Marine (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 216 Mar

DATED 30/3/96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Shed at Tuticorin — ordered that a notification be published in the Fort St George and Tinnevelly Dt. Gazettes directing that certain schedule of fees be charged for the storage of goods in the —

[M. 5. / 500—13-8-96.]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No. 216, Marine, 30th March 1896.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Read—again the following paper :—

G.O. No. 54, Marine, dated 30th January 1896.

Order—No. 216, Marine, dated 30th March 1896.

The schedule of rates published under the Government Order quoted above will be finally adopted, and the following notification will be published in the Fort St. George and Tinnevelly District Gazettes :—

NOTIFICATION.

Under section 6 (1) (*j*) of Act X of 1889 (the Indian Ports Act), the Governor in Council is pleased to direct that the following schedule of fees shall be charged for the storage of goods in the Marine Department shed at Tuticorin :—

For every three days or any portion thereof—

(*a*) Bags of 168 pounds weight—1 pie per bag.
Other cargo—1 anna per ton of 50 cubic feet.
Dead weight—1 anna per ton.

(*b*) Double rates for three days after the first three days charged, and treble rates for every succeeding three days.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Government.

To the Presidency Port Officer.
„ Collector of Tinnevelly.
„ Superintendent, Government Press.

Exd, C. M. Brisson.
Spare Copies

Gobt. of {1896} Madras.

MARINE.

Dated March, 30th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O. No. 216, 30th March 1896.

MARCH.

Shed at Tuticorin

Ordered that a notification be published in the Fort St. George and Tinnevelly District Gazettes directing that certain schedule of fees be charged for the storage of goods in the Marine Department.

Marine
~~Public Works~~ Department.

No. 216. Marine d/ 30 March/96.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read ~~the following~~ again

G. O. 54 Marine, dated 30th January 1896.

The schedule of rates published under the Government order quoted above will be finally adopted, and the following notification will be published in the Fort Saint George and Tinnevelly District Gazettes:-

Notification.

Under section 6 (1) (j) of Act X of 1889 - (the Indian Ports Act) the Governor in Council is pleased to direct that the following schedule of fees shall be charged for the storage of goods in

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

MARINE.

Dated March, 30th.

Recd. Encl.

G.O. No. 216, 30th March 1896.

MARCH.

Shed at Tuticorin

Ordered that a notification be published in the Fort St. George and Tinnevelly District Gazettes directing that certain schedule of fees be charged for the storage of goods in the Marine Department.

No. 216. Marine d/ 30 March/96.

Marine ~~Public Works~~ Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read ~~the following~~ again

G.O. 54 Marine, dated 30 January 1896.

The schedule of rates published under the Government order quoted above will be finally adopted, and the following notification will be published in the Fort Saint George and Tinnevelly District Gazettes:

Notification

Under section 6 (1) (j) of Act X of 1889 (the Indian Ports Act) the Governor in Council is pleased to direct that the following schedule of fees shall be charged for the storage of goods in

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

MARINE.

Dated March, 30th.

Recd. Encl.

G.O. No. 216, 30th March 1896.

MARCH.

Shed at Tuticorin

Ordered that a notification be published in the Fort St. George and Tinnevelly District Gazettes directing that certain schedule of fees be charged for the storage of goods in the Marine Department.

No. 216. marine d/ 30 March/96.

Marine ~~Public Works~~ Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read ~~the following~~ again

G.O. 54 Marine, dated 30th January 1896.

The schedule of rates published under the Government order quoted above will be finally adopted, and the following notification will be published in the Fort Saint George and Tinnevelly District Gazettes:

Notification

Under section 6 (1) (j) of Act X of 1889 (the Indian Ports Act) the Governor in Council is pleased to direct that the following schedule of fees shall be charged for the storage of goods in the Marine Department ked at Tuticorin:- 30.1-Eb.N-54.

For every three days or any portion thereof:

(a) Bags of 168 pounds weight – 1 pie per bag.

Other cargo – 1 anna per ton of 50 cubic feet.

Dead weight – 1 anna per ton.

(b) Double rates for three days after the first three days charged, and treble rates for every succeeding three days.

