Through

The Presidency Port Officer
Madras

Sir

I have the honor to submit herewith an Indent in triplicate from the Dy Port Conservator for stores required for the use of the Port Marine diver: and to request that it may be forwarded to the India Office for compliance

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Obedient Servant

Chairman
Harbour Trust Board

Mar Fo 230-31 d 16-6-94

Marine Current No 608

Enc 1 (in triplicate)

Recd 16-4-96

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

FROM

THE CHAIRMAN,
HARBOUR TRUST BOARD,
MADRAS.

TO

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Marine Department.

DATED 13-4-96

DESPATCHED 13-4-96

RECEIVED

ENCLOSURE 3

No. 162

SUBJECT.

Forwarding an indent in triplicate from the Dy Port Conservator for stores required for the use of the Port Marine Diver

SCOTTISH PRESS.

Encl 1
M.C. No 608

Triplicate No. 743.

Indent on England for Stores required for the use of the Marine Department, Madras Presidency.

Names of Articles.	Revenues to which cost is chargeable.	Imperial head of account or grant to which chargeable.	Established proportion.	How established proportion is calculated.	Number or quantity in store.	Number or quantity due on previous indents.	Number or quantity now indented for.	Rate.	Value. Of number or quantity due on previous indents.	Value. Of number or quantity now indented for.	Remarks.	Shipped or under provision.	Proposed to be provided.	Remarks by the Director-General of Stores.
								£-s-d		£-s-d				
Diving dress Prepared Canvas Intermediate	Port Fund Madras.		One Diving Dress Complete with spare stores	By average expenditure of previous year.	"	"	Two	5-10-" each	"	11-"-"				
Diving dress Overall					"	"	Two	"-14-" each	"	1-8-"				
Drawers Flannel					"	"	Two	"-4-6 each	"	"-9-"				
Air pipes 50 ft each.					"	"	Two in No	4-12-" each.	"	9-4-"				
Gurnsey Frocks					"	"	Two	"-5-2 each	"	"-10-4				
Cuff Rings					"	"	Six pairs	"-"-8	"	"-4-"				
Spring for Air pumps					"	"	One Doz	"-4-8 per set.	"	"				
Valves for do					"	"	One Doz	"	"	"				
Tared Hemp 1½"					"	"	One Cwt	"	"	"				
Stockings Inside					"	"	Four pairs	"	"	"				
do Outside					"	"	Four pairs.	"	"	"				

~~Presidency~~ Port Office,
Madras, 9th April 1896.

Ag Dy Conservator of the Port

HARBOUR TRUST
MADRAS 10 APR. 96
SECRETARY'S OFFICE

Chairman
Harbour Trust Board.

~~Captain, H.M.'s I.M.,~~
~~Presidency Port Officer.~~

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
(Marine)

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

From the Chairman, Harbour Trust Board,
Madras. (H. E. No. 162, dated 13th April 96)

No. 262 Marine d/21st April 1896

The indent will be forwarded to the India Office for compliance.

The Presidency Port Officer.
" Chairman, H. T. B.
,, Accountant General.

Despd
21-4-96

(H.E. No. 263 Marine d/21st April 1896)

To

The Under Secretary of State
for India.

Sir,

I am directed to forward for -- favour of compliance an indent in duplicate for certain stores required for the use of the Port Diver, Madras.

2. The articles should be forwarded to the Deputy Conservator of the Port of Madras.

3. The cost will be a charge against the Madras Port Fund.

I have &c.

Despd thro' Madras office 21-4-96

Despd 23-4-96 from Madras

20—14-3-95.]

[M. 4.
500—15-8-96.]

o 230-3 Mar. a/16-6-94-

No. 262-3 Marine a/21st April 1896

Marine (1896) Department.