27/3/96

To

The Presidency Port Officer,
" Collector of Tinnevelly
" Supdt. Govt. Press.

DESPATCHED
10 APR. 96

Date taken out.	For what purpose.			Initials of Referencer.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			

265

Govt. of (1896) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

31/1/56

Dated Removed from

Recd. Lp. 541 March/7-8-96 Encl.

G.O. 30/3/ 1896. No. 217-8 Mar.

Remuneration for executing a Commission.

=

Considering that the Port Officer Cocanada may be allowed to retain a moiety of the fees earned by him as issued by the Dt. Judge of Godavari & that the balance should be credited to Govt.

Ditto — Forwarding with remarks copy of Pro: ~~Considering~~ deciding that the Port Officer Cocanada may be allowed to retain a moiety of the fees earned by him as issued by the Dt. Judge Godavari & that the balance should be credited to Govt.

=

31/3

7cS-10 ab 6-6

28-3-11 ✓

4.10.12

80/4

70/2

No. 217, Marine, 30th March 1896.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following papers :—

From Commander W. J. POWELL, R.I.M., Presidency Port Officer, Madras, to the Joint Secretary to Government, Marine Department, dated 14th February 1896, No. 474/46 G.

With reference to paragraph 1 of G.O. No. 365, Marine, dated 6th December 1895, I enclose, for the information of Government, copy of letter, dated 7th January 1896, No. 11/13, from Mr. J. P. James, who, as Acting Port Officer of Cocanada, executed the commission issued by the District Judge of Gódávari. Under the circumstances reported, I would strongly recommend Mr. James being permitted to retain the full amount of the fee.

Enclosure.

From J. P. James, Esq., Port Officer, Negapatam, to the Presidency Port Officer, Madras, dated 7th January 1896, No. 11/13.

In reply to your No. 474/1472 P., dated 19th December 1895, on G.O. No. 365, dated 6th December 1895, to the Port Officer, Cocanada, and transferred to me here, I have the honour to report that I conscientiously considered that I withdrew no time from the service of Government whilst engaged on the commission. I did not consider that I was off duty the whole time, but only as if on tour; no one else was in charge of my office and the work was being carried on on my responsibility. I considered that it was a special remuneration for a special duty, not connected with my department, but of which from my previous training, I was supposed to have some special knowledge, and which duty was presumably on behalf of Government, as it was on an order from the High Court that the District Judge appointed the commission and fixed the rate of fee. In fixing the amount of remuneration the District Judge evidently understood the risks and difficulties of this particular case, for standing and sitting on a bare sandy lanka in the middle of an Indian river for ten hours a day without any more shelter than an ordinary umbrella can afford, is conducive to sunstroke, liver and opthalmia, and on the whole I should not care to undertake the particular work again for any smaller sum. The nearest tree was more than half a mile off, and I dare not leave my marks, lest parties on either side tampered with them, and I was obliged to watch the rise and fall of the water myself. Had I received a sunstroke, or got ill otherwise, the amount of remuneration earned would barely have paid my passage to England and back, to say nothing of other attendant expenses. I respectfully submit therefore that, if an officer's life or health is to be risked in extraneous duties not connected with his department, he is entitled to very substantial remuneration which ought to be sufficient to cover all risks.

The fact of my appointment as Commissioner was notified to the Presidency Port Officer, Madras, on each occasion and sanction received to leaving the port, all of which are filed in the Cocanada Port office.

From Commander H. A. STREET, R.I.M., Presidency Port Officer, Madras, to the Joint Secretary to Government, Marine Department, dated 24th February 1896, No. 474/62 G.

With reference to Marine Department Memo. No. 82 E., dated 21st February 1896, I have the honour to state that I think Mr. James should be permitted to retain at least half the amount of the fee; I also think he should receive the other half too as compensation for the very arduous and unpleasant work he had to perform.

From the Accountant-General, dated 7th March 1896, No. 724.

From Commander H. A. STREET, R.I.M., Presidency Port Officer, Madras, to the Joint Secretary to Government, Marine Department, dated 7th March 1896, No. 474/91 G.

With respect to Marine Department Memo. No. 108 E., dated 28th February 1896, I have the honour to state that from the accompanying copy of a letter I have received from Mr. James on the subject referred to in the memorandum above quoted, it would appear impossible to ascertain now the number of days on which Mr. James was employed on the special work in question.

2. I would draw attention to Mr. James' statement that on the second occasion his services were retained especially on behalf of Government.

Enclosure.

From J. P. JAMES, Esq., Port Officer, Negapatam, to the Presidency Port Officer, Madras, dated 5th March 1896, No. 11/18 N.

With reference to Public Works (Marine) Department Memo. No. 108 E., dated 28th February 1896, and your endorsement No. 474/314 P., dated 3rd instant, I have the honour to state that at this distance of time, fifteen months and eleven months, I am unable to furnish the information required, as after my reports were submitted to the District Judge I did not keep my notes. I can only say that I was away from Cocanada eleven days in all, and that on several occasions I left my boat at six to half past six in the morning and got back about the same time in the evening. I would beg to point out that on the second occasion of the tour my services were retained especially *on behalf of Government* and the special remuneration was paid *by Government*; the first occasion also, Government being a party to the suit, I considered I was employed on Government service, therefore, I do no tconsider that my services were withdrawn from Government for one hour the whole time.

Order—No. 217, Marine, dated 30th March 1896.

These papers refer to fees received by the Port Officer, Cocanada, for taking observations in connection with a disputed title to certain formations in the bed of the Gódávari and Kistna rivers. The Port Officer was appointed a Commissioner by the District Judge of Gódávari in connection with these observations, and his remuneration was fixed at Rs. 100 per diem *plus* travelling expenses. He earned Rs. 1,130 for the work on two occasions, and the question has arisen as to whether this fee should be retained in whole or in part by the Port Officer or should be credited to Government.

2. The Government of India consider that, if the Port Officer withdrew time from the service of Government in order to execute the commission, he should credit to Government, out of the fees received, so much as may be deemed by the Madras Government to represent the value of the time thus withdrawn.

3. It is now impossible to ascertain the number of days on which Mr. James was employed on this special work, but His Excellency the Governor in Council considers, on a review of all the circumstances of the case, that the Port Officer may be allowed to retain a moiety of the commission, and that the balance should be credited to Government.

[Here enter No. 218, Marine, dated 30th March 1896.]

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Government.

To the Presidency Port Officer.
„ Accountant-General.

Exd. Shaik Karim.

Spare Copies

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

MARINE.

108E. D/ 28-2-96

288. 9 mar. D/ 29-4-96.

Dated March, 30th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O. No. 217, 30th March 1896.

MARCH.

Remuneration for executing a commission

Considering that the Port Officer, Cocanada, may be allowed to retain a moiety of the fees earned by him as — issued by the District Judge of Gódávari and that the balance should be credited to Government.

No. 218, Marine, 30th March 1896.

MARINE DEPARTMENT.

Letter—from

The Hon'ble Colonel D. McNEIL CAMPBELL, R.E.,
Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Marine Department,

To

The SECRETARY to the GOVERNMENT of INDIA,
Home Department, Judicial.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 1573, dated 29th October 1895, on the subject of the commission payable to the Port Officer, Cocanada, for his services in connection with the disputed title to certain formations in the bed of the Gódávari and Kistna rivers, I am directed to forward, for the information of the Government of India, the accompanying copy of the Proceedings of this Government No. 217, Marine, dated 30th March 1896, from which it will be seen that His Excellency the Governor in Council has, on a review of all the circumstances of the case, decided that the Port Officer may be allowed to retain a moiety of the amount awarded by the District Court, the other moiety being credited to Government.

2. I am to add that, as desired by the Government of India, inquiry is being made as to whether Rule 4 of the rules regarding commission applies to the present case, and if so whether it was observed by the District Judge. On receipt of the information called for, a further communication will be addressed to you.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Colonel, R.E.,
Joint Secretary to Government.

Madras,
30th March 1896.

Exd. Shaik Karim.
Spare Copies

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

MARINE.

Dated March, 30th.

Recd. *Encl.*

No. 218, 30th March 1896.

MARCH.

Remuneration for executing a commission

Forwarding, with remarks, copy of Proceedings deciding that the Port Officer, Cocanada, may be allowed to retain a moiety of the fees earned by him as — issued by the District Judge of Gódávari and that the balance should be credited to Government.

Heading.

Fees

No. 474/46 g

Print

Presidency Port Office,
Madras, 14th February 1896.

From

Commander W. J. Vowell, R.I.M.
Presidency Port Officer,
Madras,

1 P

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to Government,
Marine Department,
~~Fort Saint George.~~ Chepauk

Sir,

With reference to para 1 of G.O. Marine No. 365, dated 6th December 1895, I enclose for the information of Government copy of letter dated 4th January 1896 No. 11/13 from Mr. J. P. James, who, as Acting Port Officer of Cocanada, executed the Commission, issue by the District Judge of God vari. Under the circumstan es reported I would strongly recommend Mr. James being permitted to retain

mab

retain the full amount of the fee.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Obdt: servant,
Walter Beevle
Commander, R.I.M.,
Presidency Port officer

Marine Current No: 1.

Marine (1896) DEPARTMENT.

Encls 1

Ans: 17.2.96

From

THE PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,

Madras,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

~~PUBLIC WORKS~~ (MARINE) DEPARTMENT,

Madras.

No. 474/46 G

Dated 15th } February 1896.
Received

No.

No. 365 M. of 6-12-95
" 410 Judl. of 22-2-75

Subject.

Recommending strongly that Mr James may be permitted to retain the fees earned by him as remuneration for executing the Commission.

54

Print

No. 11/13

Port Office
Negapatam, 7 January 1896.

From

The Port Officer,
Negapatam.

2

To

The Presidency Port Officer
Madras

Sir,

In reply to your No. 474/1472 P dated December 19th 1895, on G.O. No. 365 dated 6th December 1895, to the Port Officer, Cocanada, and transferred to me here, I have the honor to report that I conscientiously considered that I withdrew no time from the service of Government whilst engaged on the Commission. I did not consider that I was off duty the whole time but only as if on tour, no one else was in charge of my office and the work was being carried on on [illegible] responsibility. I considered

that

that it was a special remuneration for a special duty, not connected with my department, but of which from my previous training I was supposed to have some special knowledge, and which duty was presumably on behalf of Government, as it was on an order from the High Court that the district Judge appointed the Commission and fixed the rate of fee. In fixing the amount of remuneration the district Judge evidently understood the risks and difficulties of this particular case, for standing and sitting on a bare sandy lanka in the middle of an Indian River for ten hours a day without any more shelter than an ordinary umbrella can afford, is conducive to sunstroke, liver and opthalmia, and on the whole I should not care to undertake the particular work again for any Smaller sum. The nearest tree was more than half a mile off, and I dare not leave my marks, lest parties on either side tampered with them, and I was obliged to watch the rise and fall of the water myself. Had I received a sunstroke, or got ill otherwise, the amount of remuneration earned would barely have paid my passage to England and back, to say nothing of other attendant expenses. I respectfully submit therefore that if an Officer's life or health is to be risked in extraneous duties not connected with his department, he is entitled to very substantial remuneration which ought to be sufficient to cover all risks.

The fact of my appointment as Commissioner was notified to the

the Presidency Port Officer, Madras on each occasion and sanction received to leaving the port, all of which are filed in the Cocanada Port Office.

I have &c
(Sd) J. P. James
Port Officer

(True Copy)

Walter S Bewle
Commander, R. I. M.,
Presidency Port Officer

Encls 1
Mar 1546/96

Heading.

No. 474/62 G

Fees.

Port

PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICE,
Madras, 24th February 1896.

From

Commander H. A. Street, R. I. M.
PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,
Madras,

5 P

To

THE ~~CHIEF~~ SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
MARINE DEPARTMENT,
~~Fort Saint George.~~ Chepak.

SIR,

With reference to Marine Department Memorandum No. 82 C. dated 21st. February 1896, I have the honor to state that I think Mr. James should be permitted to retain at least half the amount of the fee; I also think he should receive the other half too as compensation for the very arduous and unpleasant work he had to perform.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Obedient Servant
H A Street
Commander R. I. M.
Presidency Port Officer.

Marine Current No: 18

Marine (1896) DEPARTMENT.

From

THE PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,
Madras,

Recd: 25. 2. 96

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
~~PUBLIC WORKS~~ (MARINE) DEPARTMENT,
~~*Madras.*~~
Chepak

No. 474/62 G

Dated 24th } February 1896.
Received }

No.

No. 82 E d/ 21-2-96
365 m d/ 6-12-95.

Subject.

Informing that Mr. James should be permitted to retain at least half the amount of the fees for executing the Commission in question.

54

Funded Audit DEPARTMENT.

Inst

FORT ST. GEORGE,
Accountant-General's Office,
7th March 1896.

No. 724

+ 1 Quote

From

F. C. Harrison Esquire,
Offg. ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department
(Marine)

SIR,

I have the honour to enquire whether any orders have been passed on Government Order No. 365, Marine, dated 6 Decr '95, and, if so, to request that the same may be communicated to this office.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant,

F. C. Harrison
Offg. Accountant General

(189) DEPARTMENT.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

MADRAS,

To

THE Secy to Govt. P. W. D.
(Marine)

No. 724

Dated } 7 March 96
Received }

No.

SUBJECT.

Requesting to communicate any orders passed on G.O. 365 Marine of 6-12-95.

No. 108 E d/ 28-2-96
82 E. d/ 21-2-96
365 Re d/ 6-12-95

or. 8,000—6-6-95.

Marine Current NO: 259

Recd: 9. 3. 26

No. 127 E d/ 11th March 1896

E.

Memo.

Memo

The undersigned has the honour to inform the Accountant General in reply to his letter No. 724 dated 7th March 1896 that the subject of Marine G.O. No. 365 dated 6th December 1895 is still under the consideration of Government.

The undersigned has the honor to inform the Accountant General, in reply to his letter No. 724 d/ 7th March 1896 that the subject of G.O. No. 365 d/ 6 Dec 1895 is still under the consideration of Govt.

10/3/96

W.

DESPATCHED
12 MAR. 96

Marine.

To

The Accountant General.

Copy 9.3.96

INDEXED.

12/3

No. 474/91 G

Print

Heading.

PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICE,
Madras, 7th March 1896.

From

Commander H. A. Street, R. I. M.
PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,
Madras,

8

To

THE ~~CHIEF~~ SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
MARINE DEPARTMENT.
Chepâk.
~~Fort Saint George.~~

SIR,

With respect to Marine Department Memorandum No. 108 E, dated 28th February 1896, I have the honor to state that from the accompanying copy of a letter I have received from Mr. James on the subject referred to in the Memorandum above quoted, it would appear impossible to ascertain now the number of days on which Mr. James was employed on the special work in question.

2. I would draw attention to Mr. James' statement that on the second occasion his services were retained especially

especially on behalf of Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Obedient Servant,
H. A. Street
Commander R.I.M.
Presidency Port Officer.

No 11/152

Port Office
Negapatam, 5th March 1896.

From
J. P. James Esqre,
Port Officer,
Negapatam.

To
The Presidency Port Officer,
Madras

Sir,

With reference to Public Works (Marine) Department Memorandum No 108 C dated 28th February 1896, and your endorsement No 474/314 P, dated 3d instant, I have the honor to state that at this distance of time, fifteen months and eleven months, I am unable to furnish the information required, as after my reports were submitted to the District Judge I did not keep my notes. I can only say that I was away from Coconada eleven days in all, and that on several occasions I left my boat at six to half past six in the morning and got back about the same time in the evening. I would beg to point out that on the second occasion of the tour my services were retained especially on behalf of Government

Mr. James' arguments would seem to weaken his claim [illegible] employment [illegible] behalf of [illegible] he was [illegible] and the special remuneration was paid by Government; the first occasion also, Government being a party to the suit, I considered I was employed on Government service, therefore I do not consider that my services were withdrawn from Government for one hour the whole time.

I have &ca

(Signed) J. L. James
Post Officer

Enclo 1
Mar 265/96

True Copy

H A Street
Commander R. I. M.
Presidency Port Officer.

especially on beha

No. 11/182

Port Office
Negapatam, 5th March 1896

From
J. P. James Esqre,
Port Officer,
Negapatam.

To
The Presidency Port Officer,
Madras

Sir,

With reference to Public Works (Marine) Department Memorandum No. 108 C dated 28th February 1896, and your endorsement No. 474/314 P, dated 3rd instant, I have the honor to state that at this distance of time, fifteen months and eleven months, I am unable to furnish the information required, as after my reports were submitted to the District Judge I did not keep my notes. I can only say that I was away from Coconada eleven days in all, and that on several occasions I left my boat at six to half past six in the morning and got back about the same time in the evening. I would beg to point out that on the second occasion of the tour my services were retained especially on behalf of Government

James' arguments
[illegible] seem to
[illegible] his claim
[illegible] employed
[illegible] behalf of
[illegible]

ame from the service o
der to execute the co

Marine Current No: 265

Marine (1896) DEPARTMENT.

Enclo/

Recd: 10. 3. 96

From

THE PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,

Madras,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

~~PUBLIC WORKS~~ (MARINE) DEPARTMENT,

~~*Madras.*~~
Chepak

No. 474/91 G

Dated 7
Received } March 1896.

No.

Nº 10-1101 Judl of 75 ✓
" 410 d/22-2-75 Judl ✓
" 1719 " 4-8-75 " ✓
" 365 " 6-12-95 Marine ✓
" 822 " 21-2-96 ✓
" 1082 " 28-2-96 ✓
" 1272 " 11-3-96 ✓

Subject.

Forwarding with remarks copy of a letter from Mr. James in connection with the execution of a Commission

---

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Nº 21[illegible] Marine d/ 30 March/96 (Marine).

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

READ the following:—

From the Presidency Port Officer, Madras, No. 474/46 G., d/14th Feby. 1896.
- do - No. 474/62 G. d/24th - do -
From the Accountant-General to the Govt. of Madras, No. 724 d/7th Mar. 96.
,, Presidency Port Officer, Madras, No. 474/91 G. d/7th March 1896.

FOR ORDERS.

I consider that a moiety of the Commission is quite sufficient additional remuneration. Let a moiety be awarded, the balance going to credit of Govt.

A. S. H.
21. 3. 96

These papers refer to fees received by the Port Officer, Cocanada, for taking observations in connection with a disputed title to certain formations in the bed of the Godavari and Kistna rivers. The Port Officer was appointed a Commissioner by the District Judge of Godavari in connection with these observations, and his remuneration was fixed at Rs. 100 per diem + travelling expenses. He earned Rs. 1,130 for the work on two occasions, and the question ~~xxx xx~~ has arisen as to whether this fee should be retained in whole or in part by the Port Officer or should be credited to Government.

2. The Government of India consider that if the Port Officer with time from the service o der to execute the co

should credit to Govt, out of the fees received, so much as may be deemed by the Madras Govt. to represent the value of the time thus withdrawn.

3. It would appear that the Port Officer was absent from his station for 11 days in all in connection with this special work. His pay is Rs. 350 + a personal allowance of Rs. 65 + 100 Rupees for supervising groynes work, or Rs. 515 in all. Eleven days' pay would come to Rs. 189, and this may perhaps be taken to represent the value of the time withdrawn from Govt. and the sum to be credited to Govt. under the ruling of the Govt. of India. He would then retain the balance, viz. Rs. 941 for his eleven days' ~~days~~' work. This would be at the rate of Rs. 86 per diem.

4. The Presidency Port Officer recommends that Mr. James should be permitted to retain at least half the amount of the fee, but if the case be decided on the principle laid down by the Govt. of India, he would be allowed to keep considerably more, as shown above.

17/3/96
W.

~~H.E.~~

FOR ORDERS.

Fees paid to the Port officer Coconada

217
218 } Marine

No. 217. Marine d/ 30 March/96 (Marine).

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

READ the following

From the Presidency Port Officer (H.E. No. 474/46 G d/ 14 Feby 1896)
——— do ——— (" " 474/62 G d/ 24 " ")
From the Accountant Genl (" " 724 d/ 7 March ")
From the Presidency Port Officer (" " 474/91 G d/ 7th " ")

II

These papers refer to fees received by the Port Officer, Cocanada, for taking observations in connection with a disputed title to certain formations in the bed of the Godavari and Kistna rivers. The Port Officer was appointed a Commissioner by the District Judge of Godavari in connection with these observations, and his remuneration was fixed at Rs. 100 per diem + travelling expenses. He earned Rs. 1130 for the work on two occasions, and the question has arisen as to whether

(40—1,000—21-4-94.)

this fee should be retained in whole or in part by the Port Officer or should be credited to Government.

2. The Government of India consider that if the Port Officer withdrew time from the service of Government in order to execute the commission, he should credit to Government, out of the fees received, so much as may be deemed by the Madras Government to represent the value of the time thus withdrawn.

3. ~~It would~~ appear that ~~the Port Officer was absent from his station for 11 days in all in connection with this special work.~~ His Excellency the Governor in Council considers, on a review of all the circumstances of the case, that the Port Officer may be allowed to retain a moiety of the commission, and that the balance should

3. It is now impossible to ascertain the number of days on which Mr. Jones was employed on this special work, but

The Presy: Port Officer
– Accountant Genl

Despd
21/4

be credited to Government. ~~He will thus receive Rs. 565 for 11 days work, or Rs. 51 per diem in addition to his ordinary pay & allowances.~~

(G. O. No. 218. Marine d/ 30 March/96)

To, The Secy: to the Govt of India,
Home Dept, Judicial

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 1593 dated 29 October 1895 on the subject of the commission payable to the Port Officer Cocanada for his services in connection with the disputed title to certain formations in the bed of the Godavari and Kistna rivers, I am directed to forward for the information of the Government of India the accompanying copy of the Proceedings of this Government No. 217 Marine dated 30 March/96, from which it will be seen that His Excellency the Governor in

H6

Council has, on a review of all the circumstances of the case, decided that the Port Officer may be allowed to retain a moiety of the amount awarded by the District Court; the other moiety being credited to Government.

2. I am to add that, as desired by the Govt. of India, enquiry is being made as to whether rule 4 of the rules regarding commission applies to the present case, and if so whether it was observed by the District Judge. On receipt of the information called for, a further communication will be addressed to you.

Despd 27/4/96

23/3/96

R.B.

H.E. 23/3 R 27/3.

Fees paid to the Port officer, Cocanada ord

27 Mar 96

Marine (1896) Department.

G.O., No. 219 Mar

DATED 30/3/96

[Despatch Abstract.]

Telephones — Ords Pasd accepting the charge for shifting the — in the office of Agent for Govt Consignments to another room, communicated to the Presidency Port officer

[M. 6. / 800—13-8-96.]

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

Dated March, 18th.

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O. No. 970 W., 18th Mar. 1896.

MARCH.

Telephone

The charge for shifting the — instrument in the office of the Agent for Government Consignments to another room, accept ed.

Marine Current No: 355 ✓

6.1.96 & 104 W
26.2.96 . 705 W
6.3.96 . 910 W
Acc: 25. 3. 96

No. 219. Marine d/ 30 March/96
B

Communicated to the Presidency Post Office

26/3/96

The P. P. O. with 2 spare copies

R. S.

DESPATCHED
30 MAR 96

2/4

No. 970 W., 18th March 1896.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

Read—the following paper :—

From the Superintendent of Government Telegraphs, Madras Division, to the Joint Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated 16th March 1896, No. 8908.

I have the honour to report that, in compliance with the request made by the Agent for Government Consignments, Madras, in his letter No. 93, dated the 4th instant, the telephone instrument and batteries were shifted to another room on the 11th idem, and to request the favour of your accepting the usual charge of Rs. 20 to cover the cost of alteration.

Order—No. 970 W., dated 18th March 1896.

The charge is accepted.

(True Extract.)

Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintendent of Telegraphs, Madras Division.
„ Marine Department.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.
Spare Copies

GENERAL.
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of {1896} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

No 219. Marine d/ 30 March/96.

Dated March, 18th.

Communicated to the
Presidency Port Officer
(Sd) D.C.
26/3/96

Recd. *Encl.*

G.O. No. 970 W., 18th Mar. 1896.

MARCH.

Telephone

The charge for shifting the — instrument in the office of the Agent for Government Consignments to another room, accept ed.

The Presidency Port Officer

No. 970 W., 18th March 1896.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

General.
Account.

Read—the following paper :—

From the Superintendent of Government Telegraphs, Madras Division, to the Joint Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated 16th March 1896, No. 8908.

I have the honour to report that, in compliance with the request made by the Agent for Government Consignments, Madras, in his letter No. 93, dated the 4th instant, the telephone instrument and batteries were shifted to another room on the 11th idem, and to request the favour of your accepting the usual charge of Rs. 20 to cover the cost of alteration.

Order—No. 970 W., dated 18th March 1896.

The charge is accepted.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) McNEIL CAMPBELL, Colonel, R.E.,
Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintendent of Telegraphs, Madras Division.
„ Marine Department.

Exd. T. K. Narayana Aiyangar.
Spare Copies

Marine Current No: 340

March 1896 Department.

Recd: 23.3.96

From
The Presidency Port Officer,
Madras,

To
The ~~Chief~~ Secy. to Government,
Marine Department,
~~Fort St. George.~~
Chepak

No. 310-11 of 29-10-95 ✓
" 332 " 12-11-95 ✓
" 108 " 21-2-96 ✓
" 182-83 " 19-3-96 ✓

No. 102 G

Dated 21st } March 1896.
Received }

No.

Subject.

Requesting that the India office may be asked to expedite the despatch of the 50 chimneys for Manapad as the stock is running very low

No. 102 G

Heading.

Lighthouse stores.

PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICE,
Madras, 21st March 1896.

From

Commander H A Street, R. I. M.
PRESIDENCY PORT OFFICER,
Madras,

To

THE ~~CHIEF~~ SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
MARINE DEPARTMENT,
Chepauk
~~Fort Saint George.~~

SIR,

Nº 182 - 3 mar
19-3-96

With reference to my Nº 83 G, dated 5th March 1896, I have the honor to request that the India Office may be asked to expedite the despatch of the 50 chimneys for Manapad as the stock is running very low.

I have the honor to be
Sir.
Your Obedient Servant

H A Street
Commander R. I. M.
Presidency Port Officer.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 220 - Marine d/ 30 March /96 (Marine)

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

G.O. 248, mar, d/ 9.4.96 READ the following
G.O. 182-183, mar, d/ 19.3.96

From the Presidency Port officer, Madras, No. 102 G d/ 21-3-96.

DESPATCHED
2- APR.96

Ordered accordingly.

To The Presy. Port officer.

A.E.H. 26.3.96

DESPATCHED
2- APR.96

H. E. No. 221 marine d/ 30 March 1896.

To

The Under Secretary
of State for India

Sir,

Adverting to my letter No. 183 Marine dated 19 March 1896, forwarding an indent for Marine Stores for this Presidency, I ~~have the honour~~ am directed to request that the 50 chimneys for the Manapad Light may be despatched to this country as soon

(40—4,000—2-11-95.)

Soon as practicable,
as the stock is reported
to be very low.

I have &c

23/3/96

W.

(Marine)

46/

Marine Stores

DESPATCHED
2 APR 96

No. 220-21- Marine d/
30-3-96.

await-d

Removed from G.O.
417 Mar 20.6.96.

6/4 Re 4/4

H.S. 23/3, R 27/3

34/

Govt. of 1896 Madras.

~~PUBLIC WORKS.~~

Marine

Dated 31/3/96

Encl.

Encl.

G.O. 31/3/1896 No. 222 Mar.

Boat Rules

=

Desiring that the opinion of the Advocate General be obtained on the legal questions involved in the ~~suspension~~ withdrawal by the Port Officer Mangalore of a boat license, for a breach of Rule 16 of the —.

=

50/3

31/3

223/3.